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Everywhere the old order changes and happy they who can change with it.

William Osler

A S WILLIAM OSLER ADVISES, in the 1895 edition of Principles and Practice of Medicine, 'Everywhere the old order changes and happy they who can change with it.' This issue of Chiron records a number of changes. Change is part of life and living, but even when from worse to better may not be without inconvenience.

In November 1895 Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovered x-rays. Our cover depicts the vast technological changes in the use of x-rays over the ensuing one hundred years. The stark simplicity of the tube made by William Stone in Melbourne in 1896 contrasts sharply with the enormous machine - the scanner - which now encompasses the patient to produce its wondrous images.

Such changes in technology have brought about great improvements in the ability to save and prolong lives. They have also brought about ethical and legal problems which are touched on in the seminar Caring for the Severely Disabled or Dying Child (pp4-16).

In the last few years there have been great changes in the hospital system in Victoria. Budgets are now dependent on the case-mix formula which brings with it the rapid discharge of patients and as a consequence, our clinical schools record a reduction in the number of patients available for teaching. Hospital Boards of Management, whose members were not paid, but served with varying distinction, have been replaced by a Health Care Network with salaried personnel and a range of management experiences. Although the benefits of these changes have still to be proved, they have, on occasions, caused worry to staff uncertain of their future.

In the last year, this University has seen the departure of a Vice-Chancellor and the appointment of a new one. David Penington, formerly Professor of Medicine at St Vincent's Hospital and Dean of Medicine from 1978 succeeded David Caro as Vice-Chancellor in 1998. He proved to be a strong administrative head who fought for the rights of this University against strong political forces and budgetary restraints. David presided over amalgamations, changes in administrative structures and championed closer relationships with other universities both in Australia and in Asia. On his retirement the University awarded him an LL D honoris causa. In his address to the new graduates about their future, published in this issue (p32), David pleaded with them 'do not abandon your ideals.' He also told of his interest as a schoolboy in Osier's Aequanimitas. This oft printed valedictory address given by Osler in 1889 encourages medical students and doctors to develop 'coolness and presence of mind under all circumstances'.

Our new Vice-Chancellor, Alan David Gilbert is a historian with a D Phil from Oxford who has published on Religion and Society in Industrial England and Church and Church Goers. A former Professor of History and Pro Vice-Chancellor in the University of New South Wales he comes to Melbourne after being Vice-Chancellor and Principal at the University of Tasmania since 1991.

Last year too, this Faculty saw the resignation of a Dean and the appointment of another. Graeme Ryan, a Melbourne medical graduate and an experimental pathologist with an international reputation became Dean of the Faculty in 1986. Graeme presided over the enlargement of the Faculty with the fusion of Dental Science, Physiotherapy and Psychology to form the present Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences. Graeme was President of the Academic Board (1989-90), and an elected member of the University Council as well as chair of the NHMRC Grants Committee and the Committee of Deans of Australasian Medical Schools. Graeme was also a member of the boards of several hospitals and research institutions and in recognition of his contributions to the community was appointed a Companion of the Order of Australia in 1994.

Graeme is well liked by everyone who worked with him. We were all sorry when he resigned to become the Chief Executive Officer of the Inner Health Care Network in Melbourne but wish him well in this challenging new position. An appreciation of Graeme Ryan can be found in this issue of Chiron (p20).

Our new Dean is Professor Gordon Chumie who has been the James Stewart Professor of Surgery at the Royal Melbourne Hospital since 1978 and Deputy Dean of our Faculty since 1986. An Edinburgh graduate, Gordon worked for a time in Edinburgh with Professor Sir Michael Woodruff, a Melbourne graduate who some years ago was the Halford Orator when he talked of his work on transplantation. Gordon had been Professor of Surgery in the University of Queensland from 1973-78 and has major interests in surgical immunology, organ transplantation and surgical education. A member of many committees in the University, in a number of hospitals in Melbourne and of the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons, Gordon was awarded the Louis Barnett Medal of that college in 1994. Gordon is generally well-known, respected and liked. He is married with three children, all of whom are graduates of this University.

The new Vice-Chancellor and the new Dean have very different backgrounds and experiences to their predecessors and, not surprisingly, their viewpoints will be different; they are likely to focus on different areas in the many problems they will have to confront. The changes they are likely to make will be awaited with interest.

During last year, Peter Jones, the founding Editor of Chiron, died. Peter was a well-known and well-liked paediatric surgeon and Melbourne personality with an encyclopaedic knowledge and wide range of interests. He is very sadly missed.

In June 1995, Maggie Mackie, originally Assistant Editor and Designer of Chiron, retired as Co-Editor. Maggie was largely responsible for the evolution of Chiron. She was also the central contact for contributors who were welcomed warmly, helpfully and with rare good humour. Her vitality and wit are missed in the offices of Chiron but, thankfully, she remains a consultant. Tributes to Peter Jones and Maggie Mackie are included in this issue.

Previous numbers of Chiron have recorded many changes generally accepted in their times. Chiron itself, has grown and changed since its first appearance in 1983 as a fifteen-page newsletter. We hope to maintain the high standard of the journal as it bears witness to change in the University, in the School and in medicine.

Harold Attwood & Liz Brentnall
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Medical Society is proud to pay tribute
to a distinguished, loyal alumnus and com-
passionate paediatric surgeon. Peter Griffith
Jones personified the Renaissance ideal of
wide-ranging learning and culture. Surgeon
and teacher, he could hum a Mozart tune,
quote French verse, research Spanish
archives and manage a yabby farm. He was
a proud family man. Tall, good-looking and
dressed with sartorial flair, Peter was an
entertaining raconteur and much sought
after speaker. A wide grin would break over
his face as he enjoyed his own erudite wit and
the humour of others - he could enjoy a good
medical school joke with the best of them.
Andrew Jones may have surprised many at
Peter’s funeral when he suggested there was
something of the 'larrikin' in his father.

Peter died at home with his family around
him on 15 March, 1995. He was in his
seventy-third year. Born in Melbourne in
1922 and educated at Melbourne Grammar,
he graduated in medicine from the Univer-
sity of Melbourne gaining honours in all final
year subjects in 1945. After completing
residency appointments in Australia he was
awarded the first Cleveland Fellowship which
he held at the University Hospital, Cleveland,
Ohio in 1948-49. For further postgraduate
training in surgery, particularly paediatric
surgery, he went to England and won his
FRCS.

It was in England that he won not only his
FRCS but also his future wife, Julie, who
became a consultant child psychiatrist. They
were complementary people - Julie the con-
ceptual thinker and Peter with his astonish-
ning memory for detail. As they pursued their
own busy professional lives, the varied, lively
careers of their six children - Penelope,
Sarah, Deborah, Andrew, Abigail and Caitlin
- brought Peter and Julie many delights.
(Peter related how when the house was full
of small children, he would rock the cradle
with one foot as he worked at his desk.) In his
last years, he experienced the pleasure of
getting to know his three grandchildren,
Simon, Isabelle and Francesca.

Peter’s academic and professional life
earned him many honours and international
recognition. In Melbourne he was a re-
owned teacher of paediatric surgery to gen-
erations of students, who also profited
from his book Clinical Paediatric Surgery.
A classic textbook now in its fourth edition
(also translated into Spanish and Portuguese),
under new editors it has been renamed
Jones’ Clinical Paediatric Surgery. As well as
being the first Cleveland Fellow, Peter was
one of the first two surgeons to pass the de-
manding examination of the FRACS in
paediatric surgery; the first recipient of the
Royal Children's Hospital Distinguished
Service Award; and the first to be presented
with the Gold Medal of the Medical Defence
Association of Victoria.

One of Peter’s special attributes was an
ability to relate well to his small patients and
their parents, to all he worked with in the
theatres and in the wards, and in the adminis-
trative offices of his beloved Royal Children’s
Hospital. He devoted thirty-five years to the
Hospital and his warm, gregarious per-
sonality endeared him to many. Nate Myers
recalls what a pleasure it was to work with
Peter, particularly in the operating theatre
- a visitor, commenting on their collaborative
effort, remarked that he had never before
seen a ‘four-handed-surgeon’. In the early
days of heart surgery, Peter was one of the
team which first operated on children using
cardiopulmonary bypass. In 1975 he led the
team of surgeons which separated Siamese
twins a day after their birth.

He applied his creative energy and
intelligence to all facets of the medical
profession. In this University he was, in turn,
a demonstrator in paediatric surgery, a
clinical instructor, and lecturer in the
Department of Community Medicine. At
seminars and public debates he was a
generous contributor and a serious devil’s
advocate. He became president of many
professional societies and colleges and his
involvement with the Royal Australasian
College of Surgeons was considerable. He
was President of the Medico-Legal Society
and Vice-President of the Medical Defence
Association of Victoria (where he was sought
out as a wise counsellor).

Peter was the founding Editor of Chiron
- he gave it its name and the early editorialis
sparkled with his erudite wit. Given his
nature, it is not surprising that he should
have completed a Certificate of Printing
Technology at the Melbourne College of
Printing and Graphic Arts. He wrote with a
superb command of the English language,
publishing over thirty papers and contribut-
ing chapters and volumes to the surgical
literature in paediatrics. He was the founding
editor of The Australian Paediatric Journal
and of Defence Update. He was at one time
a Director of Blackwell Scientific Publishing
of Australia. It was to honour Peter’s literary
talent that the UMMS Committee renamed
the UMMS Elective Essay Prize, the Peter
G Jones Elective Essay Prize.

He envisaged his PhD history thesis With
Men for Pieces - A Documentary Life of Rui
Lopez Circa 1520-1594 not only as a mystery
book, but also as a film with a splendid cast
of his choosing and. Sadly, Peter was not to see
these two projects to their final stages - with
the onset of his last illness his innate skills
falterred as he tried and struggled with
computer programs, courageously and opti-
mistically keeping his laptop close by as he
planned the re-writing of the thesis into a
book.

Peter’s diverse interests and responsibility
required versatility and disciplined thinking.
When I was first working with him on the
early editions of Chiron I would go over to
the Royal Children's Hospital so that he could
proof-read in between busy operating and
consulting sessions. He would walk cheer-
fully into his office and point to various bits
and pieces on his desk - usually about
heraldry - give me something to read, sit
down and say ‘now I will turn my mind to
literals’ - and would do so until the task was
completed in time to warmly greet the next
tiny patient. It was Peter who taught me that
‘a paragraph should sing’.

He nurtured his special interest in
heraldry and derived great pleasure from his
contact with the members of the
prestigious English College of Heraldry.
It was fascinating to listen to him talking
about which hospital’s coat of arms was
‘proper’ and which ‘improper’. He designed
the ‘proper’ coats of arms for the Royal
Children's Hospital, the Australian College
of Paediatrics, the Australian Association
of Surgeons and the Medical Defence As-
sociation of Victoria. He also designed
several neckties using these coats of arms
which are worn with pride by many prac-
titioners. Peter himself tied his neckties with
considerable flair.

In retirement he decided to take on yabby
farming and a tree seedling plantation at Mt
Blackwood. There he build an award-
winning country house, the landscape
including a personally designed insect-proof
gazebo, complete with desk and lamp, where
he would sit and read in the summer evenings. The delight with which he delivered his first batch of yabbies to a Kyneton restaurant would have been something to behold.

When he was too weak to stay at the farm, Peter returned to the family home in Rockley Road, South Yarra, where in his book-lined study, comfortably rugged up on his couch, a 'soppy' Labrador beside him, he would receive visitors as his family popped in and out keeping an eye on him, his smiling face occasionally disappearing in a cloud of cigarillo smoke. He loved to philosophise, to discuss the future of medicine and of *Chiron*. He read a little, wrote a little, never complaining, not even to his family, and was always optimistic. It was with great courage that he attended the Fifty Years Reunion of the 1945 graduates at the Melbourne Cricket Club just two weeks before he died. During these weeks Peter and Julie and the family crafted his funeral service. It was a memorable occasion, the church was packed and some stood outside in the garden. His peers spoke of him as colleague and friend. With courage, courtesy and generosity, Julie and the family each shared their stories of Peter as husband and Peter the father. They recited their own poetry, they sang together, and as the service ended Peter was carried out to a rousing rendition of the Welsh National Anthem. It was indeed a grand and fitting farewell.

---

**MAGGIE MACKIE**

*Chiron, 1983-1995*

On 30 JUNE 1995 Maggie Mackie retired from her position as Co-Editor of *Chiron*. This was a significant departure for the School of Medicine as *Chiron* without Maggie seemed inconceivable...

*Chiron* first appeared as 'The 1983 Newsletter of the Melbourne University Medical Society' [sic] and was a slim fifteen pages. Peter Jones was the Foundation Editor and Maggie Mackie Assistant Editor and Designer. Peter supplied the name *Chiron* — a centaur from Greek mythology, distinguished for his knowledge of plants, medicine and divination, and the tutor of Aesculapius. Peter’s explanation was accompanied by an illustration of a centaur — a mythical creature with the head, arms and torso of a man united with the body and legs of a horse. Maggie drew the elegant centaur on the front cover which has become the established emblem of *Chiron*. Over the years *Chiron* became the 'Journal of the University of Melbourne Medical Society' and grew in size, distinction and quality. Much of this development was due to Maggie Mackie.

By 1988 *Chiron* was sixty-four pages in length and carried reports of the 125th Anniversary of the School of Medicine and the Centenary of women entering the medical course. Its importance as an historic record for the School of Medicine and a journal, though we all miss her constant involvement.虽然杂志仍然存在，但她的缺席是显而易见的，尤其是对于那些对她目前角色最感兴趣的人来说。

Maggie’s working life was structured closely with photographers, typesetters and printers. Maggie’s exceptional commitment to all she does, and her capacity to care for those around her have been evident to her colleagues for whom she has become a good friend.


Apart from these publications she has devised, edited and designed many newsletters, reports and bulletins for government authorities and associations including, again with Peter Jones, *Defence Update* (MDAV). She has drafted garden plans for Professor Carrick Chambers and research diagrams, illustrations and teaching material for Professor Ian Darian-Smith. She has been an administrative assistant, an executive officer, a secretary at UNICEF headquarters in Jakarta, Indonesia, and secretary to Professor RM Crawford, Department of History, the University of Melbourne.

Maggie recalls, however, with a far-away look in her eyes, that her most exciting role was as secretary to Edouard Borovansky when she was an aspiring young ballet student in the late 1940s — those were the days!

At her farewell party there was a family atmosphere — with members of her own family present as well as her extended ‘professional’ family.

Maggie set high standards for *Chiron*. She remains as an editorial consultant to the journal, though we all miss her constant involvement.

Although retired from editing *Chiron*, Maggie plans to continue a very active life, spending more time with her grandchildren and pursuing her painting and drawing. We wish her every enjoyment in this and thank her for her major role in establishing *Chiron*.
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INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

PROFESSOR RICHARD SMALLWOOD

We live in a society and in an age which has little experience of death and dying in childhood. Many of us have never known a death in the family, or, if we have, it was someone who had reached old age and whose death we eventually accepted as the natural course of things. We are not well prepared, as individuals or as a society, to confront the issues with which a child's terminal illness, or catastrophic injury or disability, presents us.

In Victoria we are very fortunate in the resources and expertise that can be brought to bear in the care of these children. In those with cancer, for example, there are teams of skilled medical and nursing staff to provide clinical care, and social workers and other carers to help support the patient, the parents and family. But even with the best planned management and most devoted clinical teams, desperately hard decisions have often to be made, and the burden on families and carers is sometimes overwhelming.

Questions of life and death are perhaps thrown into sharpest relief in the very young. Medical care for the newborn baby is nowadays a highly skilled and sophisticated exercise, and many lives can now be preserved where previously nothing could be done. But babies die, and some of those who survive do so with grave handicaps. They may live on for many months or years with such a degree of disability that most of us would conclude that treatment had been harmful. Of those babies who die, many do because a decision has been taken to withdraw treatment.

In recent times there has been a deal of publicity in England about a young boy who had a severe congenital heart defect, and who required heroic surgery just to stay alive. After the surgery it became clear that he had suffered brain damage, was blind and that nothing more could be done. He now faces months of increasing breathlessness and distress, and his parents have asked if it is not possible, when his distress becomes too great, to stop the treatment that might be done to bring this distress to an end. This poignant story encapsulates some of the most difficult moral, legal and practical questions that we would ever be called upon to answer.

Who should be making these life and death decisions, and should they be supported by the law? Can the ethicist decide the issues for us? During this seminar we will try to come to grips with some of these questions, to consider what currently happens, and to explore how we might perhaps do things better.

DOCTORS' EXPERIENCES

THE NEW BABY

When care cannot cure: withholding life-sustaining treatment from seriously ill babies

Dr Neil Campbell

Newborn intensive care is, overall, a joyous and rewarding endeavour. Most babies are admitted with diseases that can kill or maim, and yet most go home, and return to follow-up clinics brimming with life and mischief. A few are not so fortunate. In 1993, 217 Victorian babies (3.4/1000 live births) died before their first birthday from conditions determined at birth. Others survived intensive care, but with serious handicaps — brain damage, mental deficiency, spasticity, deafness or blindness, or life-shortening chronic ill-health.

Increasing skills and new technologies have reduced mortality dramatically in recent decades. New technologies include more sophisticated mechanical ventilation, surfactant and other new drugs, better anaesthesia and surgery, extra-corporal membrane oxygenation (external heart-lung machines), and total intravenous feeding. For most sick babies, technology is a great blessing. It ensures survival and a reasonable life for those who previously would have died. For a few though, it only delays death for days, weeks, or months, or else ensures survival but with severe handicaps.

Because of these potential harms life-sustaining treatment is from time to time withheld or withdrawn, and babies die. It is hard to know how often this occurs. Practices probably vary from hospital to hospital in arbitrary ways, and people are reluctant to discuss these practices in public since they may be illegal. Nevertheless, we at the Royal Children's Hospital have reported that seventy-six per cent of deaths in our neonatal unit followed withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment and that most of these babies might have lived if all available treatments had been offered. Another major Victorian centre, managing a patient population different from ours, has reported that sixty-four per cent of deaths in its neonatal unit followed treatment withdrawal, although in about half of these babies death was thought to be imminent anyway. Accurate State-wide figures are not available but it is reasonable to believe that at least sixty babies die each year in Victoria because treatment is withdrawn. When, with all available treatment, they might have survived.

The legality of withdrawing life-sustaining treatment from babies is unclear. Parents and doctors have a duty to care and no-one has a right established by law to make decisions which result in a baby's death. There is legal opinion that we are not obliged to continue treatment if death is 'inevitable', or if treatment is 'futile' (which presumably means the same thing). But with modern technologies the only situations in which it can be predicted with a degree of certainty that 'death is inevitable' or 'treatment is futile' are extreme immaturity (fetus born alive at less than twenty-three weeks gestation, more than seventeen weeks early) and a few very rare birth defects. For all other babies — who die, that their death was 'inevitable' can only be claimed after death has occurred despite the skilled application of all available technologies. That death was inevitable is a retrospective judgment. It cannot be used with certainty in life as a basis for deciding not to treat.

Thus the criterion which the law appears to accept as a reason for withholding treatment — that 'death is inevitable' — seldom, in reality, exists (except in extreme immaturity and rare birth defects). For many babies, high technology care can mean days, weeks, or months of arduous treatment. During these weeks or months quality of life can be very poor, with pain, fear, and social and emotional isolation. At the end, some may survive, some may die. Of those who survive, some may have poor quality of life thereafter because of severe handicaps, or chronic ill-health.

It is for these reasons that the prospect of weeks or months of poor quality of life under intensive care with likely (but not certain) death at the end, or else the prospect of survival with a very poor quality of life — that treatment is most often withheld or withdrawn.
The clinical situation in which legal opinion is clearest and virtually unanimous in advising us we have no obligation to treat, is the management of anencephaly - babies born with most of their brain missing, who are without conscious awareness. Why is legal opinion so clear and uniform in this situation? Observers need no special knowledge and little imagination to see such babies are profoundly abnormally. There is no skull above the eyebrows and ears; the top of the head is thus open and the lack of a brain is visible to all. Certainly all such babies die within hours or days. But it cannot be claimed as established fact that their death is 'inevitable'. I have little doubt that if we applied all available technologies, including mechanical ventilation, and surgery to close the head, we could achieve long-term survival in many anencephalic children.

Of course no-one advocates such measures, but this is not because death is inevitable: rather it is because we make judgments about the quality and value of their life should they survive. And yet the law does not appear to sanction decisions to withdraw treatment when they are based on judgments concerning the quality or value of a baby's life.

Rather than needing the law's protection from an uncaring, indifferent society, these babies need legal protection from uncaring, indifferent technology.

More complex legal and moral dilemmas arise in babies with hydranencephaly. Like babies with anencephaly most of their brain is missing, but unlike anencephalics they have a normal looking face and skull - to the lay observer they are attractive infants. Although never aware, they can live for years. They seldom need high-technology life-support, but most require artificial stomach tube (gavage) feeding because they cannot suck or swallow, at least in the early weeks of life.

Death is not inevitable if tube feeding is provided, but quality of life is no better than that of anencephalics. If we are not obliged legally to provide life-sustaining treatment to anencephalics, surely we are not obliged to provide tube feeding to babies with hydranencephaly? I have claimed that there is lack of support from the law for decisions frequently made by parents and doctors to withhold life-sustaining treatment from very sick babies when these decisions are based on judgments concerning the likely quality or value of the baby's life.

Over the centuries the law has evolved a strong protective role towards the newborn. This has been because, from time to time, societies have been surprisingly indifferent to infant well-being. But in the last three decades high technology has changed the legal needs of those babies who have little hope of a life with reasonable quality, or who have a high (but never certain) likelihood of dying despite weeks or months of arduous intensive care. Rather than needing the law's protection from an uncaring, indifferent society, these babies need legal protection from uncaring, indifferent technology.

The law, in recognition of these changed circumstances, should be changed. Parents and doctors should be given the legal right to withhold or withdraw life-sustaining treatment when death is very likely despite all care, or when quality of life with survival will be unacceptably poor.

Many will remember that in 1988 St Vincent's Hospital announced that a thirty-nine year old woman, paralysed by motor neurone disease, had requested that her mechanical ventilator be turned off. Her request was respected and she died. At the same time the Medical Treatment Act passed through parliament formalising the legal right of adults or their agents to refuse life-sustaining treatment.

Similar legal rights are still not available to the newborn. Consider a baby with the neonatal equivalent of motor neurone disease. Such a baby is partially paralysed but fully awake and aware. Placed on a mechanical ventilator after birth because breathing is inadequate, it can take up to six weeks to establish the diagnosis.

Babies with this diagnosis not offered all available technology die quickly. Kept on the ventilator, and offered other technologies such as salivary diversion, a baby with this condition might live one or two years or even longer. We don't know since no-one has tried. If we did try the baby would have periods of happiness and would learn what it is to love and be loved. On the other hand, there would be periods of pain and fear and the baby would express rage and frustration at the things being done.

So with the parents we decide to withdraw treatment. We know the baby could suffer hours of inadequate breathing with pain and fear when the ventilator is stopped. The only way of preventing this is to withdraw life-sustaining treatment, when death is inevitable. Babies are far more sensitive than adults to one of morphine's side effects - arrest of breathing. In sick babies we know that a dose of morphine sufficient to relieve distress will also stop the baby's breathing as it is given and thus be the direct cause of death, whereas without morphine they will struggle on alive for hours.

When treatment is withdrawn from such babies everyone - parents, doctors, and nurses - hopes fervently the baby will die quickly and without distress. So, with the knowledge that this baby could possibly live a year or more if we continue the ventilator but if we stop he will die soon; in the knowledge that, without morphine, he may struggle for hours before dying, but morphine will cause him to die at the time it is given by stopping his breathing; and with the intense hope that he will die as quickly and painlessly as possible, the morphine is given and the ventilator is switched off.

In what meaningful ways does this differ from active euthanasia? In asking this question I am not advocating active euthanasia. Rather I am trying to indicate how reality differs from theory in the care of sick babies. We do 'kill' this baby, and we 'want' him to die, but analysis is not helped by arguing over notional distinctions between 'active' euthanasia and 'passive' euthanasia, nor 'intention', and 'motive'.

THE OLDER CHILD

Limitation of treatment of children in Victoria

Professor Frank Shann

ONE IN EVERY SEVENTY Victorian children is admitted to intensive care at the Royal Children's Hospital. In the two year period 1991-92, just over 3000 children were admitted to intensive care at the Royal Children's Hospital, and 179 of them died. Treatment was withdrawn in 46 per cent of the 87 children with an underlying chronic disease who died, and in only 27 per cent of the 92 children with no chronic disease. So withdrawal of treatment is common in paediatric intensive care, and treatment is more likely to be withdrawn in children who have an underlying chronic disease.

Medical treatment may be withheld or withdrawn because death is about to occur anyway (and treatment is just delaying death), or the patients (or their parents) do not want further treatment because, even if the patient did survive, they would have such a severe handicap that life would be intolerable. In both these circumstances, it is not in the patient's best interests that treatment be continued. There is another reason why some treatments are withdrawn or withheld: the benefit from the treatment does not justify the cost. I will briefly discuss these two quite separate, but related, issues.

Treatment that is not wanted

In recent years, the law has given increased emphasis to the rights of the child rather than the rights of parents; in most situations, this is entirely appropriate. However, because of our zeal in protecting the rights of children, we have ended up denying them a most important right - the right not to be kept alive when this would result in intolerable suffering.
There is an urgent need for more rational public debate in Australia about what medical care we should and should not pay for, so that these decisions are made openly and in a systematic way.

In the past, when medical treatment was stopped because it resulted in intolerable pain or suffering, the legal position of the patient, the patient's family and the doctor was equivocal. Because this uncertainty was undesirable, the Victorian Medical Treatment Act was passed to give an unequivocal legal right to adults over eighteen years of age and of sound mind to refuse treatment. In Victoria, an adult now has the right to refuse treatment for any reason, including considerations of quality of life.

This right is denied to people who are less than eighteen years old. When the Medical Treatment Act was being developed, children were excluded because young children are not competent to make decisions about refusal of treatment, and because it was not clear who should make such decisions on their behalf. Although adults can refuse treatment because their life would be unbearable, uncertainty persists about the legal situation when the patient is a child.

This is not an abstract academic issue. Recently, legal advice was given that mechanical ventilation could not be withheld from newborn babies with primary alveolar hypoventilation, even if survival were possible only with continuous mechanical ventilation day and night for the rest of the patients' life.

I suggest that parents should legally be able to make a decision on behalf of their child that medical treatment (other than palliative care) should be stopped. The purpose of this is to prevent children suffering unnecessary pain and distress. It would give clear legal sanction to the option, in certain circumstances, of discontinuing burdensome medical treatment.

Parents should have the legal right to refuse medical treatment on behalf of their child if:

a) the parents and the treating doctor agree that such a course of action is in the best interests of the child, and

b) a specialist doctor, who is not involved in the child's care, agrees with the proposed course of action and is satisfied that the parents have been fully informed of the medical facts of the case.

Similarly, in the current discussion about euthanasia, it is important that we do not neglect the needs and rights of children. For example, children are excluded from the provisions of the Northern Territory euthanasia legislation.

Treatment that is too expensive

Every day in Victoria, and in every country in the world, treatment is rationed because it is too expensive. However, this is not often acknowledged, and there is a widely believed myth that all treatments are available to all Victorians. For example, we do not provide an artificial heart for every child who is dying because he or she has been born with an abnormal heart – this treatment costs about $4000 a day, and it would only keep the child alive for a few weeks. Rationing is probably even more common in adult practice, where, for example, very few adults have access to an artificial heart.

The marginal benefit from medical treatment becomes infinitely small (and therefore infinitely expensive) before it turns negative – if it confers minimal benefit, even a simple treatment is very expensive in terms of dollars spent per year of life saved. Providing all possible care would result in us spending a million dollars to prolong a patient's life by one day. The issue is not whether we should withhold potentially beneficial care, but rather which care we should withhold, and who should decide where we draw the line.

Our community makes an irrational distinction between preventive treatment and curative treatment. There are strong legal and social pressures to provide treatment that will keep a patient alive, but it can be very difficult to obtain money to prevent people becoming ill. For example, it costs five to ten million dollars to ventilate one child with high quadriplegia for fifty years (and the patient remains completely paralysed from the neck down), but with five million dollars we could vaccinate every baby born in Victoria over a three year period against hepatitis B (a disease that kills about 1200 Australians each year). Joseph Stalin said, 'A single death is a tragedy; a million deaths is a statistic'.

Unfortunately, debate on this issue is at a very primitive level in Australia. There is general recognition of the need to limit medical costs, but little recognition that there is already widespread rationing of medical care, and that cost is often an important component of decisions to limit treatment. This means that rationing of health care in Australia is haphazard and arbitrary, and it is often clandestine.

In the public hospital system in Victoria, we ration care by limiting the resources available to hospitals, and leave it to individual hospitals and doctors to sort out who gets what. This system has been described as 'muddling through elegantly'. It is preferable to rationing treatment by using the patient's ability to pay for it, as is often the case in the United States, but it would be better if there were public debate about which treatments are to be rationed.

I stress that I do not object to the fact that we have rationing of health care – rationing is necessary and desirable. I am not even objecting (much) to the way we ration care. What does worry me is that we do not admit that there is rationing – we cannot say that a given patient did not get a particular treatment because it would have cost too much.

There is an urgent need for more rational public debate in Australia about what medical care we should and should not pay for, so that these decisions are made openly and in a systematic way.

Conclusion

In Victoria, we adults have given ourselves the right to refuse burdensome medical treatment, but we have not given this right to our children. I suggest that it should be legal to withhold a treatment from a child if the parents and the doctor agree that the treatment is not in the best interests of the child. There also needs to be more informed public debate about about how we limit treatment that is too expensive.

THE CHILD WITH CANCER

Emeritus Professor Arthur C L. Clark AM

Cancer is an emotive subject. One in five of us die from it; over eight thousand each year in Victoria. In contrast, childhood cancer is uncommon.

Changing trends

My first contact with a child with cancer came in 1950, when as a medical student I saw a boy with leukaemia receiving chemotherapy.

At that time, all those children, and most with other forms of cancer, died from their disease. A measurable decline in mortality from most types of childhood cancer commenced between 1960 and 1965, and today more than seventy per cent are cured.

For those who do not survive, there has also been a change in the choice of the place of death. Until the mid-eighties, most families chose death in hospital. In 1995, probably most choose for their child to die at home.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Victoria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Incidence</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Mortality</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - Children with Cancer.
Current experience

The death of a child at home exposes an unfortunate consequence of current management of childhood cancer. Reviewing all children's deaths from cancer in Victoria for the last decade, I note that almost without exception these children have been treated in the paediatric oncology units at the Royal Children's Hospital or Monash Medical Centre. No doubt this is a major factor in the excellent survival figures on oncology units at the Royal Children's Hospital or Monash Medical sometimes with a regional paediatrician. But there are a significant number of children dying of cancer who have no doctor close to their home who is familiar to them and well informed about their management.

When a family doctor has been closely involved and there are local palliative care resources, including nurses familiar with the needs of children, death at home in the care of the family appears to me to be the best outcome. All too often this is not the situation. When it becomes apparent that the hoped-for cure is not to be expected, attempts are made to establish such a team locally, but with the understanding and trusting relationship between local caregivers and the family, a common outcome is that the child is readmitted to hospital, often after a distressing breakdown of the planned arrangements.

There is clearly a need for better planning, but with the decline in availability of general practitioners around the clock, the growth of locum services and the twenty-four hour clinic, ideal arrangements can be difficult to achieve. Some have seen this as indicating a need for hospice care for children, and plans are in place for such developments in New South Wales and Victoria. For the time being, the oncology units and many regional hospitals are still able to provide hospice-type care for the dying child and the family, but changes in hospital funding arrangements are likely to make this option less available.

Types of malignancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Cases Per Year</th>
<th>(Victoria)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leukaemia</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain tumours</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilms' tumour</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue sarcoma</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone tumours</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Types of Malignancy

The commonest childhood cancer is leukaemia in all its forms, with about forty new cases per year in Victoria. Despite an expectation of cure now around seventy per cent, there are still more children dying from leukaemia than from any other cancer. A recent study of experience in the United Kingdom found that survival following a decision to continue treatments at curative treatment averaged just over two weeks, and this would accord with local experience. The same would apply to many others of the rapidly growing tumours of childhood, but there are many exceptions where survival may be prolonged for many months, and skill is required in the use of palliative measures, particularly pain control and management of bleeding.

Conclusion

There are many problems in the care of the dying child which cannot be resolved by any amount of planned management or systems of care. These are the individual judgments that depend on established trust between the child, the family and the medical team. Looking back on my own experience, the bad times have been when there were conflicts between parents over their wish to continue or not to continue treatment, and worse still when the conflict was between parent and child. Fortunately, society in general and the law in particular has shown little interest in intruding into this field, in contrast with the experience of previous speakers.

Asking myself what would I do differently if I were starting again today, I would make greater efforts to respect the autonomy of the child; even quite young children know when they have had enough.

DISCUSSION

Doctors' Experiences

A member of the audience stated that he found definitions of euthanasia, as presented in recent public debate, very confusing. Dr Campbell's description of a baby being given an injection of morphine as life support was withdrawn was, he believed, one step back from true active euthanasia. He asked Dr Campbell if he could give a definition of the different forms of euthanasia. Dr Campbell commented that although parents may be overwhelmed by their baby's illness, they can still be very good decision makers. When dealing with babies where this sort of question may arise, the practice is to introduce the possibility of the need to withdraw treatment early in the course of the baby's illness to give parents time to prepare. Parents are also encouraged to involve as many other people in making a decision, and seek as much support as they think will be helpful.

A member of the audience noted Dr Campbell's estimation that over sixty babies a year die as a result of treatment not being offered or being withdrawn and asked if cost would be a reason for non-treatment. Dr Campbell indicated that cost has not been a
consideration he has needed to use when making a decision whether or not to withhold treatment from a baby. Costs are, however, taken into account in situations where neither research is done nor technology developed to treat illnesses where no-one believes the resultant quality of life would be worth the costs involved. Professor Shann commented that death is inevitable for us all and three variables - cost, length of survival and quality of life - are balanced against each other when deciding what treatment to offer. Cost is never the sole consideration in deciding treatment, particularly in paediatric practice.

A member of the audience proposed that the community, through the Guardianship Board, should be involved in making these decisions. Dr Campbell noted that one of the reasons for speaking in public about these matters is that he and colleagues were asking for help in making these decisions.

THE EXPERIENCES OF OTHERS

WORKING WITH FAMILIES

Mrs Margaret Loughnan

BECOMING A PARENT is a unique experience. Becoming the parent of a dying child is a painfully unique experience.

Because of major advances in modern medicine, our society generally expects that death should be synonymous with old age. In fact, there are many young adults today who have never experienced death in their own immediate family circle. So when a child dies, there is often no role model for how to behave, how to act, what to feel, or what to do. In this paper I will emphasise the professional care we should provide for families during their hospital crisis. That is, care which aims to prevent future grieving responses being unnecessarily prolonged by perceptions of adverse hospital experiences.

Medical problems and complexities surrounding death in children can be highly variable. Imagine the following three scenarios:

1) Peter is hit by a truck in front of his home and rushed to intensive care with major head injuries and fixed dilated pupils. Brain death will be diagnosed and a request for organ donation will be made.

2) A woman delivers her only child Matthew. He has dysmorphic features, and a diagnosis of trisomy 18 with a lethal cardiac abnormality is made. Physicians discuss with parents the possible need to withdraw intensive support.

3) Diagnosed with acute lymphatic leukaemia at four years, and following her second relapse, seven-year-old Sarah has an unsuccessful bone marrow transplant. Death is imminent.

Each of these situations is different in terms of their medical complexities, and certainly the immediate family responses to each situation will vary, depending upon the cause, the timing, or the surrounding circumstances. However all three families have something in common: their child is dying. They will also experience profound loss in many forms, such as:

- Loss of part of themselves, and their future.
- Secondarily, the advocacy needs. Have they actually heard or understood the seriousness of the information given? Do they need more or repeated information, even though the doctor may believe that he/she has adequately discussed the situation with them several times?
- Thirdly, the emotional response needs. The family need to be heard. They need someone to listen as they tell their story of events, often in a desperate attempt to make some sort of sense of their tragedy.

It is also important to assess risk factors in family dynamics or individual vulnerabilities, which in themselves could adversely affect future grief. And if these exist, we are alerted to the possible need in the future, for closer bereavement follow up.

Talking to Parents

Talking about death to parents is never easy. We can see the look of anguish on their faces, and this in turn can cause us, even unconsciously, to have feelings of discomfort. Consequently, our need to 'get it right', may result in us communicating uncertainties or ambiguities by using confusing words such as: 'we lost the battle', 'he fought a good fight', 'we did our best' and so on, without the word 'death' ever having been mentioned!

This word 'death' does shatter even remote illusions of hope and is usually received like a blow to the stomach. It is not necessary and is often inappropriate, to give complex explanations at this tense time. In fact, it has been stated by Rappaport and Jellinek (1990), that if you have spoken for more than three to five minutes, you have probably said too much.

We need to leave the family alone to cry and cuddle together, to allow private time for even semblances of reality to become apparent. You can always return later when the family are more emotionally prepared to receive information.

The future, normal grief responses can often become frightening, confusing and isolating, because we live in a society where role models for grief rarely exist. Therefore it is useful to 'preview' the normal grief responses which the family may experience in the many months ahead. For example they may:

- see, or hear their child call out to them;
- become unusually attached to toys or articles of clothing;
- possibly experience fleeting, yet unfamiliar suicidal thoughts;
- or they may hear comments and reactions from friends and acquaintances which can be hurtful, unsupportive and certainly unexpected.
The dying process

From my experience I believe it is beneficial, for the long term well-being of the family, for them to be with their child at the time of death. When families say 'I'd rather remember her the way she was', I believe such comments are, often understandably, related to fear of the unknown. Because if we think about it, the last memory of their child may be in intensive care covered with tubes. Or particularly in the case of brain death, their last memory may be of seeing the chest movement, feeling the body warmth, and hearing the heart monitor of their child - all of which are recognisable signs of life. This alone can confuse future grief.

Therefore, words we use are important. To ask a family if they would like to be with their dead or dying child would seem to be inappropriate and incomprehensible to me. Yet reality is usually much kinder than fantasy. For example, a future fantasy in grief, can often become a haunting fear such as, 'I can't believe she was really dead' or 'did he need me to be with him?' and so on. Therefore after having the reasons for doing so explained, the family can be asked if they will be with their child. From my experience no family has ever regretted having done so.

The chronically ill child

Time does not permit me to adequately discuss the importance of open communication with the dying child. Suffice it to say, the oncologist Pearson (1991) suggested that twenty years ago it was the exception for a child to know that he or she had cancer; today it is the rule. Although it hasn't as yet become a rule to tell a child he or she is going to die, thankfully this too is becoming increasingly common.

I have noticed that the culture of modern parenting is generally, to communicate far more openly with children than in years gone by. Therefore, perhaps our role should be to help the family maintain this culture of open communication, even at such a difficult time. Certainly, as Pearson believes, the benefits of open communication far outweigh the isolation from silence or denial: parents living with the constant fear of when, how, or if, the child may ask the difficult question, 'Am I going to die?'.

Also, open communication can maintain trust between the whole family, who are then in a position to unite in giving and receiving strength, not only with the child, but also with each other. And importantly, as Mercer (1994) indicated, a better future psychological adjustment in remaining family members is evident where communication has not been impeded.

Summary

Working with families of dying children is not easy. Even with all the expertise and knowledge we continue to accumulate in this area, we will always have things to learn. But we should remember that our smallest action or word can create lasting perceptions which can influence how a family effectively learn to live with the death, for as Pearson suggests, a family should never be expected to 'come to terms with' or be unaffected by the death of their child.

We need to acknowledge, listen to, work with, and continually learn from the families in our care.
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The duration of illness was twenty months, and at the time this seemed to engulf such a huge portion of his short life. The previous five years seemed to pale into insignificance.

He underwent a bone marrow transplant which entailed a long period of hospitalisation and isolation. He was seriously ill on several other occasions but rarely complained.

Michael’s final relapse was just three weeks before death.

We never spoke to Michael about dying. We have no regrets about that aspect of our caring. As family we had, in the twelve months preceding diagnosis, three close family relatives die. My mother and a sister-in-law with cancer and a brother-in-law through road trauma. Death and dying to us and Michael was very real and a part of life. Michael’s brothers and sisters were aware of his impending death and normal family dynamics were maintained.

Just forty-eight hours before Michael died he insisted on going to watch his eldest brother play football. He was weak and tired but this was where the family were and he wished to be with us.

Michael died peacefully at home.

His courage was our strength.

His death has left a huge gap in our hearts and family.

The memories we treasure.

The loss we have learned to live through.

It was a rough ride but together we’ve hung on tight.

**DISCUSSION**

The Experiences of Others

A member of the audience asked how conflict between the wishes of the parent and the wishes of the child can be resolved in these situations. Mrs Loughnan told of an eleven-year-old child who had asked her to speak to her family ... because they were acting differently. She told me that she was dying. She knew she was dying and it’s no big deal:

Mrs Nihill was asked if she would recommend that all families are helped in predictable ways or left to their own resources and initiatives. She commented from her own experience: Very early in Michael’s diagnosis it was suggested to us to be honest with our children and we were. There were times when we brought great news home... Other times, when things weren’t good, we still took that home and discussed it as family, and that certainly has helped us through his death and certainly with the grieving. Mrs Loughnan noted that it can be a problem when assistance to grieving people is restricted to the professionals. Grief is not an illness but a normal phenomenon that all people go through at some stage. In a lot of situations, it may be the next door neighbour, the parish priest or the hairdresser who is the best counsellor for a family.

A member of the audience commented that medical students are taught to consider the family as well as the child and that it is important to consider the effect a terminally ill child or baby has on its siblings as well as its parents. She also noted that the Royal Children’s Hospital has a bereavement group which welcomes parents back to the hospital after the death of their child.

A member of the audience talked about his experience with a death in the family, at a much later time in life, which confirmed Mrs Nihill’s comments on the support given by their GP during Mike’s illness. In fact our GP was one of the best supports in every way, and he even came to see me after the funeral, just to make sure I was all right.

**ETHICS AND THE LAW**

**CAN ETHICS GUIDE US?**

Professor Don Chalmers

I HAVE BEEN ASKED to make some, inevitably brief comments about whether ethics can guide us through the difficult and indeed depressing issue of caring for the severely disabled or dying child. It is entirely appropriate that we are discussing this issue in Victoria, a State with a distinguished record in the discussion of bioethical issues. It is hard to imagine that it is just over a decade since Professor Louis Waller completed his pioneering reports on artificial conception which were followed by the world’s first legislation in this area: The Infertility (Medical Procedures) Act 1984. Victoria has remained at the core of national and international debate in this area with the Monash University Centre for Human Bioethics and a distinguished host of knowledgeable contributors to the bioethics debate.

What we have been involved in is one of the most perplexing bioethical issues. In its strict sense bioethics is:

... the disciplined puzzling of people attempting to understand the significance of birth, copulation, illness and death, especially as these are touched on by health care and the biomedical sciences.

However the expression bioethics has tended to develop a wider sense beyond ethical issues relating to birth and death. The term frequently embraces the following:

- informed consent (in the doctor/patient relationship and in the context of those without full capacity such as infants, the aged or the mentally disabled);
- reproduction (including artificial means of conception such as AID, IVF, surrogacy and the control of reproduction by methods such as sterilisation);
- research involving human subjects;
- allocation of resources in health and welfare areas;
- active and passive euthanasia;
- mass screening;
- genetic research and therapy, and
- the ethical responsibilities of health professionals.
The 'disciplined puzzling' in ethics on the issue . . . will not necessarily provide answers but it may help us to find better ways of dealing with this intensely personal family dilemma.

May I express a note of caution. The 'disciplined puzzling' in ethics on the issue of caring for the severely disabled or dying child will not necessarily provide answers but it may help us to find better ways of dealing with this intensely personal family dilemma. The difficult thing with ethics is that there are no easy answers. At the Hastings Centre of Bioethical Research there is a plaque which reads: 'For every human problem there is a solution which is simple, neat and wrong'. Unfortunately, bioethics does not give right or wrong answers, conclusions whether something is ethical or unethical. Ethics is not an area for extremists, either 'modernists' (all experimentation must be permitted as knowledge itself will be increased) or 'fundamentalists' banning everything. It is a place where hard questions are asked and debated by those 'moral agnostics' who watch the scientists and listen to religious views and hope to find an answer to it all.

As a community we have advanced by realising that many of the bioethical dilemmas facing the practice of modern medicine are not technical problems but ones which, as Professor Kennedy has noted, involve profound ethical questions. In many ways, medical ethics has brought renewed vigour to the whole area of philosophy in general and ethics in particular.

What are the ways in which the Australian community may debate the ethics in this area? I should like to take this opportunity to discuss the ways in which we, as a community, can address such questions.

**Australian Health Ethics Committee**

Justice Michael Kirby was an early supporter of some form of permanent institution to tackle questions of bioethics, otherwise, it will be the judgement of history that the scientists of our generation brought forth most remarkable development of human ingenuity - but the lawyers, philosophers, theologians and law-makers proved incompetent to keep pace.

The Australian Health Ethics Committee was created by a union of the old Medical Research Ethics Committee of the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and the National Bioethics Consultative Committee. The Australian Health Ethics Committee is still largely an experimental exercise in national bioethics. As its Chair, I probably have a conflict of interest in making any statement about its future.

The NHMRC having been established by Order-in-Council in 1937 was put under a new statutory framework with the passage of the National Health and Medical Research Council Act, 1992. Only two of the principal committees were specifically mentioned within the terms of the Act. By Section 35 of the Act, the Minister must establish principal committees called the Medical Research Committee (MRC) and the Australian Health Ethics Committee (AHEC). During parliamentary debates, particularly those in the Senate, the composition and independence of the AHEC was established. First, AHEC must not have more than one member of the MRC of the NHMRC and its Chair must be a person who is not a member of the MRC. Secondly, the constitution of and appointment of the AHEC was the subject of a specific section under which a nomination system must take place from amongst peak bodies in relation to areas of expertise. The other key aspects of the Australian Health Ethics Committee are:

- references from bodies other than NHMRC.

The AHEC met for the first time at the end of August 1991. Work conducted a number of open fora which attempted to identify the key ethical issues in the debates about resource allocation. This group produced a paper entitled Ethical Considerations Relating To Health Care Resource Allocation Decisions. Rather than reviewing the economic rationale and approaches to resource allocation, a paper was prepared on those ethical considerations which guide the ethical distribution of scarce resources. Ethical Considerations Relating To Health Care Resource Allocation Decisions highlights the importance of two ethical issues, namely justice and equity, and autonomy as the primary ethical considerations in respect of resource allocation. The paper notes the subsidiary importance of ethical issues such as:

- respect for human life;
- taking into account quality of human life;
- respecting the integrity of the human body;
- assessing the importance of human disabilities;
- judging the relevance of social worth as being less important.

**Parliaments, medical practitioners, researchers and professional ethics**

It would be presumptuous to suggest that the Australian Health Ethics Committee is the sole repository of ethical wisdom and advice. Clearly, members of the community as a whole are quite capable of making ethical judgments by themselves. Issues of bioethics have been resolved for centuries without expert committees. Parliaments have been grappling with complex ethical issues in recent years. Most noteworthy has been the way in which the Victorian Parliament has not shied away from legislating in reproductive technology, child care or withdrawal of medical treatment for the terminally ill. Moreover, the medical profession has an ancient code of ethics which guided the profession for centuries before this current spate of bioethical dilemmas. The medical practitioner brings to each individual case not only their technical skills but the accumulated insights of their professional code of ethics. Finally, our researchers operate in a corrosively revived ethical culture. There is much debate about ethics and I trust that it is not merely words.

**The fundamental ethical principle**

In bioethics there is one key principle which transcends all discussion about utilitarianism, deontology and virtues. This principle is consent, the ingredients of which are competence, information and voluntariness of decision-making. We have long since revised our views that 'doctor knows best'. The medical profession generally (with a few die hards still in the trenches) has embraced a different relationship with its patients, one based on mutual respect and shared decision-making. Recently our High Court of Australia has followed United States and Canadian decisions and accepted the primacy of the consent principle. Consent has been the fundamental influence in shaping the new doctor/patient relationship.

**Conclusion**

Consideration of the legal and ethical aspects of health will grow in importance in the future. Institutions such as the NHMRC and I hope, the AHEC, will play vital roles in this development. Of course there are many other organisations contributing to these debates such as the Monash University Centre for Human Bioethics, the Australian Bioethics Association and many university departments. The first conclusion which can be drawn is that ethical debate should be firmly
grounded in community consultation. AHEC is in fact required under the terms of the National Health and Medical Research Council Act 1992 to conduct public consultation in relation to the preparation of guidelines.

Secondly, who is to decide? A balanced approach to this difficult issue must involve a recognition by health practitioners that ethical considerations are crucial in their work, and by the NHMRC that health practitioners and researchers must be an integral part of the development of appropriate guidelines. To separate ethical considerations from the practice of health and research is to invite irrelevance rather than independence.12 More importantly, as a liberal democracy we value individual autonomy and personal choice. We have to ensure that ethical decisions are made by those most directly affected by the decision.13 Dr Davis McCaughey, a former Governor of the State of Victoria has expressed this well.

Very few subtle ethical questions are well determined either in the adversarial conditions of parliamentary debate or by bureaucratically drafted ministerial decree. They must be formulated by men and women who understand and who have to live with the consequences of their actions.14

Thirdly, in the area of ethics, guidelines are usually superior to rule-making in legislation. Legislation tends to establish minimum standards based upon reasonable norms of practice. On the other hand, ethics is about right conduct and so endeavours for the best standards of practice rather than the acceptable average. In addition, ethics is a dynamic branch of philosophy which if included in legislation may sometimes freeze into immobility, a standard of practice which a later generation may find unacceptable. Simply put, ethical standards may go beyond legislative prescription.

Finally, as we continue to debate this issue, I hope that we can as a community conduct the debate with a little more trust in those involved in making these decisions, principally families and medical practitioners. It is unattractive to trust in the integrity of individuals, much less professionals, but trust and respect for others are preconditions to constructive ethical debate.
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**Should We Change the Law?**

**Associate Professor Loane Skene**

The LAW on the care of the severely disabled or dying child centres on two issues. First, what treatment, or failure to treat, is **lawful** in the circumstances? And secondly, who is **legally entitled to decide** about proposed treatment, or the withholding or withdrawal of treatment?

This paper suggests that although the law is generally clear, there are two areas in which further clarification is necessary to protect doctors, parents, other health professionals and hospitals in withholding or withdrawing treatment.

**What treatment, or failure to treat, is lawful?**

Doctors and other carers may be faced with a range of options when treating a severely disabled or dying child. Some are clearly within the law. Some are in a grey area of uncertain legality. And some are clearly unlawful.

**No resuscitation after 'death'**

The first of the options is the clearest. If a child stops breathing, it is probably lawful not to resuscitate where the prognosis is poor and the very process of artificial ventilation imposes a burden which is not warranted in view of the child's expected quality of life. Thus, in the English case, *Re J (a minor) (wardship: medical treatment)*, three judges of the Court of Appeal unanimously held that it would be lawful to withhold ventilation from a severely brain damaged baby if he stopped breathing. Withholding treatment would be in his best interests because he had severe and permanent brain damage due to shortage of oxygen and impaired blood supply during birth. He was epileptic and was likely to develop serious spastic quadriplegia (paralysis of both arms and legs), to be blind and deaf and unlikely ever to speak or to develop even limited intellectual abilities. His life expectancy was at most into his late teens, yet he would probably experience pain like other babies. The prognosis was clear from his current condition and the proposed medical procedure (reventilation) was itself painful. Thus, if he stopped breathing, it was justifiable not to resuscitate him.

**No extraordinary treatment**

The second category of case is where a decision may be made not to offer the full range of available treatment to a critically ill or dying child. 'Extraordinary treatment' here refers principally to surgery and to assisted ventilation. Although it might reasonably include artificial nutrition and hydration, doctors are less willing to withhold this support than ventilation. An example of justifiable withholding of extraordinary treatment was *Re C (a minor) (wardship: medical treatment).*2 There, baby C was born with severe, incurable brain damage (in addition to severe hydrocephalus, the brain structure was itself poorly formed). She was paralysed in arms and legs, blind, deaf, unresponsive to her environment and terminally ill. She was given...
shunt surgery for hydrocephalus but that was to prevent her head becoming so enlarged that nursing would become impossible. There was no prospect of the surgery improving her overall health as the brain damage before birth was irreparable. The court accepted that the goal of treatment should be to ‘ease the suffering of C rather than to achieve a short prolongation of her life’.

Withdrawal of ‘futile’ treatment

The third option rests on a similar premise to the second, but instead of not offering all available treatment, treatment is actually withdrawn. A common example is the withdrawal of ventilation when it is clear that a patient cannot recover. In the English cases, withdrawal has been justified on the basis that it is not in the patient’s ‘best interests’ to continue the treatment. The question is not whether it is in the patient’s best interests to have life prolonged by this form of medical treatment or care, which may be painful or intrusive, or upsetting for relatives and carers, when the unconscious or dying patient can gain no benefit from it.

The American cases have often focused on the ‘futility’ of possible treatment. It is not unlawful to withdraw futile treatment but the meaning of ‘futile’ is obviously problematic. Can treatment be described as ‘futile’, for example, if it enables a person to breathe, or it extends a person’s life for days, or even hours? In fact, futility has generally been regarded as requiring a longer term perspective. It may be considered futile, for example, to impose treatment when the patient has no prospect of recovering or enjoying an acceptable quality of life, so that it may be legally justifiable to withdraw treatment on those grounds.

English judges have stated that, before withdrawing treatment from adult patients, doctors must apply to a court for a declaration and the Official Solicitor has published a Practice Note setting out the procedures to be followed in making an application. It could be argued that similar procedures should be followed when removing treatment from children. In Australia, however, there are no recommended procedures and doctors generally decide, in consultation with parents, when treatment should be withdrawn, without applying for court sanction. If they make the wrong decision, both doctors and parents may face criminal prosecution or a coronial inquiry, despite the fact that they believed they were acting lawfully and in the child’s best interests.

Extra drugs to relieve pain

The fourth option moves from withholding or withdrawing treatment to a positive act – the administration of increasing doses of drugs to relieve pain, knowing that this will accelerate death. This, too, is lawful provided that the primary intention is to relieve pain and not to cause or accelerate death, and the drugs are given because the child is in pain and not because the child’s likely quality of life is so poor as to not warrant treatment. The cases that serve as authority for this proposition all involve adults (like Re Dr Bodkin Adams1 and Atredale NHS Trust v Bland2) but there is no reason to believe that the courts would view treatment of children differently in this regard.

A lethal dose

The fifth option in treating severely disabled or dying patients is unlawful – to administer a lethal dose of a drug, or to do any other act to end life, with the intention of causing or accelerating death, even with the best intentions and at the request of the child or parents. Throughout Australia, this is homicide (even in the Northern Territory as the recently passed Rights of the Terminally Ill Act 1995 applies only to adults).

Who decides?

The second legal issue in treating severely disabled or dying children is who has lawful authority to decide about the treatment for a severely disabled or dying child. Is it the parents, or the child if old enough; the doctors or health care team; all together; a ethics committee; or a court? The answer depends in part on the age and medical condition of the child and the general circumstances. The major factor is whether the parties agree or disagree about giving or withdrawing treatment.

All agree to give treatment

If all parties agree that treatment should be given, the parents or an older child can generally consent. Parents are guardians of their child until the child is eighteen (sections 60E(1),(2), 60F Family Law Act 1975 (Cth)) and either parent is entitled to make decisions about any clinically indicated procedure for their child. Thus parents could consent to ventilation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation if the child stopped breathing, artificial feeding and the like. Similarly, parents could consent to the administration of increasing doses of analgesia to relieve the child’s pain, or sedatives for distress. Normally, parents would be involved in making these decisions, although some hospitals try to spare parents the responsibility of the final decision by making the decision a joint one of the doctors and the parents, rather than the parents alone.

The right of parents to make decisions about their child’s treatment, including critically ill newborns, was strongly endorsed by the Victorian Deputy State Coroner in 1991, in the widely publicised case of Baby M. She said:

A mature community should have confidence in, and lend support to, its members, in these instances. These may include members of the medical profession, parents, and their advisers, guided by the best available information, experience, good judgement, sincerity and common sense, to reach the right decision in a particular case.8

The one limitation on the decision making authority of parents is that they must act in the child’s best interests. If there is a doubt about whether the decision of a parent (or indeed of a doctor, other health professional or anyone else caring for a child) is in the child’s best interests, any person9 may apply to a court for an order to protect the child’s interests. An example was Re F; F v F10 in 1986, in which a judge of the Supreme Court of Victoria made a newborn spina bifida baby a ward of the court on the application of the child’s grandfather and ordered the hospital caring for her to take ‘necessary and reasonable steps’ and to pursue ‘good medical practice’ in treating her.

Children over eighteen are entitled to make their own decisions (Age of Majority Act 1977 (Vic)). Before reaching eighteen, an older child who is able to understand about proposed treatment may also consent to it; the age at which this occurs will depend on the child’s level of maturity and the seriousness of the treatment (Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech AHA11, Secretary, Department of Health and Community Services (NT)) v JWB and SMI (Marion’s case)).12

All agree to withdraw treatment

If all parties agree that treatment should be withdrawn, the position is similar. Provided that the withdrawal is lawful in the circumstances (as outlined above), then the parents or an older child can probably decide; and a doctor who acts on their authority is protected from civil, and probably also criminal, liability (Baby M).13 A cautious doctor could, perhaps, apply for court authority but that is not necessary. However, if an outside party, such as another relative or a concerned member of the public, believes that the decision to withhold or withdraw treatment is not in the child’s best interests, they may apply to a court for an order requiring treatment to be given (as in Re F described earlier). Courts have tended to be conservative in these circumstances and a court order overrides the decision of doctors, parents or the older child.
Disagreements about treatment

By far the most difficult cases are those where there is a disagreement about the treatment. There are a range of different situations.

Parents refuse treatment that the doctor recommends. If parents refuse treatment that the doctor believes is necessary to save the child's life, the parents' decision can be overridden in a number of ways. First, there is specific legislation in all States that authorises doctors to give children blood transfusions if that is necessary to save the child's life (for example, section 24 Human Tissue Act 1982 (Vic)). Secondly, in an emergency it is permissible to do whatever is necessary to save a patient's life or to prevent a serious risk to health, without obtaining consent (Murray v McMurphy)16 Thirdly, as noted earlier, any person may apply to a court for an order to protect a child's interests.

Older child consents to treatment parents have refused. A 'mature minor' can consent to treatment even if parents refuse consent. The principle that a mature minor may consent to treatment without her parents' knowledge was established by the House of Lords in Gillick's case21 and approved by the High Court of Australia in Marion's case.22 The same principle would seem to apply if the parents refused.

Older child refuses treatment that parents and doctors consider appropriate. Although it might seem logical that a child who is old enough to consent to treatment is also old enough to refuse it, a number of recent English cases suggest that a minor's refusal can be overruled not only by a court order, but also by a parent's consent. Lord Donaldson suggested, in Re R23 that the parents' authority to consent coexists with that of the child. It would therefore be lawful for a doctor to treat a child who has refused treatment provided that a parent consents.24 He reaffirmed this view in Re W25 and this appears to be the law in England. However, there is no specific authority on this point in Australia and it is difficult to predict whether an Australian court would allow parental consent to override the refusal of a mature minor. In Marion's case,26 the majority judgments of the High Court of Australia appear to suggest that either a parent or a child can consent, whereas one of the judges (McHugh J) clearly thought that parental power to consent ceases when the child was mature enough to decide. In any event, a doctor who is unsure about whether to proceed on the basis of parental authority alone could apply to a court and it is clear that court orders override the refusal of a child (or parents): South Glamorgaon County Council v W and B.27 Courts are likely to be conservative in assessing the competence of a child who refuses treatment recommended by a doctor (Re E (a minor)28) and if the child is found not to be competent, the parent's decision will prevail.

Parent or child wants treatment that doctors believe is inappropriate. Patients are not entitled to be given treatment that doctors do not believe is clinically appropriate and a court will not generally review the doctor's decision in such a case. Thus in the recent English case, Re Cambridge District Health Authority, ex part R,29 the court would not override a health authority's decision not to fund extra chemotherapy and other treatment for a ten-and-a-half-year-old girl with leukemia, because the doctors considered the treatment 'experimental' and said that it had a relatively low chance of success (ten to twenty per cent for each of two stages of treatment). The health authority was not required to prove that granting resources to this patient would deprive other patients of resources.

In contrast, a mother in a recent American case, Re K,30 persuaded a court that she was entitled to ventilator support for her anencephalic baby. Her argument was based partly on her constitutional right under the United States Constitution to practise her religion freely (she was a devout Christian for whom all life was sacred and must be preserved; while the doctors were maintaining her baby's life temporarily, she hoped for a miracle). Other arguments focused on disability legislation, stating that it was unlawful to deny treatment to this baby because of her disability, an argument that would seem to be open under similar legislation in Australia, though it has not yet been attempted and is probably unlikely to be successful. (In America, commentators since the Re K case have been quick to argue that the courts should not be entitled to require highly technological treatment for a child who has no chance of gaining or regaining consciousness and courts have not been prepared to require hospitals to provide such treatment for older patients in a persistent vegetative state who are unlikely to regain consciousness.)

Disagreements between family members. If either parent consents to treatment for a child, that is sufficient legal authority for treatment to be given, though doctors may decide to apply for a court order. The English High Court recently said that although the views of dissenting relatives are important, they are not determinative; it authorised a hospital to withdraw tube feeding from an adult patient despite his mother's objection because continued treatment was not in his best interests: Re G.31

Disagreements between health professionals. Disagreements between health professionals are generally resolved by institutional policies or procedures; or consultation between all members of the health care team.

Should the law be changed?

It can be seen from this brief outline of the law, that there are two main areas where legal problems may arise. The first is in defining what is lawful to withhold or to withdraw treatment from a severely disabled or dying child. If treatment is withheld or withdrawn because it seems futile in achieving more than the immediate maintenance of life, then it is probably lawful to withhold or withdraw treatment. If, on the other hand, treatment is withheld or withdrawn because that seems to be in the best interests of the child based on the child's anticipated quality of life, the law is unclear and doctors or parents who agree to withhold or withdraw treatment may risk criminal prosecution.

Procedural guidelines should therefore be developed by a professional body such as the Australian College of Paediatrics to guide doctors and hospitals in decision making and to provide some legal protection. They could be backed by legislation providing that if doctors follow the guidelines and report to an external body such as the Coroner after withdrawing treatment, they are protected from prosecution.

The second area of uncertainty is whether disability legislation could be used by parents in arguing that treatment should not be withheld from their child because of a 'disability'. There is no authority on this issue in Australia and one cannot say with certainty how a court would react.

Nevertheless, it would clearly be desirable for the disability legislation to be worded so that it is clear that it cannot be used to compel doctors and hospitals to offer treatment that they do not believe is clinically indicated in the circumstances.
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DISCUSSION

Ethics and the Law

Asked to enlarge on his comments about care in the hospice context, Professor Clark indicated that he didn't think hospice care provided an answer in terms of a place for children to die. There does however, seem to be a need for some sort of respite care, where children can go with another family member in order to give everybody a break. Mrs Loughnan noted that continuity of care is important and that there are in fact many doctors prepared to spend many hours in the beginning when a child is diagnosed with a potentially terminal illness. Continuity of care could be ensured by palliative carers being brought in during the early stages of illness. Professor Clark commented that this presents a dilemma as the treatment of childhood cancer begins with the expectation that the child will be cured and palliative care won't be needed.

It was suggested by a member of the audience that a board of practising doctors should be constituted to decide on questions of terminating the life of a patient dying in agony. A/Prof Skene noted in response, that although it is difficult to require people to go to court to get a declaration that a particular treatment or the withdrawal of a treatment is lawful, the underlying expectation is that the community as a whole, through its judicial officers and the law, should be overseeing these decisions. Although doctors should be involved in decision-making, the community would not be prepared to hand such a decision over to the medical profession without review. A member of the audience commented that neither guardianship boards, nor a board of doctors should be making these decisions. He believed that the people making the decisions are the correct ones. He noted however, that some speakers were saying that the decision makers - the families and the doctors - would like some support. He also endorsed the suggestion of guidelines for making these decisions, but not a formal decision-making body.

Professor Smallwood asked if the clinicians could say how young the child might be whose wishes should take precedence in such decisions. Professor Clark noted that the mature twelve-year-old makes good decisions about contraception which are upheld by the law. The five-year-old however, has a limited concept of death and is more concerned about being with the family. He suggested that doctors should make the best judgement they can of the maturity of the child between the ages of five and twelve but noted that below the age of about ten, decisions children make are not likely to be actualy about death but about whether they want another injection or to be with their mother. Mrs Loughnan noted that the development of children can vary a lot and that one of the main concerns for children is that they are going to be forgotten or left alone. Professor Chalmers suggested that however old we think a child should be to be considered competent to give consent - and even if they are not old enough - their wishes should surely be taken into consideration.
Structure and Function of Faculty Board

During the year Faculty conducted a review of the structure, composition and operations of the Faculty Board and other decision-making structures. The major changes made arising from the review relate to the size and composition of Faculty Board, and include provision for the new School of Postgraduate Nursing.

The last major review of Faculty Board was conducted in 1988/89 when the amalgamation of the Faculties of Medicine and Dental Science was being finalised with the establishment of the Schools of Medicine and Dental Science. Since 1989 the Faculty has become a Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences (with the creation of the Schools of Physiotherapy and Behavioural Science) and the membership of Faculty Board had grown from 115 to more than 150. While the Board and Faculty committee and school structures were functioning satisfactorily, it was agreed to reduce the Board’s size to approximately the level existing pre-1989, primarily by introducing a category of elected membership among the professors and professor/directors in the School of Medicine. The changes made were considered possible without jeopardising the Board’s decision-making effectiveness or its role as a major academic forum and communication link into the wider communities outside the University.

The changes included provision for the School of Postgraduate Nursing and took effect from 1 January 1996.

Teaching Hospital Developments

During 1995 the State Government-appointed Metropolitan Hospitals Planning Board (MHPB) completed two reports with major implications for the Faculty and its role in the health care system. In its Phase One Report, Developing Melbourne’s Hospital Network (June 1995), MHPB recommended the formation of seven health care networks based upon the existing thirty-five independent public hospitals in Melbourne. Charged with overseeing the gradual transfer of many services from inner Melbourne to the middle and outer suburbs of the city, the networks commenced operation on 1 August 1995 under newly-appointed Boards of Governance. In its Phase Two Report, Taking Melbourne’s Health Care Networks into the 21st Century (December 1995), recommendations were made on a number of issues, including undergraduate education, postgraduate training, research and development. In recognising that teaching, training, research and development are cornerstones of a high quality health care system and must be safeguarded and promoted in all networks, the MHPB has urged networks, educational institutions and professional colleges responsible for postgraduate training to work together to develop innovative approaches to teaching, training and research across the whole range of health care facilities in the network.

This Faculty is committed to continue to play a major contributing role in meeting the challenges laid down by the MHPB to ensure that high quality, efficient and accessible health care services continue to be available in metropolitan Melbourne into the next century.

Reviews of Academic Departments

During 1995 the Departments of Medicine (jointly), the Department of Microbiology and the Department of Paediatrics were each reviewed as part of the University-wide system of external reviews of academic departments which began in 1994. The findings of each review were highly satisfactory and congratulations are recorded to each of the Departments on the outcome.

The Faculty Departments for review in 1996 are Pharmacology and Physiology (jointly), and the Department of Pathology.

Medical Centre Extension

A major decision was taken by the University during 1995 to proceed with the construction of two additional floors on the top of the Medical Centre. This project, at an expected cost exceeding $19m will house the Department of Pharmacology (on relocation from the Microbiology Building), and provides for new animal house facilities and a multimedia unit. The work is anticipated to be completed by September 1997, and is receiving significant funding from Faculty sources [see Chiron 1996, p22].

Teaching (and Other Academic Developments)

In 1995 the following new programs were introduced: combined MBBS/BSc course; degree of Master of Public Health; graduate diploma in Adolescent Health; graduate diploma in Drug Evaluation and Pharmaceutical Sciences; additional specialty program in postgraduate diploma in Advanced Clinical Nursing (renal nursing). During 1995 approval was given for the following academic initiatives.

* Creation of Professor/Director positions in the following areas: Critical Care Medicine (Royal Children’s Hospital); Haematology and Medical Oncology (Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute); Microbiological Research (Women’s and Children’s Health Care Network); Young People’s Mental Health (Royal Melbourne Hospital).

* New programs in 1996 for the degrees of Master of Audiology and Master of Physiotherapy (by coursework), and graduate diplomas in Genetic Counselling and in Mental Health Sciences (specialty
programs in transcultural mental health, infant and parent mental health).

- Transfer of responsibility for the graduate diploma in Biotechnology from the Faculty of Science to this Faculty for implementation in 1996.
- Amended programs in 1996 for the postgraduate diploma in Psychology (previously titled Adolescent and Child Psychology) and the graduate diploma in Drug Evaluation and Pharmaceutical Sciences.
- Additional specialty programs in 1996 for the graduate diploma in Adolescent Health (early psychosis, youth drug studies) and the postgraduate diploma in Advanced Clinical Nursing (neuroscience, psychiatric nursing, rural critical care).
- Proposal for University consideration for the introduction in 1997 of a three year coursework Doctor of Psychology program to be offered in the specialty streams of Clinical Psychology, Clinical Neuropsychology, and Organisational and Industrial Psychology (replacing current coursework Masters programs).
- Arising out of a 1995 Dental Auxiliary Workforce Review established by the State Minister of Health, a new two year undergraduate diploma in Oral Health Therapy to be offered in 1996 by the School of Dental Science, comprising two streams of vocational outcome in school dental therapy and dental hygiene.
- Following a visit to China by the Dean as part of a University delegation, an agreement was signed with the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College.
- Further to the $0.82m allocated in 1994 for teaching developments and innovations, additional funding for this purpose was provided in 1995 to the Biomedical Multimedia Unit and to the Departments of Anatomy and Cell Biology, and Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, as well as a successful application for $0.309m being made to support a telelecturing/teleconferencing link between St Vincent's Hospital and Geelong Hospital from the University's Quality Assurance Grant. Other initiatives in teaching methodology and curriculum development have also been introduced in the Schools of Behavioural Science, Dental Science, and Physiotherapy. Four projects worth approximately $170,000 were successful in obtaining funding under the Federal Government's 1996 national teaching development grants program.
- The creation of Standing Committees on Ophthalmology and Otalaryngology in the School of Medicine to provide advice on undergraduate education and postgraduate developments in these disciplines.

Research

The research strengths of the Faculty continue to be demonstrated through the level of research funding attracted, its links with external bodies, including industry, and the demand for higher degree research training. This Faculty was successful again in obtaining the largest allocation of NHMRC funding of any medical/health sciences faculty in Australia. About $11m of NHMRC funds were obtained to support 120 Project Grants (including thirty-six new projects) and four Program Grants in the Faculty for 1995, as well as approximately $2m of NHMRC funds awarded to associates of University departments in affiliated teaching hospitals. From all sources outside the University, this Faculty attracted in 1995 a total of approximately $32m in funding to support research. Faculty allocated to academic departments a further $1m in 1995 from its Development Fund for basic infrastructural support, including equipment replacement. This means that $2.5m of Faculty funds has been distributed to departments during the past three years for this essential purpose.

In principle approval was given during 1995 for the University's administrative responsibility for NHMRC research funding to be transferred from this Faculty to the Office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research) during 1996/97.

People

Key appointments taken up in 1995
- Professor Daine Alcorn, Professor of Anatomy
- Professor Ruth Bishop, Professorial Associate with title of Professor (Paediatrics)
- Professor Graham Brown, Professor/Director of Infectious Diseases and International Health (Royal Melbourne Hospital and Walter and Eliza Hall Institute)
- Professor Lorraine Dennerstein, Personal Chair, Department of Public Health and Community Medicine
- Professor Barbara Cone-Wesson, Beth MacLaren Smallwood Professor of Audiology and Speech Science
- Professor Stephen Harrap, Professor of Physiology
- Professor Robin Marks, Professor/Director of Dermatology (St Vincent's Hospital and Skin and Cancer Foundation)
- Professor Philip Morris, Professor/Director, National Centre for War-Related Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre)
- Professor Lester Peters, Professor/Director of Radiation Oncology (Peter MacCallum Hospital)
- Professor Frank Shann, Professor/Director of Critical Care Medicine (Royal Children's Hospital)
- Professor Robert Williamson, Professor/Director, Murdoch Institute for Research into Birth Defects, and David Danks Research Professor of Medical Genetics (Royal Children's Hospital)

Key appointments to be taken up in 1996
- Professor Suzanne Cory, Professor of Medical Biology (Director, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute)
- Professor David Kissane, Professor/Director of Palliative Medicine (St Vincent's Hospital/Caritas Christi Hospice/Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute/Mercy Hospice Care)

Other positions pending appointment
- Chair of Dental Medicine
- Chair of Microbiology
- Chair of Nursing
- Chair of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (Mercy Hospital for Women and Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre)
- Professor/Director of Neonatal Paediatrics (Royal Women's Hospital/Royal Children's Hospital)
- Professor/Director of Orthodontics (Royal Dental Hospital of Melbourne)
- Professor/Director, Young People's Mental Health (Royal Melbourne Hospital)

Retirements/Resignations
- Professor Norman A Beischer
- Professor David M Danks
- Professor Donald G MacLellan
- Professor Graeme B Ryan (see also later)

Bereavements
- Professor Emeritus Keith C Bradley
- Dr Jack L Evans
- Dr Frank Forster
- Dr Richard H Hammond
- Mr Peter G Jones

Honours, awards and other appointments during 1995

Order of Australia
- Dr William Adam, PSM
- Dr Henry Ekert, AM
- Associate Professor John Harcourt, OAM
- Professor Kenneth Hardy, AO

The Australian newspaper Australian of the Year
- Professor Sir Gustav Nossal

Richard Bright Distinguished Lecturer 1995 Award of American Society of Hypertension
- Professor Colin I Johnston

College Medal of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians
- Professor Colin I Johnston

Morton J Grossman Distinguished Lecturer 1995
- Professor John B Furness
Community Relations

The promotion of good relationships with alumni, with related professional groups and the general community, is given high priority in this Faculty.

Students

The Faculty’s programs at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels continue to attract outstanding students. At the undergraduate level this is reflected in the receipt of 1995 Premier’s VCE Awards and the 1994 Australian Student Prizes. For the MBBS course the ‘clearly-in’ TER rank was 99.85, with a ‘fringe rank’ of 98.15, the percentage of applicants with a TER at or above the ‘fringe rank’ who were offered places. The corresponding figures for the BDSc and BPhysio courses were 99.00, 96.95 and less than 50 per cent (BDSc) and 98.70, 94.15 and less than 50 per cent (BPhysio). The ‘clearly-in’ TER rank for MBBS/BA and MBBS/BSc was 99.90 respectively. At the higher degree level, strong demand continues for places, particularly by research thesis. In 1995, 791 EFTSUs (compared with 704 in 1994 and 669 in 1993) were enrolled, this being 21.2 per cent of the total teaching load of the Faculty. The proportion of Faculty higher degree load to University higher degree load in 1995 is 26.3 per cent (compared with 24.5 per cent in 1994 and 22.7 per cent in 1993).

Community Relations

The promotion of good relationships with alumni, with related professional groups and the general community, is given high priority in this Faculty.

The University of Melbourne Medical Society (UMMS), established in 1982, provides medical alumni with an opportunity to enjoy active links with the School of Medicine, the University and with fellow alumni. The UMMS journal Chiron has an important role in fostering these relationships and in 1995, again achieved the highest standards thanks to the work of Mrs Margaret Mackie. UMMS has maintained a strong membership in 1995 and there has been continued interest in School of Medicine, University of Melbourne and UMMS activities and in medical graduate reunions. Members enjoyed Professor Emeritus WSC Hare’s 1995 UMMS Lecture entitled Röntgen and His New Kind of Rays. UMMS continues to sponsor an annual prize for the best BMedSc research report submitted by a medical student. UMMS also sponsors up to three prizes (the Peter G Jones Elective Essay Prizes) for essays submitted by final year students in connection with their elective studies – two such prizes were awarded in 1995.

The Society of Alumni and Friends of Dental Science continues to enjoy success under its new constitution and Committee of Management, and provides valuable support to the School of Dental Science.

The Faculty actively promotes high-quality continuing professional education programs. The School of Medicine and the School of Dental Science have well-established continuing professional education programs. Under the leadership of Professor Emeritus Priscilla Kincaid-Smith, the Continuing Education Unit offered eleven medical courses in 1995. These popular courses, mostly for general practitioners, were developed by departments with the support of the Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, General Practice Unit and the Continuing Education Unit. In addition, several clinical attachments for overseas doctors from developing countries have been organised as short courses. The School of Physiotherapy continued its Postgraduate Seminar Series, and Bobath and Advanced Bobath courses leading to an internationally recognised certificate have been offered to members of the profession as well as other non-award courses.

The Faculty’s annual Dean’s Lecture Series continues to offer a valuable public forum for inaugural lectures for new professors/professor-directors as well as for major named lectures.

The 19th Mathison Memorial Lecture, entitled Gene therapy – expensive dream or exciting reality? was delivered by Professor Bob Williamson, Director of the Murdoch Institute of Research into Birth Defects as part of the 1995 Dean’s Lecture Series. Other speakers in the Series were Professor Frank Oberklaid, Professor Stephen Harrap, Professor Scott Henderson (Beattie Smith Lecture), Professor Margot Prior, Professor Robin Marks, Professor Peter Disher, Professor John Hutson, Professor Eric Reynolds and Professor H Kerr Graham. The 1995 Dean’s Lecture Series concluded with the medical ethics seminar Caring for the Severely Disabled or Dying Child, convened by Professor Richard Smallwood. The seminar attracted a sizeable audience and considerable media attention.

Tribute to the Dean:

Faculty Management

A major event for the Faculty occurred when the Dean, Professor Graeme Ryan, left the University in November 1995 to take up the challenging position of Chief Executive Officer of the new Inner Health Care Network in Melbourne.

At the last Faculty meeting for 1995 tribute by standing acclamation was paid to Graeme Ryan for his leadership, his outstanding contributions, and for the dedication he has shown in his work for the Faculty, the University and the wider community. In particular, the Faculty expressed its gratitude for the leadership role he played in the Faculty as Deputy Dean (1980-1985) and then as Dean for the past ten years. Before his new appointment, Graeme had been appointed by the University Council during the year as the sole nominee for a further term of office as Dean from 1996.

On the occasion of the above Faculty meeting Professor Ryan as Dean expressed his thanks and appreciation of the support again
GRAEME RYAN RESIGNED from his position as Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences on 17 November 1995 and left the University to take up an appointment as Chief Executive Officer of the Inner Health Care Network in Melbourne. His many friends are genuinely sorry that he has left the University, but sincerely wish him every success with this complex and demanding job.

Graeme Ryan’s move from the University inevitably prompts me to reconsider the fifteen or so years during which I have been associated with him both professionally and as a friend. Undoubtedly, the most important aspect of his contribution to the University and to the careers of his more immediate colleagues in the Department of Anatomy and in the Faculty, was that we always knew we were dealing with a genuine, friendly person, who could be trusted to give assistance if that were possible, and whose aspirations for the Department, the Faculty and the University matched ours. This, of course, did not mean that there was always blissful agreement, but it did ensure that one was always confident of being heard out, and of being given the opportunity to explore with him particular problems and their solutions. I greatly appreciated this approach when I moved from Physiology to Anatomy in 1981. The friendliness and calm in Anatomy, and the generous support given the newly arrived, somewhat agitated group from the Brain Research Laboratory, was greatly appreciated, and helped members of that laboratory on their professional way. Similarly, when Graeme became Dean of Medicine in 1986 he established a new sense of security and unity of purpose. This steadying effect provided the foundation for the profound remodelling of the Faculty that would occur in the following decade, and which was effected without spilling blood.

A medical graduate of the University of Melbourne (MBBS 1961, MD 1966), Graeme Ryan quickly moved into a research laboratory, first in the Department of Pathology at Melbourne (1963-1965), then to St. Bartholomew’s Hospital, London (1968-1969). He then spent four years in the Department of Pathology, University of Geneva, working with Professor Majno, and an additional three years at Harvard as a staff member (Associate Professor) working with Morris Karnovsky. By this stage of his career Graeme was a well established experimental investigator of renal structure in health and disease, applying the methods of molecular biology, and with an extensive list of substantial publications.

In 1976 he returned to Melbourne to set up an NHMRC Renal Diseases Research Unit in the Department of Pathology, and in 1978 was appointed Professor and Chairman of the Department of Anatomy. The Renal Unit moved with him to the Department of Anatomy, and quickly attracted excellent co-investigators. These included Daine Alcorn, who not only continues as a singularly active member of this Unit, but who has recently stepped into Graeme’s former position of Professor and Chairman of the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology.

Graeme’s period of chairmanship of the Department of Anatomy (1978-1985) was characterised by important changes in the undergraduate teaching courses, and a great expansion of the research in the Department. This transformation was dramatic, with upheavals in the undergraduate teaching of topographic anatomy, cell biology and neuroscience, and with the appointment of new staff. The Renal Research Unit flourished.

In 1986, after a stint as Deputy-Dean, Graeme Ryan was appointed Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, an appointment which redirected his career. While continuing as Head of the Renal Research Unit, Graeme’s major commitments were now focused on Faculty and University affairs, which he tackled enthusiastically. The major Faculty changes which he implemented were (a) the fusion of the Faculties of Medicine and Dental Science, the establishment of a School of Physiotherapy, and the assimilation of all of these along with the University Department of Psychology to form a single Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, and (b) the appointment of about twenty new Professor/Directors in the various teaching hospitals of the Faculty. These brave changes in the Faculty have been made against the backdrop of important politically-driven changes that have swept across all Australian universities, including Melbourne, in recent years. We shall have to follow events over the next decade to fully appreciate how this substantial expansion of the Faculty will affect its research and teaching, and its role in the University. What is quite clear, however, is that the impact of these changes will be profound, and that Graeme’s deanship will be seen as a watershed in the Faculty’s history.

During this later period of his career Graeme has also had an active role in the general University administration, being President of the Academic Board (1989-1990), an elected member of the University Council (1985-1990), and chairman of numerous University committees, including the PhD Committee (1982-1985). He also has had important appointments outside the University of Melbourne, including the chairmanship of the NHMRC Grants Committee (1985-1987) and of the Committee of Deans of Australian Medical Schools, and as a Governor of the Ian Potter Foundation. Graeme has also been a member of the boards of several hospitals and research institutes associated with the University of Melbourne. In recognition of these many contributions to the community he was appointed Companion of the Order of Australia in 1994.

Graeme Ryan leaves the University of Melbourne with the sincere thanks and good wishes of a very large group of friends. He starts a new, exciting and difficult career, which we shall all follow with interest and with expectation of his considerable success.

Ian Darian-Smith
**SCHOOL OF MEDICINE HIGHER DEGREES AND DIPLOMAS CONFERRED 1995**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vasso Apostolopoulos, BSc – Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvio Basie, BSc – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zdenka Bolesvic, BSc – Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Sutherland Browning, BA, BSc –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomy &amp; Cell Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldona Butkus, MAppSc, DipLabTech (RMIT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Andrew Byron, BAppSc (RMIT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Robert Cancilla, BSc – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Margarett Gunnesmer, BSc (James</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook) – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Lavinia Halliday, BSc (Monash) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health &amp; Community Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ailsa Gwendoline Harpur, BSc – Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Hayes, BSc – Physiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Souheir Houssami – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqueline Dione Hunt, BSc (Monash) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Peter Jamieson, BSc (Qld), MSc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Frances Jones, MBBS (Lond) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Andrew Jones – Otolaryngology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haricia Kakouris – Pharmacology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Jane Kay, BA (Tas) – Psychiatry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wen Chen Kendrick, BMed (Shanghai Second</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Uni) – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Robert King – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair Lawley, BSc – Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shireen Ruth Lamande – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith Jane Layton, BSc (Monash) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiaoziou Li, DipMed (Shanxi) – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhi Shan Li – Anatomy &amp; Cell Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhanq Liu, BMed, MSc (Harbin Uni) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roxana Marcela Llanos – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Elizabeth Lukies, BSc (Monash) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Anne Luscombe, BSc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Louise Malby, BSc (Syd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Bruce Mann – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Alan McArthur – Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Kevin McConnell, BAppSc (W’gong),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc (Ball State Uni, Indiana) – Physiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Ronald McCoy, BSc, MAppSc (VicUT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Physiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Charles McFarlane, BSc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Pharmacology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William John McKinstry, BSc (Tas) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Michaelson – BSc – Pathology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOCTOR OF MEDICINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert David Moir – Pathology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padma Murthi, MPh, MSc (Madr) – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Oelrichs, BMedSc, MBBS (Qld) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Desmond O’Shea – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasilia Papalari, BSc – Pharmacology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel John Peet – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balakumar Pulendran – Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Jane Richards, BSc – Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Jane Richardson, BSc –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Allen Read, BAppSc, DipEd (LaT) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine Grace Robb – Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Gerard Rowley – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Paul Sarsero, BSc – Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Andrew Schier, BAppSc (Swinburne UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc (Monash) – Psychiatry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Dominic Shafston, MSc, DipEd –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Physiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sansnee Jirojwong Skolnik – Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Hermann Sotzik, BSc (W’Aust) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Ian Stapleton, BSc (LaT) – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora Elizabeth Strazinsky, BPharm (South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aust UT), DipHospPharm (Syd) – Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongchen Tao, BSc (Hebei Med Coll) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Physiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqo Mohammad Tokhi – Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Traianedes – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerma Ung, MSc (Canada) – Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Vincan, BSc – Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xueyong Wang – Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alister Curtis Ward, BSc – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Raymond Thomas Young, BSc (LaT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiping Yu – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yanling Zhang – Physiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu Zhang, BSc (Nanjing Coll Pharm, China),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc (China Pharm Uni) – Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MASTER OF MEDICINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Helen Amir, MBBS, GradDipWmHealth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheila Baingana, MBBS (Mas, Uganda) –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllis May-Ling Chua, MBBS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandra Mary Cockram, MBBS (Monash)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADUATE DIPLOMA IN AUDIOLGY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Jane Chandler –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tina Michelle Dennett –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Christopher Flynn –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belinda Anne Henry –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Latus –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Kristen Logan –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne Lee Mynard –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Ann Sutcliffe –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Patricia Wheeler –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicola Daly –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Dwight –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Anne Hardie –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Kargas –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Marie Lewis –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasa Maria McVinish –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tania Elizabeth Sket –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqueline Ann Tracy –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingrid Joanna Woodger –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADUATE DIPLOMA IN EPIDEMIOLOGY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alan Raymond Blandthorn –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADUATE DIPLOMA IN PSYCHOLOGICAL MEDICINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Charles Farnbach –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Joseph Reilly –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADUATE DIPLOMA IN WOMEN'S HEALTH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Anthides –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Apostolakos –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Bailey –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabrielle Bennett –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Berrett –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynnee Dolman –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Donohue –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Duncan –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Galbraith –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrine Garamszegi –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faye Hector –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Hopkins –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawako Kino –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvia Krynski –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Luders –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraldine McDonald –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Morris –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shatra Naik –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Panjari –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn Pope –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Wheeler –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Wilson –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE THIRTY YEAR OLD PROMISE
of the University to provide purpose-built teaching and research facilities for the Department of Pharmacology is now a reality. The triradiate medical school building is to be completed as originally planned and championed by the late Sir Sydney Sunderland. In March 1996, building will commence to complete floors eight and nine on all three wings with a completion date of September 1997 and at a cost of $19.8 million.

The modern re-development of the School of Medicine pre-clinical departments from the early buildings in the north-east corner of the University campus began with the recognition that the south-west corner of the campus should be the setting for the medical school – in close proximity to the Royal Melbourne Hospital. The first department to move was Biochemistry; into new facilities in 1961 made possible by the generosity of Sir Russell Grimwade. Next the Howard Florey Institute for Experimental Physiology and Medicine was commissioned in 1963, funded by government, private, and overseas funds in anticipation of the new medical school being built nearby. The pressure was on to clear the old medical buildings to allow a site for an urgent need for a new physiology building. At this time, the Department of Pharmacology was located in the old medical building complex with Physiology. These buildings were in need of demolition with rotten floor boards and laboratories below ground level in ‘dungeon-like’ conditions. The relocation plans called for a triradiate building for the Anatomy, Pathology, Physiology and Pharmacology Departments to facilitate integration of medical training and research, and for Biochemistry and Microbiology to be located in separate buildings nearby.

Early plans were for eight floors in the triradiate building with Pharmacology housed in the north wing of the seventh floor sharing animal house facilities with Physiology on the north wing of level eight. However, the building was originally designed for a class of 160 medical students in each year. The government of the day recognised the need for more medical graduates and requested the University to provide for 240 medical students per year. This caused further revision of the plans and a short-fall in funds for accommodating Pharmacology on the seventh floor.

Microbiology at this time was teaching RMIT students. The top two floors of the new microbiology building were planned specifically for these students. By the time the new building was completed in 1965, RMIT had decided to establish its own facility freeing up the top floors of Microbiology. With the difficulties with the medical building the University needed to find space for Pharmacology and also Oriental Studies on a ‘temporary’ basis. Instead of giving Professor Rubbo the gracious permission of Physiology, Pharmacology has occupied half of the top floor of the structure was still sound enough to allow two additional floors to complete the triradiate building. The original architects of the triradiate building, Mockridge, Stahle and Mitchell, advised that some of its basement space with Pharmacology to allow a synthetic peptide chemistry laboratory to flourish.

With the appointment of Professor Angus to the Chair of Pharmacology in January 1993, the University began planning to complete the triradiate medical building. The original architects of the triradiate building, Mockridge, Stahle and Mitchell, advised that the structure was still sound enough to allow two additional floors to be constructed and to satisfy the building codes of the 1990s. The original brief was to fit out the north wing of level seven and the whole of level eight but construct level nine to shell with plant rooms and lift motor room on the roof – a brief tentatively costed at $11 million.
WOULD PARTICULARLY like to touch on changes that have occurred to the programs within the Clinical School during 1995. In previous editions of Chiron the clinical teaching programs have been fairly well covered.

The major changes have occurred in our fourth year programs, with firstly the introduction of a mentor scheme for fourth year students from the beginning of their clinical terms. This was done at the suggestion of previous years' students to help students adjust to the clinical situation. The scheme was set up under Dr Jerry Gelb. The aim was to establish a group of counsellors, who were independent from the Clinical School and to whom the students could turn with any problems. At the start of the year, Dr Gelb spoke to the students about potential difficulties and arranged meetings between counsellors and students. The informal feedback to date from students is that the system has worked well.

The second major change, is that the Clinical School has setup the four terms with two of medicine and two of surgery instead of splitting the terms as done previously into five and four week periods. This has allowed for more time with students within one unit and also means that each student undertakes either medicine or surgery during their country rotation but need not do both. The students now undertake either a seven or nine week term within the medical or surgical units. Again, the feedback has been positive from both students and tutors in that it allows for better interaction and a longer time for them to become acquainted.

The fourth year teaching began with an introductory three week period in which there was again an emphasis on introducing students to the hospital scene. During that time students spent at least half a day with the interns of a medical or surgical unit and a day with the nurses on a ward. This is done to ease students into the ward situation and to give them an understanding of how hospitals work. The emphasis throughout the fourth year is on acquiring clinical skill, as well as making sure that students learn how to communicate and understand ethical issues. Pathology, radiology and geriatrics are also taught in formal fashion during the fourth year.

The fifth year remains essentially unchanged with teaching undertaken in the various specialist areas of pediatrics, obstetrics and gynaecology, psychiatry and community medicine.

Examination results from fourth year had all the students passing with three of the six students in the Dean's Honours List from the Clinical School. David Ying was our top student and was awarded the Lorna King Medallion. In fifth year, the Clinical School again received more than its share of places in the Dean's Honours List (three out of seven). Guy Bylsma was our top fifth year student.

The final year again commenced with students undertaking electives, many of which were overseas. Lisa Jarvis and Lee K W Fong were awarded the UMMS elective essay prize. The final two semesters consist of medicine/surgery with half the time spent in general and half the time in special units. The programs were similar to previous years.

The greatest change has been the amalgamation of the Austin and Repatriation Hospitals to form the Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre and then the establishment of the North-East Health Care Network.

The significant cuts in beds has reduced the students' exposure to clinical material. The use of ambulatory care and day surgery facilities is occurring but there are difficulties caused by the policy on discharge of patients required by hospital efficiency considerations. However, the Clinical School is looking towards a regional network involving with PANCH and the new Epping Hospital expanding once more the clinical experience for students. These changes have increased the workload on the medical staff and the Clinical School is extremely grateful for the way in which they have still given their time and energy to the teaching of the undergraduate students.

Associate Professor Bernard Sweet
Clinical Dean
THE THIRD YEAR INTRODUCTORY course in clinical medicine was conducted over nine weeks on Wednesday afternoons in the same manner as previous years. An hour-long pathology demonstration was followed by a ward tutorial, and most tutors chose to demonstrate the taking of a history and the performance of a physical examination of a patient. All students attended one session at a hospital which was very successful. However, student attendance again tended to fall towards the end of the course, and some tutor groups even questioned the continuation of the course.

As in previous years, fourth year was divided into two surgical and two medical units, each of eight or nine weeks duration, and the core of clinical instruction occurred within general medical and general surgical units.

All students were either at the Royal Melbourne Hospital or Western Hospital during term one. During the other three terms all students spent one term at either Ballarat Base Hospital, Wangaratta District Base Hospital or Wimmera Base Hospital. Each student also spent two of the four terms at the Royal Melbourne Hospital and one of the four terms at Western Hospital.

The three week introductory period at the commencement of term one consisted of a broad range of introductory lectures, and 'examination days' in which the students were taught to perform an examination of a particular system, then undertook self-examination under the supervision of tutors, and finally had a ward tutorial demonstrating the examination on a patient. These included the examination of the cardiovascular system, the central nervous system, the abdomen, the respiratory system and the musculoskeletal system.

There were two innovations to the introductory period in 1995, both of which were very successful. The first was the introduction of a 'familiarisation' day for which each student was allocated to a senior member of the medical staff of either the Royal Melbourne Hospital or Western Hospital and followed that staff member during all their daily activities. The second was the incorporation of 'clinical skills' and 'CPR' sessions during which all students rotated through stations on cardiopulmonary resuscitation, ENT and ophthalmology examination, ECG recording, blood glucose monitoring and IV insertion.

Radiology teaching again consisted of three lectures per week during first term, and two lectures per week thereafter. These were replicated at Western Hospital and the country hospitals.

Advanced Study Units (ASUs) were again undertaken in fourth year, during either second or third terms, at the Royal Melbourne Hospital or Western Hospital. Each ASU consisted of either a verbal and/or a written presentation about a single topic at the end of the term.

A 'revision week' was introduced in 1994 in which all students return to either Royal Melbourne Hospital or Western Hospital at the end of fourth year to practise clinical presentations. This was held again in 1995 but consisted only of trial long cases. A trial 'OSCE' test in the middle of the year was held to give the students feedback on their progress to that time, as well as a trial written paper towards the end of the year.

No significant changes relevant to the Clinical School have occurred to fifth year subjects in 1995.

Sixth year again consisted of an eight week elective period, finishing in early March, followed by blocks in each of general medicine, special medicine, general surgery and special surgery.

Overseas options were undertaken by students in the following countries: United Kingdom (13), United States of America/Canada (14), New Zealand (10), France (2), Greece (3), Africa (4), India/Nepal/Sri Lanka (7), South East Asia (18), Pacific/Indian Oceans (7), Australia (41) and others (6).

General surgery and general medicine consisted of six and seven week blocks respectively and in each case comprised a 'student internship' in a general medical or surgical ward at the Royal Melbourne Hospital or Western Hospital. In addition, student internships also occurred in general medicine at Ballarat Base Hospital, consisting of three or four weeks at Ballarat Base Hospital coupled to three or four weeks at the Royal Melbourne Hospital.

Royal Melbourne Hospital and Western Hospital – Final Year Clinical School 1995

Special medicine and special surgery consisted of blocks of seven and five weeks respectively. In addition each student attended three weeks of anaesthetics and emergency as part of the surgical rotation at either the Royal Melbourne Hospital, Western Hospital or Ballarat Base Hospital. During the special medical and surgical terms students rotated through a wide variety of specialties, and were taught in outpatient clinics, at the bedside or in a seminar format depending on the requirements of the particular specialty involved.

Daily case discussions were presented through the year by the senior medical and surgical staff. These consisted of interactive discussions of cases selected by the staff to illustrate points of diagnosis or management. Each case discussion was preceded by a trial short answer question.

As in previous years each student group had a medical and a surgical 'mentor', with whom they met regularly during the year. During 1994, 496 applications for elective placements were received, and 53 elective students were placed, in 1995, in various general and specialist Departments and Units at The Royal Melbourne Hospital and Western Hospital. These students came from the United Kingdom (16), Ireland (3), United States of America/Canada (14), Fiji (4), Germany (7), New Zealand (2), Australia (4), and other countries (3). 

Associate Professor Robert F W Moulds
Clinical Dean

St Vincent's Hospital & The Geelong Hospital

In the History of St Vincent's Hospital 1995 was a most significant year. In mid-September the impressive new inpatient facility was opened by the Premier of Victoria and blessed by the Archbishop of Melbourne, Sir Frank Little. The new building provides state of the art facilities and has many innovative features which will greatly enhance the education and training opportunities for our students.

During 1995, there were 213 students in the Clinical School with 70 in final year, 73 in the fifth year and 70 in the fourth year.

The formal teaching program began in early March and was preceded by the eight week elective term which students found both enjoyable and valuable. Options in South-East Asia have become increasingly popular in recent years, not only with students who have family ties in this region, but also as a result of closer relationships which have developed between the Asian Universities and hospitals and those of Melbourne.

The medical rotations were similar to previous years with students spending four weeks attached to a general medical unit at St Vincent's Hospital or The Geelong Hospital and ten weeks rotating through the various sub-specialties.

Students undertook their general surgical rotations at The Geelong Hospital or at PANCH and their surgical specialty teaching in the units at St Vincent's Hospital. This year teaching in trauma and resuscitation was consolidated into an intensive course and the infectious diseases teaching at Fairfield was combined with the anaesthetics program which was held at various inner Melbourne hospitals.

The 1995 final year students achieved outstanding success in their examinations and are to be congratulated upon their enthusiasm and dedication throughout their clinical studies. Kirsty Buising was the top student in the course and also won several awards for achieving first place in medicine. The top student in surgery was Zoe McCallum, and James King distinguished himself as the only student in the course to gain first class honours in both final year subjects. As well as Kirsty and James, Robin Cassumbhoy, Marnie Buckwell, Terence Ong and Melanie McCann achieved the honour of inclusion in the Dean's Honours List. We congratulate all the 1995 graduates, and wish them well in their future careers.

There were no significant changes to the fifth year curriculum during the year. As usual, students found their country practice experience most stimulating and also enjoyed mixing with colleagues from other hospitals.
The fourth year program began with an intensive three week introductory course in clinical method, which emphasized the essential elements of history taking and clinical examination technique. As part of the course, students undertook a series of lectures on communication skills and interview assignments to prepare them for patient clerking. During the introductory course, students were introduced to transcultural issues in medical practice and also to psychiatric problems which may affect the medical practitioner. A patient-centred seminar on reaction to chronic illness was also held, with the participation of medical, nursing and paramedical staff.

Students were then rotated through two medical and two surgical terms which included tuition in sub-specialty areas. The core lecture program was delivered in term one when all students were based in Melbourne. This has led to some imbalance of teaching in the teaching program with 'lecture overload' in the early part of the year. It is expected that the installation of a video link between St Vincent's and The Geelong Hospital will enable the lectures to be more evenly dispersed throughout the year.

The teaching of communication skills using student-patient video interviews took place throughout term one under the supervision of experienced clinicians.

The ethics program of group tutorials and class presentations was once again a highlight of the fourth year. Ethics teaching was undertaken at either St Vincent's Hospital or The Geelong Hospital under the supervision of a dedicated tutor. Students greatly appreciate the opportunity to discuss ethical issues which they encounter at an early stage of their training.

All fourth year students rotated to The Geelong Hospital for one term and they also had the opportunity to undertake a rural rotation at either the Goulburn Valley Base Hospital or the Warrnambool and District Base Hospital. These rotations are very valuable and most popular with students because of excellent patient access and enthusiastic teaching staff.

Rotations to PANCH continued to form an essential part of our teaching with well established programs in medicine and surgery as well as in pathology and radiology. The strong links between St Vincent's Hospital and PANCH have developed over many years and we have an excellent complementary program, which is greatly facilitated by the rapport between staff at both institutions.

The Introductory Course in Clinical Medicine for third year students was conducted in a similar manner to previous years. Students enjoyed their time at the Clinical School and were enthusiastic about their contact with clinical hospital practice. Some students had the opportunity to see patients with allied health practitioners and this was a very successful inclusion into the program.

Physiotherapy clinical education continues to grow and develop within the St Vincent's Hospital and Geelong Hospital Clinical School. In 1995, a record number of students have completed clinical placements within the Clinical School. At undergraduate level, 18 first year students, 18 second year students, 19 third year students and 12 fourth year students have been accommodated. At a postgraduate level there has also been an increase in the number of students and members of staff undertaking advanced studies.

Increased undergraduate student numbers will extend to fourth year for the first time in 1996 which will present a major challenge. We are most grateful to the hard working and dedicated teachers associated with our clinical school during 1995. Their willingness to teach and commitment to our students is greatly appreciated.

MBBS Graduates 1995

Kirsty Lee Buising was the top student in 1995, when she also gained first class honours in Medicine and was awarded the Australian Medical Association Prize, the CIBA-GEIGY Prize and the Rowden White Prize, and was included in the Deans Honours List. She also won the Keith Levi Memorial Scholarship in Medicine, the Robert Gartly Healy Prize in Medicine, the Clara Myers Prize in Surgical Paediatrics, the Margaret Ryan Scholarship in Medicine (SVH), the Sister Christine Welsford Prize in Haematology (SVH), and the Institute of Medical Research Prize in Medicine (SVH).

Kirsty is a Melburnian who was brought up in Dingley and educated by the Brigidine Sisters at Killester College in Springvale. In 1990, she entered the MBBS course at Melbourne University, alma mater of her late grandfather, where she distinguished herself throughout the course and was awarded several University prizes. During her clinical studies at St Vincent's Hospital and Geelong Hospital Clinical School, Kirsty spent an elective period in English hospitals at Cambridge and Winchester, and also took the opportunity to backpack through Europe with friends.

The initial inspiration to pursue a medical career may well have come from Kirsty's mother, Pauline, who qualified in nursing, or her maternal grandfather, the late Dr John Ladbrooke, who was a family doctor. Kirsty's family is, however, distinguished in diverse fields, as her father John, is a business executive, while her younger sister, Emma, is artistically gifted with a special interest in screen printing and design.

For a person of such awesome ability and achievement, Kirsty is exceptionally modest. The family artistic talent is seen in her love of the ballet, in which field she has already passed her student Intermediate Teaching Examinations - so she would have little problem changing career paths if she wants to spread her wings. Her other current interests are in aerobics and swimming, and her love of hiking has led not only to backpacking around a wintry Europe in 1994, but to trekking in Nepal in 1992.

Kirsty will take up an internship in St Vincent's Hospital, and plans to pursue a career in Internal Medicine.

### PRIZES & AWARDS 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Prize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBSTETRICS &amp; GYNAECOLOGY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Robert Gartly Healy Prize in Obstetrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James King, SVH/GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prize in Clinical Gynaecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark (Ji-Liang) Hew, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alfred Edward Rowden White Prize in Clinical Obstetrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Charalabidis, SVH/GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edgar &amp; Mabel Coles Prize in Obstetrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James King, SVH/GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAEDIATRICS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howard E Williams Prize in Paediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alex Meakin, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Child Growth &amp; Development Study in Paediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brenda Greenall, ARMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clara Myers Prize in Surgical Paediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kirsty Buising, SVH/GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSYCHIATRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Cade Memorial Medal in Clinical Psychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandana Nikpour, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNITY MEDICINE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RACGP Prize in Community Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandana Nikpour, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INFECTIOUS DISEASES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sir Albert Coates Prize in Infectious Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robin Cassumbhoy, SVH/GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL CLINICAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edgar Rouse Prize in Occupational Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Julian Castro, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean Peng Cheah, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Royal Australian College of Ophthalmologists Prize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rohan Essex, SVH/GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hedley F Summons Prize in Otolaryngology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hendrick Zimmet, RMH/WH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herman Lawrence Prize in Clinical Dermatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean Peng Cheah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOURTH &amp; FIFTH YEARS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Prize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIFTH YEAR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Medicine Prize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guy Bylsma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crawford Mollison Prize in Forensic Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Officers of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section of AMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yee Ping Teoh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Fulton Scholarship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guy Bylsma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Over the years thoughtful donations and bequests have played a significant role in building the medical school we have today. In an era of declining government funding and rising costs of teaching and research, the need for philanthropic support is now stronger than ever. You may wish to consider planning a personal contribution to support the School of Medicine's research, teaching and students with the designation of a donation or a bequest to the University.

If you would like more information, or a copy of the University Bequest Booklet, please contact Robin Orans of the School of Medicine on (03) 9344 5889 or Elizabeth Douglas, University Bequests Officer on (03) 9344 7804.

DEAN’S HONOURS 1995

In 1993 Faculty established a Dean’s Honours List to give formal recognition to the achievements of its most outstanding students. The list comprises a small number of students of high distinction at each year level of the MBBS course based on the weighted average performance obtained in each year level for years 1-5, and the weighted average performance across the years 2-6 for final year.

FINAL YEAR
Marnie Buckwell, Kirsty Buisng, Robin Cassumbhoy, Mark Hew, Joshua Kaussman, James King, Melanie McCann, Alexius Meakin, Terrence (Jong Yeong) Ong.

FIFTH YEAR
Heidi Baker, Guy Bylsma, Trudy Clark, Megan Di Quinzio, Pallav Garg, James Thomas, Gareth Weston.

FOURTH YEAR
Andrew Burns, Sarah Healy, Thanh Nhu Nguyen, Cheng Kang Ong, Swee Teng Tan, David De-Wei Ying.

THIRD YEAR
Haris Haqqani, Anna Lee, Jonathan Rudelle, Toby Syme, Constantine Tam, Sin Kuan Tan.

SECOND YEAR
Kyra Yu Lin Chua, Yu Jo Chua, Kathryn Field, Catherine Francis, Saman Gardiya Punchihewa, Celia Kemp, Weng Toon Ng, Justine Nielsen, Choon Chieh Tan.

FIRST YEAR
Wai Pheng Alicia Au, Richard Bignell, Carol Chaiwachirasak, Sue Comerford, FengYun Angela Huang, Randal Leung, Beng Lam Lim, Samuel Norden, Eugene Stryong-K Ong, Mark Steven, ShinhYun Yvonne Tan, Sze Chih Jason Tan, Limin Wijaya.
Feet pounded up the hallway. Fists hammered on doors. Where's Lee? Where's Lee?'

I was my first weekend on-call in New Zealand. I was lying on my bed in the nurses' home, enjoying the novelty (and inflated self-importance) of having my very own beeper. Michelle, the other trainee intern, had assured me that one was rarely called upon when on-call.

'There's Lee, here!' I jumped off the bed and flung the door open. It was Tracey, one of the on-call theatre nurses. 'What's happening?'

'Crap Caesar! RUN!' I ran.

WHERE'S KAITAIA? When I first looked it up in an atlas, I saw (after half an hour of searching) that it was the last major town at the northern tip of New Zealand. Perhaps not surprisingly, I found the most common response to my saying 'Kaitaia' was 'Where's that?'. A more geographically enlightened uncle simply declared, 'You'll be bored within a week'. A diplomatic Kiwi cousin went so far as to comment, 'That's an interesting place to want to go'. The Lonely Planet' travellers' guide indicated that the most noteworthy feature of Kaitaia was the 'PAK 'N SAVE'; a monstrously huge supermarket.

Well, I wasn't planning on crossing the Tasman to buy a cheap box of Coco Pops. I'd found out about the hospital there from another medical student (now doctor) at my church. After spending an elective in surgery there, she'd said it was a great little hospital, the staff were fantastic, and she was given heaps of responsibility.

True, the place was isolated, with the bus trip from Auckland taking a tedious eight hours. It hardly had the appeal of a holiday-cum-elective in Europe. Even within the New Zealand context, Kaitaia was hardly a mecca for tourists, but it had what I wanted. I had decided that there was little point doing an elective overseas unless I was offered the opportunity to experience something different from the run-of-the-mill Australian medical student routine. With the 'trainee intern' position, Kaitaia offered that. And in the isolated solitude of the north end of New Zealand, I hoped to have some time to meditate about God, my life and how the two fit together. Besides, I couldn't afford a trip to Europe.

I was huffing and puffing as we dashed across to the hospital. Two thoughts struggled into my hypoxic brain; 'This is pretty exciting' and 'I gotta start jogging again.'

As far as responsibility went, I got even more than I'd bargained for. When I arrived, due to a glitch in the timetable and a surprise transfer, there weren't any junior medical staff for the first two weeks. That left just me, one other trainee intern and two consultant surgeons, which meant that I was first on-call one day in three and one weekend in three.

It was all very new to me. Suddenly I was getting called in the middle of the night to assess someone who had rolled a car. 'Uh, sure I'd say, grab my stethoscope, and dash out the door on my Oxford Handbook and a prayer. Surprisingly, the nurses really expected me to know things, and were actually asking me questions about so-and-so's fluid balance, medication, rising temperature etc. It put me in a situation of considerable responsibility (but with the consultant just a phone-call and five minutes away) which was a bit daunting at first, but meant that I learnt FAST!

And that was just a fraction of the work. On the ward I was doing most of the things an intern would do: clerking patients, doing discharge summaries, updating the notes after ward rounds, filling in new lab results, doing Doppler studies, re-siting cannulas. There was always more than enough to do, and it gave me a gentle introduction to internship. In one sense I was the intern, but in another I was still a medical student, so it was taken for granted I'd be a bit thick. The nursing staff would do no more than exchange knowing glances when I emerged from a room, with any of a range of familiar apologies. 'Ah, sorry, missed the IV again.' 'Ah, sorry, I got the IV in but I spilt a bit of blood.' 'Um, not having much luck with the Doppler today.' 'Well, I guess I might have been conservative when I called it a bit of blood.'

With the patient good-will of the staff, things gradually got easier. Before long, even I noticed that my clerking efficiency had improved quite remarkably. When the whole operating theatre was at a standstill, waiting for me to clerk someone I'd forgotten to do the night before, I suddenly discovered that I could do it a lot faster.

'Fifty-one weeks, transverse lie, ruptured membranes and fetal distress. A helicopter transfer to Whangarei would take at least a couple of hours.' The consultant general surgeon paused his scrubbing, and turned to me. 'Have you assisted with Caesar's before?'

'Three or four, but I've only been first assistant at one.'

'That's OK, I've only assisted at one myself.'

'Pardon?'

Besides assessing acutes, I was also first assistant in theatre for a very wide range of cases, including amputations, laparotomies, laparoscopic procedures, carpal tunnel releases and skin grafts. Thanks mainly to isolation, Kaitaia hospital had a range of surgery I wouldn't have had a hope of seeing in any one Melbourne metropolitan unit. The theatre had the added bonus of being staffed by friendly anaesthetists, who were more than happy for me to try my hand at intubation.

The vast majority of the patients – especially the long-term ones I got to know well – were super friendly. Before long I'd established something of a reputation amongst the staff as an epicure. Once the patients found that out, I was showered with food – freshly smoked snapper and kingfish, grouper fillets, scones with home-made jam and a bottle of wine as well as the standard chocolates. One of the patients' relatives even invited me out so I could catch my own fish.

When on-call for the night, I made a personal practice of doing a quick evening whip-around, just to see how everyone was, and on weekends I'd drop in at least once (on the way to the cafeteria). I had a couple of reasons for doing this. The first was that it made eminently good sense to know first-hand what each patient's condition was, as a reference point should something happen during the night. The second stemmed from...
something I remembered from my first clinical year. There was a patient I’d clerked who had rhapsodised about his specialist; a doctor who cared about him so much that he’d drop in on weekends (on the way to golf) just to see how he was doing. I was mightily impressed by that, and made a mental note: that was the kind of doctor I wanted to be. Here was a chance to put it into practice, to see if I could make the grade in part of what I perceived a ‘good’ doctor to be. I figured that if I couldn’t do it with the luxury of time Kaitaia’s small patient numbers afforded me, I never would.

It also had the pleasant (and incidental) side-effect of yielding more food.

For a tricky first Caesar, the consultant did a sterling job. In we went, and out came the baby, with smiles and hand-shakes all round.

While it’s probably true that there’s more to see in the south island, I found there was no lack of things to do up north. While Kaitaia itself isn’t much to look at, it is in the middle of some fabulous beaches. One thing that stood out were the times Josh, DeVilliers (the new medical officers), and I hired four-wheel drive motorcycles and went blasting around the sand-dunes at ninety mile beach. Incredible, exhilarating fun. And thank God for roll-cages.

The medical officers who arrived after week two of my tenure at Kaitaia deserve a special mention. They taught me a lot about clinical medicine, as well as being all-round top blokes. Even if they did have a death-wish (‘You’re not on this weekend, Lee? Good, let’s go parachuting ...’). I ended up spending a lot of time at Josh’s flat, sharing food (‘half of my hospital slop for half of your genuine Indian curry’) and exploring the meaning of life. DeVilliers’ culinary talents didn’t extend quite as far (‘half of my hospital slop for half of your ... er ... is that Continental instant pasta?’) but he was just as much fun to hang around with. Between the two of them and being on call, I found that I didn’t have much time for meditation.

Josh and DeVilliers gave me plenty of support during trying times. Something happened at Kaitaia that I knew would happen sooner or later in my professional life: one of my patients died. It was not entirely unexpected, but when it happened, it was still a shock. Guilt is probably inescapable in such a situation — there always seems to be more that could have been done. And somehow, when the family isn’t angry with you, it makes it worse, because you feel they should be, and without their ‘punishment’ you are left to punish yourself.

I went to Kaitaia hoping to experience ‘real’ medicine. In some ways, I got more than I bargained for — everything from the highs to the lows. But I’ve found that the more poignant moments lend a bitter-sweet edge to the highs, enhancing their reality. Medicine has suddenly taken on a meaning that it never really had before. Patients have become people with personalities, with their own lives, and families that care for them.

On my final day in Kaitaia I was surprised to realise that I was going to miss the place — a lot. It may have taken five years, but I’d truly discovered my vocation.

The mother and baby were fine. The mother had just been wheeled out of the theatre when I looked at the operating table, and realised we’d forgotten to protect it with a plastic sheet. Blood was dripping from all of its innumerable (and mostly inaccessible) nooks and crannies. I looked around for the surgeon. He’d already left the scene of the crime.

‘Uh, sorry, looks like we splilt a bit of blood ...’

---

**DONATIONS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE MEDICAL SOCIETY**

The Committee of the University of Melbourne Medical Society would like to thank the many members who have made generous donations to support the activities of the Society. These donations support two special student prizes: the UMMS Bachelor of Medical Science Prize and the Peter G Jones Essay Prizes.

The UMMS Bachelor of Medical Science Prize is awarded annually to the student whose BMedSc research report is judged to have made the most important contribution to knowledge. Two UMMS BMedSc Prizes were awarded for 1994: to Kirsten van Haaster and to Simon Williams. Citations describing their research are published in this issue of Chiron.

Up to three Peter G Jones Essay Prizes are awarded each year for the best essays of up to 1500 words describing the elective experience in both professional and personal terms. Prizes of $100 are offered annually for the best essays of up to 1500 words describing the elective experience in both professional and personal terms.

Prize-winning essays are also considered for publication in Chiron. The 1993 winning essay, by Lee Kwong Shui Fong and Elisa Jarvis, is published on these pages.

---

**1995 Peter G Jones Essay Prizes**

In 1993 the University of Melbourne Medical Society established the UMMS Elective Essay Prize for sixth year MBBS students.

In 1996 the prize was renamed the Peter G Jones Elective Essay Prize in honour of the late Peter Jones, founding editor of Chiron. Prizes of $100 are offered annually for the best essays of up to 1500 words describing the elective experience in both professional and personal terms. Prize-winning essays are also considered for publication in Chiron. The 1995 winning essays, by Lee Kwong Shui Fong and Elisa Jarvis, are published on these pages.
With a child’s wisdom, she seemed to sense something as I first walked into the house. She knew I was different; somehow displaced. Generously, she offered a faint smile and lingered momentarily in the hallway before scampering among the decorations, leaving the shadow of her smile reflected in the golden baubles. The first words I spoke met with a puzzled stare, her dark chestnut eyes searching for an explanation. She would not answer, intent on trying to unravel the mystery. There was no hostility, just a mild tension.

Aude fascinated me too. I wanted to be accepted by her, almost more than by the others. To truly befriend a young child is a challenge, but ultimately there are great rewards. Some believe that children are free of the social and psychological restraints of adult life. Their eyes are open to the world, perhaps explaining the amazing potential for learning and development.

Within the hour, Aude had taken me by the hand, establishing herself as chaperone and instructor in the new festive customs. By evening, a special rapport had developed between us. It was to be a Christmas full of magic and one which I shall never forget.

The stillness was eerie as I peered through the shutters. The sky, a crimson-violet haze, was backdrops for falling snowflakes. Opening the window fully, I could see the grounds. Low-standing buildings with tiled roofs, half-buried under the snow were dotted amidst leafless oak trees and carefully tended pathways. An odd man or two could be seen scuttling between the buildings, like field mice from story books. One could be forgiven for believing this was a small village rather than a large, tertiary referral hospital in France. From the outside, there was little evidence of the highly structured medical environment within.

I showered and dressed in my ‘whites’, overlaying them with woollen pullover, scarf, coat and finally, snow boots. Opening the door and leaving the warmth of the residents’ quarters, I began another day working in the Paediatric Department of Lyon’s Hôpital Edouard Herriot.

It was definitely not easy at first. Having arrived at 2 am after a thirty-seven hour journey from Melbourne, I started work at 7 am in the neonatal nursery. My schoolgirl French was fine for a social chat, but somewhat deficient for the purposes of taking antenatal, peri- and postnatal histories from extremely distraught mums. Within a week, however, I was sharing the ‘babyload’ with my intern Catherine: full clinical evaluations, procedural work and presenting cases to our supervisors. Soon I had the satisfaction of seeing my babies gradually improve - as they gained weight, recovered from neonatal surgery or overcome problems associated with prematurity - and return home to their families.

LES PETITS ENFANTS

Elisa Jarvis, MBBS 1995

Fresh from fifth year examinations at the Royal Children’s Hospital, I was to catch a further glimpse of the diversity of paediatrics. In addition to the principles of general medicine and surgery, one must also consider the enormous growth and development in the early years of life, and the profound effect of family dynamics and environment on a child’s health. It appears a boundless specialty.

My first month was spent in the neonatal nursery, an efficiently organised twenty-five bed department. Babies were admitted either from the maternity wards downstairs, the adjacent neonatal intensive care, or elsewhere in the Rhône-Alpes region. Almost two-thirds were premature, some as young as twenty-seven or twenty-eight weeks gestation - tiny, lanugo covered creatures with minuscule hands and feet and, often, very distinct facial features. Learning to examine these infants proved to be an art form. Warm hands and stethoscope were prerequisites, as was careful handling with correct postural support. Apart from vital signs, often the most helpful findings were on neurological examination - is this baby excessively floppy? does he/she conform to expected gestational age?

The department, headed by Professeur Salle, was dedicated to providing as near to sterile an environment as practicable. I was shocked on my first day to be shown how I was to scrub and gown each time I entered the nursery. Parents were also expected to wear sterile gowns and shoes over ‘street’ attire and toys for the babies were sterilised before being allowed entry. Surprisingly, the environment was not cold and clinical; the colourful decor and lively nursing staff made sure of that.

Many aspects of running the nursery were foreign to those I had experienced in Melbourne. Visiting hours for parents, from 2.30 to 4.30 pm, were timed to fit in with nursing schedules and exceptions rarely made. Bottle feeding with infant formula was the norm. During my stay only two mothers expressed milk for feeding and only one insisted she try to breastfeed. Even amongst healthy mums and babies, the breastfeeding rate at three months was remarkably low (1 in 5 or 6, I was told).

The morning I looked after baby Sara was one of the most frightening I can recall. A three-week-old term baby, rosy pink and chubby compared with the other infants under my care. I was examining her after a referral from outpatients for ‘respiratory’ distress. She seemed well, apart from a
temperature of 38°C and generalised decreased tone. I turned my head for less colour had drained from her face, she became a mottled blue-grey, she was not breathing. Instinctively, I shook her — slowly returned — but in less than a minute it happened again. I yelled for Sophie, our Registrar: what followed was half an hour of having to continually stimulate Sara to stop her respiratory pauses. Our department head was reluctant to admit any babies with the highly infectious Respiratory Syncytial Virus. I assisted in intubation and mechanical ventilation for most of the morning. Two weeks later Sara was discharged home to her grateful parents.

Paediatrics is wonderfully rewarding when medicine can cure or at least substantially benefit little children, yet it must be one of the saddest areas when we, as health-care providers, feel redundant. Twice, I have been in the delivery room of women in labour with a foetal death-in-utero. At the Mercy Hospital in Melbourne, I managed to control my feelings in the presence of the family, but once out of the room, burst into tears. For hours I cried uncontrollably in my boyfriend’s arms. During the week that followed I was to have difficulty concentrating; I wondered if I still wanted to be a doctor. I knew for that woman it must have been one of the most distressing times in her life and realised that I would have to face many equally emotional situations in my career.

After Christmas I was fortunate enough to spend time on the maternity and delivery wards. One afternoon I found myself confronted with a similarly awful situation. Ann-Sophie, the pregnant woman, was moved from delivery to a dingy back room and I was told to just ‘disappear’ if I found the situation too distressing. I decided to stay with this young woman because, if I could comfort her in any small way, I wanted to. Needless to say the experience was upsetting, however, I feel I made the right decision.

I spent a wonderful traditional French Christmas with one of the neonatal paediatricians and his young family. They subsequently took me skiing in the Alpes and invited me for several Sunday dinners. My spoken French improved, as did my confidence in presenting patients, talking with parents and truly feeling like a member of a therapeutic team.

The second part of my elective was equally challenging and fulfilling. I worked in general medicine with children aged six months to six years, many with acute respiratory illnesses. During this period I made another special friend, Alexis, two-and-a-half years old, with snowy blonde hair and magical blue eyes. He did not say much more than ‘mum-mum’, but his laugh and facial expressions provided adequate positive feedback. His gait was rather wobbly, attracting odd looks from other parents. Alexis has Foetal Alcohol Syndrome and was with us for all of January because his mother was still having difficulties with alcohol and benzodiazepines. I wanted to bring him back to Australia. I hope that, with help, his family life will someday be a happy one.

Professeur Salle invited me to participate in a Lyon-Rhône-Alpes regional paediatric conference. This was a great honour and a wonderful learning experience. The research work seemed meticulous with many exciting new developments. However, I detected little input from non-French-speaking regions of the western world. Subsequently in Melbourne, I have had this confirmed — a regrettable situation where, because of a language barrier, potential sharing of knowledge is not occurring.

I could write much more on my two-month elective and indeed on my five years of medical studies. Medicine is a prime example of the more one learns, the less one knows, and it is easy to become overwhelmed. I learnt an enormous amount during my elective — about medicine, about babies and children, about myself (oh, and of course, about France). Yet I realise that this is one experience onto which I shall build many more. From this privileged position I would like to say, ‘Thank-you Lyon and thank-you Melbourne’.

DAVID GEOFFREY PENINGTON, AC, LLD

Graduation Address on the Occasion of Receiving an Honorary Degree from the University of Melbourne

David Penington began his association with the University of Melbourne when he entered Medicine in 1948. In 1950 he transferred his studies to Magdalen College, Oxford where he completed his medical degree. He gained postgraduate medical experience at Oxford and in London at the Royal Postgraduate Medical School and London Hospital. After a year as a Research Fellow in Boston, Massachusetts and a period as a consultant physician at the London Hospital and in private practice, he returned to Melbourne in 1968 as First Assistant in the Department of Medicine at St Vincent’s Hospital. In 1970 he was appointed Professor of Medicine, and in 1978, Dean of the Faculty of Medicine. David Penington took up his appointment as Vice-Chancellor of the University of Melbourne in 1988. At the end of 1995 he retired from the Vice-Chancellorship. He was awarded an Honorary Degree at the graduation ceremony on 9 December 1995 where he made the following address.

FIRSTLY LET ME OFFER my warm congratulations both to the graduands and to their families — parents, partners and friends. Today is a very special occasion; it marks high achievement after many years of effort and is an important milestone in your careers.

Support from our families and friends, both material and moral, is always important. It helps us to know there are those who believe in us and trust in what we are trying to achieve. This is especially important when the going gets rough or when we go through difficult patches, as happens to us all from time to time. Friends and families here today have every reason to share fully in the sense of pride which we all feel. On behalf of all my fellow graduates, our thanks to you.

It is nearly forty-eight years since I enrolled as a first year medical student in this University. I was to become a ‘drop-out’ in my third year, as you have heard, but this afternoon I successfully complete my first degree from the University of Melbourne. Some of us are late developers! I did graduate in Medicine in Oxford. I can still remember the feeling I had when I discovered that I had passed. There was such a weight off my shoulders that somehow my feet barely needed to touch the ground for a week! It was wonderful. Enjoy the feeling. But somehow I guess you are now already thinking about what the future has in store for you.

Every graduation, whether as Bachelor, as Master, as PhD or a Doctorate like mine is simply a stepping stone to the next stage in life. For many of us, at every age, life is full of uncertainties and further challenges. The formal charge of our ceremony refers to the ‘responsibilities of the degrees’. ‘Responsibilities’ is a somewhat sombre word — I like to turn it around to think of these as exciting opportunities, for indeed they are!

Most of you here today are about to embark on a career as a ‘professional’. What
does that imply? Well, it means different things to different people. To many it means those words 'rank and privileges' which you heard earlier. The respect and status commonly accorded to the 'learned' professions relates to both their commitment to knowledge, their pursuit and their commitment to serve the community. However, before we feel too good about all this, let me remind you of a few home truths.

Professions are often under attack as having a specially privileged position from the knowledge and skills they hold. Attacks on the professions are not new. Bernard Shaw in *The Doctor's Dilemma* wrote 'all professions are conspiracies against the laity.

Margaret Pelling, in Wilfred Prest's *The Professions in Early Modern England* wrote that, in the sixteenth century, Contemporary critics saw a symmetry of exploitation in which the clergy monopolised the soul, physicians the body and lawyers, property.

When the medical profession withdraws its services in 'strike action' as a lever to gain additional payments from government, it is trampling underfoot that great tradition of service on which centuries-old respect has been built. However, let me point out that few if any doctors, in reality, withhold their services from the sick or suffering.

The great majority of doctors in the community and in our public hospitals give their best to every patient, and to their hospital, far and beyond what they have a contractual obligation to provide, and quite regardless of the question of remuneration. I was a member of the Lochtenberg Committee on medical remuneration and I am satisfied that not only was it right that Victorian specialists should not be disadvantaged compared with those across Australia – they well deserve that reward – but I am satisfied that the Government is doing the things to different people. To many it means different things, regardless of the question of remuneration. I was a member of the Lochtenberg Committee on medical remuneration and I am satisfied that not only was it right that Victorian specialists should not be disadvantaged compared with those across Australia – they well deserve that reward – but I am satisfied that the Government is doing the right thing, following negotiations, in enabling the Metropolitan Hospital Network Boards to deliver incomes comparable with other States.

Yes, as professionals we will all be criticised from time to time – sometimes rightly for our failings, often unfairly for being unable to achieve the impossible. What matters is that we are true to ourselves, our values and know that we are doing the best of which we are capable. Far from having 'arrived' this afternoon, you are setting out on a great journey of discovery, not only about the life of a professional, but also about yourselves, a journey on which you will be discovering new things about yourself in every year of your life. I am certainly still busy discovering as I have done over some generations now, arrive with high ideals. The world is to be changed to become a better place. By the time they leave a long course such as Medicine, many are wondering more about how to find a deposit for a house, to pay for a car and so on. All too soon they will be asked by others to worry about industrial negotiations. Is there no longer room for ideals?

I plead with you, *do not abandon ideals*. You live only once. What matters most in life is what you have done with it – the real satisfaction it can bring. Your actions you have with people, the relationships you develop, the real joy and confidence that achieve the almost impossible brings, especially when shared with others. For those of you who become academics or research workers, the thrill of establishing new knowledge is immensely satisfying. A professional life offers wonderful opportunities year after year in many ways as your career evolves.

Who are some of the heroes of medicine for me, who influenced my choice of career and my views? When I was still a schoolboy I found on the shelves of my father's study a book called *Aequanimitas and other Essays* by Sir William Osler, a Canadian who later became Regius Professor in Oxford. I was impressed both by his profound belief in the importance of understanding disease processes through science, and his passionate interest in the human and human relationships. It was this very combination which attracted me to medicine.

Another book on the same bookshelves was *The Beloved Physician*, a biography of Sir James McKenzie, a Scot who practised for more than a quarter of a century as a general practitioner in Burnley, Lancashire. He never lost his curiosity. Whilst a GP, he developed a 'polygraph' to record arterial and venous pulses, to analyse disturbance of heart rhythm in tachycardias of various kinds. He loved dealing with people and their families, but always wanted to know more so he could help patients better. He later became famous for his discoveries and was appointed Physician to the London Hospital. He rescued many people consigned to bed or to sheltered lives when he recognised benign cardiac flow murmurs and the harmless nature of extra-systoles. He ended his career back in country general practice, which he found more satisfying than the rarefied life of a teaching hospital or Harley Street.

A great name for me as a young man was Dr Edward Wilson, who died with Scott in that tragic trek back from the South Pole. Two biographies which stick in my mind are Wilson of the Antarctic and Edward Wilson, *Nature Lover*. Wilson was a deeply religious man and a painter of beautiful water colours of nature. He had his student career seriously interrupted by pulmonary tuberculosis, with several years in a Swiss sanatorium. Perhaps, as a severe childhood asthmatic, I found great empathy with him. I found his self doubts as a young doctor in the wards reassuring when I later experienced them, and greatly respected his set of values and confidence in going ahead with what he believed was right even when others dismissed his views. He was a person who grew through adversity, as indeed we all do in our careers.

What are some of the joys and satisfactions ahead of you? You will each find your own. Three of the following six points are particularly applicable in medicine, the others in all careers.

• Your greatest satisfactions will almost certainly come from the great privilege of being welcomed to enter the innermost thoughts of people who are facing real crises in their lives, and who will turn to you for help. This privilege is not to help people as a people, not just assist correcting a disorder of their bodies. You will find people in such circumstances will want to share their fears with you in order to gain the confidence to face their crisis and hopefully to overcome it. The attitude of a grateful patient can be very precious at times. I carry with me many such memories which still warm my heart.

• You will have a wonderful opportunity in a life long search for greater understanding of human nature, seeing it in many conditions of joy and anguish. You will gain strength from yourself as well as being able to contribute to them.

• As a doctor, you will have entry to and acceptance into many walks of life and have wonderful opportunities to understand the huge diversity of human society. You will come to respect the ethics of people of many different religions and of many who profess no religion.

• You will have unparalleled opportunities to discover what can be achieved by working in teams with people who bring different backgrounds of knowledge, skills and experience.

• Above all you will have a wonderful opportunity to go on learning new and exciting things throughout your career. It is said that nine-tenths of what we now know has been learned in the past forty years. The pace of discovery is increasing, not slowing and much of what we have taught you will be proved wrong and replaced with new knowledge within the next ten to twenty years.

• Lastly, let me comment on the teaching of Dr William Evans, a small, rotund Cardiologist, a former student of Sir James McKenzie's and one of the most charismatic teachers of the London Hospital when I was a junior lecturer there in the 1950s. He used to make his students take vows on certain
critical matters. One was 'I vow that I will be a slender doctor so that I may chastise my obese patients' - sometimes necessary when patients have serious heart disease. He always added, 'Nobody taught me that when I was young'!

My advice to you is that throughout your careers, whatever the challenges you are tackling, do not neglect to find time to share with your partners, your children as they grow up, and to pursue broader cultural interests. Always remember - life is about people and about relationships above all else, and it is these in the long run where you can contribute the most and gain the greatest satisfaction. As my wife and children hear these words, I fear that I must recall the words of Willy Evans and thank them for their forbearance and support during the times I have had so many opportunities to be engaged in exciting and rewarding challenges.

In conclusion, my sincere thanks to the University for the honour it has bestowed on me, for the privilege of being a member of its staff for nearly twenty-eight years, of experiencing the excitement of research, the fulfilment of teaching very able students over the years, of working with wonderful colleagues and of leading it through eight exciting and rewarding years. It is a great institution and I wish it well as it moves to join company with the great universities of the world.

Robert Simpson Memorial Fund

In 1994, friends and colleagues of the late Robert Simpson, BA, MBBS (Melb), MPA (Harv), FRACS, FRACMA, AFCHSE, FAFPHM (1952-1994), initiated a limited appeal to support the establishment of a Robert Simpson Memorial Fund with the University of Melbourne School of Medicine. The Fund was established to support the education of undergraduate and postgraduate medical students, particularly in the field of public health.

It is a great tribute to Rob that funds exceeding $25,000 have now been received. It has been agreed, commencing in 1996, to make one or more awards up to the value of $500 each to support undergraduate medical students undertaking a period of elective study in public health. A memorial lecture in the field of public health is also being considered.

The School of Medicine and friends of Rob who initiated the Fund, thank those whose generous contributions have assisted in the successful establishment of this Fund.

University of Melbourne Medical Society
and University Alumni Association Membership

ORDINARY MEMBERS

Reminder to Renew!
1996 Membership Year

If you are a member of UMMS you will recently have received your membership renewal form. If you are not a current member of UMMS you can apply for membership at the UMMS office address below. Membership will ensure that you receive Chiron and news of reunions, Medical School information, and notices of UMMS and other University events. Members subscriptions also support undergraduate students through sponsorship of the annual UMMS BMedSc Prize and three annual Peter G Jones Elective Essay Prizes. Membership of UMMS includes membership of the University Alumni Association.

MEMBERSHIP SUBSCRIPTIONS

Ordinary Members
Annual subscription – $40
Post Graduate Students (Melb) – $20

Recent MBBS (Melb) Graduates
1992 graduates – $30
1993 graduates – $20
1994 & 1995 graduates – $10

The UMMS office can accept your membership payment through Bankcard, Mastercard or Visa. Cheques should be made payable to The University of Melbourne.

HONORARY MEMBERSHIP

Those who have been Melbourne MBBS graduates for fifty or more years are automatically eligible to become Honorary Members of UMMS.

ELIGIBILITY FOR MEMBERSHIP

Besides MBBS (Melb) graduates, those with a substantial association with the School or the University's affiliated institutions, for example past and present academic staff, may become members of UMMS. In addition, legally qualified medical practitioners registered or eligible to be registered in the State of Victoria, who do not qualify for automatic membership of UMMS, may be considered for membership on nomination by two members of the Society.

Members are encouraged to propose membership of eligible people who are interested in being associated with the Society. All that is required is a joint letter together with the consenting signature of the nominated person.

For information on the benefits available to members of UMMS and the Alumni Association please contact either UMMS or the Alumni Association or access the Alumni Homepage on the World Wide Web address: http://www.unimelb.edu.au/ExtRels/Alumni/ADU.htm

UMMS OFFICE

Ms Liz Brentnall
School of Medicine
The University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria, 3052
Telephone: (+61 3) 9344 5888 Facsimile: (+61 3) 9347 7084
e-mail: Elizabeth_Brentnall.fac_med@muwaye.unimelb.edu.au

ALUMNI ASSOCIATION OFFICE

Telephone: (+61 3) 9344 7469 Facsimile: (+61 3) 9344 6895
e-mail: Alumni_Alumni@muwayf.unimelb.edu.au
STUDENTS HAVE BEEN TAUGHT psychiatry at the University of Melbourne since the establishment of the Faculty of Medicine in 1876. Initially this consisted of a series of lectures and demonstrations at the main psychiatric hospitals of the time, firstly Yarra Bend Asylum, and then Royal Park Receiving House, and Kew Asylum, where Dr Beatie Smith lectured to medical students. Psychiatry has existed as a medical speciality at the two main teaching hospitals of the University, Royal Melbourne Hospital and St Vincent’s Hospital, since pre-Second World War days. In particular, Dr Alex Sinclair headed a unit at the Royal Melbourne Hospital and Dr Eric Seale a unit at St Vincent’s Hospital.

The Department, however, was formally established with the creation of the Cato Chair of Psychiatry in 1963, and the appointment of Professor Brian Davies, Foundation Professor, who took up his appointment in 1964. The main driving forces in the establishment of the Chair were Professor Sydney Sunderland, then Dean, together with Dr Eric Cunningham Dax, Dr Una Porter and the psychiatric community, the most prominent of whose advocates were the Victorian Council for Mental Hygiene, especially Drs Hal Maudsley and John Williams.

The University of Sydney established a chair of psychiatry in 1912, and perhaps this provided the impetus for Melbourne to follow, although the history of clinical chairs in all the clinical disciplines has been a relatively recent phenomenon in Australian medical academic life.

Advocates for the establishment of the Chair persuaded Dr Una Porter, a psychiatrist, and her brother Mr Alec Cato, children of prominent philanthropist Mr Frederick John Cato, to donate an endowment from the estate of their late father to establish a Chair in his memory, thus creating ‘The Cato Chair of Psychiatry’. This was part of a general strategy set in train by Dr Cunningham Dax to upgrade psychiatric services, the teaching of psychiatry and the education of doctors in psychiatric principles, in the wake of the Kennedy Report which had highlighted atrocious conditions in Victorian psychiatric services in the 1950s.

The first appointee was Professor Brian Davies (pictured), a young psychiatrist from Sunderland, who arrived in 1964 to a clinical base at the Royal Melbourne Hospital and the Parkville Psychiatric Centre, although his academic base awaited the building of the seventh floor of the Clinical Sciences Building on the Royal Melbourne Hospital campus. Professor Davies rapidly went about establishing a vibrant and active Department. He first recruited a behavioural scientist, Dr Mowbray, because of his strong commitment to teaching behavioural science in the pre-clinical years, who in turn recruited a young David Horne (who recently completed twenty-five years in the Department). In addition he established First Assistant positions at the three clinical schools and appointed Dr Graham Burrows, Dr Ivor Jones and Dr Russell Meares (all of whom moved on to Chairs) at Royal Melbourne, St Vincent’s and Austin Hospitals respectively, and established the position of academic psychotherapist for Dr Reg Hook.

Professor Davies established an active teaching program for undergraduates based on clinical clerking and revamped the Diploma of Psychological Medicine, the first six diplomas having been awarded in 1944. He also recruited as Associates and Senior Associates some of the most senior and distinguished psychiatrists in Victoria at the time, including Drs Richard Ball, John Cade, John Cone, Herbert Bower, John Grigor, Graeme Meissop, Bill McLeod, Ed Chiu and numerous others. An active program of research was established primarily in the field of depression and affective disorders and their treatment, and students were recruited for MScs, PhDs and MDs. Many of these went on to active roles in the department and a significant number to chairs both in Australia and overseas. These include (in addition to those mentioned above) Professors John Mann, Barnie Carroll, David Jarrett, Tom Horvath and Lorraine Dennerstein.

A particular development, supported by Dr Dax at the time, was the establishment of the Parkville Psychiatric Unit as a teaching unit of the University of Melbourne, under the leadership of Dr Richard Ball. As psychiatry expanded and the work of the department developed further, the need for professorial leadership at the various Clinical Schools became apparent. In response to this, Professor David Penington, then Dean, encouraged the development of Professorial Associates with the title Professor, to direct services at the Clinical Schools. In 1978, Professor Richard Ball was appointed to such a position at the St Vincent’s School and in 1983 Professor Graham Burrows to the Austin and Larundel School.

In 1985, following restructuring of the leadership of the Child and Family Psychiatry Service at the Royal Children’s Hospital, which Dr Winston Rickards had led with distinction for many decades, Professor Robert Adler was appointed to the Professor/Director position there, which while primarily located in the Department of Paediatrics, had a strong link to the Department of Psychiatry.

Professor Davies produced a textbook of psychiatry An Introduction to Clinical Psychiatry, which went through four editions during his period as Head of department. In addition, a wide variety of other books and scientific articles were published during his period as Chairman, and a large number of Masters, PhD and MD students graduated (MSc 14, PhD 13, MD 15, BMedSc 12), as well as those continuing to take the DPM.
In 1990, after heading the Department for twenty-six years, Professor Davies retired and was succeeded by Professor Bruce Singh, who had previously held a Chair of Psychological Medicine at Monash University. Under Professor Singh considerable expansion of the department in various sub-specialty areas, which had commenced in the second half of the 1980s, was accelerated with a series of externally funded positions throughout the psychiatric hospital system. These included Associate Professors/Senior Lecturers in Geriatric Psychiatry at Royal Park and Mont Park, and appointments in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Forensic Psychiatry, Transcultural Psychiatry and General Psychiatry. This funding offered the opportunity for the department to expand its areas of interest in a more formal way into the psychiatric hospital system to support the funding provided by the University for the academic positions in its general hospital units.

In the undergraduate area, the curriculum was revised and the students now spent their nine weeks divided equally between a general hospital psychiatric unit and a psychiatric hospital service. A new undergraduate textbook was produced, edited jointly by Professor Singh and Associate Professor Bloch and involving academics from both the University of Melbourne Department of Psychiatry and the Department of Psychological Medicine at Monash University. An undergraduate education committee was set up and the examination process revamped, including the introduction of a formal clinical examination and more active training of both teachers and examiners. The department joined enthusiastically in the quality assurance and student feedback processes set up by the University as part of its commitment to quality.

The years of the mid-1970s through the 1980s saw a continued growth in the teaching of psychology in the behavioural sciences course by Associate Professor and Reader in Medical Psychology Dr David Horne in the pre-clinical years of the medical course. The Medical Psychology Unit of the department also developed courses in psychology for both the Dental and Physiotherapy Schools. In 1993 the Medical Psychology Unit staff became full members of the School of Behavioural Science when the Department of Psychology moved into the Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences. The relationship between psychiatry and psychology in this University is quite unique in Australia and holds great potential for innovations in all areas of clinical training, teaching and research.

In the postgraduate area, with the recognition of Fellowship of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists as the specialist qualification in psychiatry, the department terminated the DPM from 1995. Instead, the department became actively involved with the Monash Department of Psychological Medicine in the establishment of a joint MMed/MFM Program, which has proved to be most successful and in which approximately one hundred psychiatric trainees are enrolled, half of them in the University of Melbourne MMed in Psychiatry. The department has also developed a Diploma of Mental Health Sciences which it is offering in a number of areas, in particular Transcultural Psychiatry and Infant and Parent Mental Health in 1996.

The department continues to have an active research program although its focus has broadened considerably to involve the full spectrum of psychiatric disorders. Long-standing interest in areas such as Huntington's Disease (Chiu) and Mood Disorders (Burrows & Tiller) continue but are complemented now with new areas such as Schizophrenia (Singh & McGorry), Psychiatric Epidemiology (Herrman & Patton), Aboriginal Mental Health (McKendrick) and Transcultural Psychiatry (Minas). It is actively recruiting students for its Masters and PhD programs.

The biological laboratory (where the original work on the Dexamethasone Suppression Test was conducted) subsequently developed a particular focus on psychopharmacology whilst at the RMH, and has now been relocated completely to the section of the department at Austin Repatriation Medical Centre (headed by Dr Trevor Norman), and a new molecular biology laboratory, headed by Dr Alexander Kouzmenko, has been established at the RMH site and is building close links with the Mental Health Research Institute (MHRI) of Victoria.

As a result of the development of a private hospital at the Royal Melbourne Hospital the department moved from the seventh floor of the Clinical Sciences Building in August of 1994 and is now well established, with additional space, on the seventh floor of the Charles Conibere Building. It will be joined in mid-1996 by the Medical Psychology Unit which is moving to the ninth floor.

The department has continued to expand with new academic positions funded by its associated hospitals and by the Department of Health and Community Services. Professor Helen Herrman replaced Professor Ball on his retirement from St Vincent's Hospital, and three new Professor/Directorships were established in the 1990s. Dr David Copolov, Head of the restructured Mental Health Research Institute of Victoria since 1987, which has a close association with the department through the co-directorship he has with Professor Singh of the NHMRC Schizophrenia Research Unit was appointed to a chair in acknowledgement of his scientific leadership of the MHRI. The MHRI has completed an affiliation agreement with the RMH. The Department of Veteran's Affairs has provided funds to create a Centre for War Related Post Traumatic Stress Disorder at the Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre headed by Professor Philip Morris. In addition, a Professor/Director position has been established to head the Centre for Mental Health at Royal Melbourne Hospital. A Centre for Cultural Studies in Health is proposed for establishment in 1996 located in the Department of Psychiatry as a component of the Faculty's Strategic Plan for the current triennium and as part of the Faculty and Department commitment to internationalisation. New positions in Psychiatry of Intellectual Disability, Geriatric Psychiatry and General Psychiatry have also been created. These include the establishment of an Associate Professorship in a section of the department established at the Melbourne Clinic and filled by Associate Professor Isaac Schweitzer since early 1995.

The department has been very successful in gaining a number of large research awards – the NHMRC Schizophrenia Unit Grant and program grants from the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation for the Early Psychosis Program headed by Associate Professor Pat McGorry, and the Caregiving in the Community Program headed by Professor Helen Herrman. Total grants to the department in 1994 amounted to approximately $2.5m, from all sources including pharmaceutical companies.

The department has also, as a result of its size, been successful in strengthening its administrative functions with the creation of a Budgets and Personnel Officer, both funded by the Faculty, as well as being able to provide additional administrative support at its clinical schools. Mrs Elizabeth Horton who has been with the department for more than thirty years and has been awarded a University Long Service Medal, fills the Budgets and Personnel position in 1994. The department has also established four major committees to co-ordinate its undergraduate, postgraduate, continuing and public education, research and internationalisation programs, as well as working groups co-ordinating its psychopharmacology research and its initiatives in distance education.

Strong collaboration has been developed with the Department of Paediatrics, through the Centre for Adolescent Health, as well as other departments within the University; particularly the Department of Pathology, with links through Professor Colin Masters.

The Future

Three main themes determine the department's approach to the future. They are all based on the philosophy espoused by the Chairman of the need for the department to have a high profile in the delivery of psychiatric services, as well as in its involvement with the community as a whole.
both locally and internationally. Firstly, the department is actively involved in the changing environment of psychiatric services as a result of mainstreaming the major psychiatric services into the general hospital system. Leadership of this process falls on the leaders of the three clinical schools, namely Professors Singh, Burrows and Herrman, who are also Clinical Directors of Psychiatry at their respective hospitals. These services will form strong components of the new networks being created in the metropolitan health system in Victoria, and thus give the University department a major opportunity to influence these services.

Second, the major commitment of the department to public, continuing education and general postgraduate education. New proposals are under discussion, the department having funded a half-time Developmental Officer to work on the establishment of a series of new courses, short courses, continuing education programs, Graduate Diplomas and Masters.

The department sponsors a series of public lectures throughout the year. The first of these was delivered by Professor Myrna Weissman from Harvard University, who lectured on the current understanding of depression in association with the Australian Society for Psychiatric Research Meeting in December 1995.

The third major theme for the department will be its internationalisation program. The department is exploring a strategic plan to become actively involved in internationalising its curriculum and programs, as well as working with countries in the South-East Asian and Western Pacific regions to offer teaching, training and support for the development of psychiatric services in these areas.

Discussions have involved the WHO Division of Mental Health, as well as the World Psychiatric Association. The department will be one component of the WHO Australian Multisite Collaborating Centre in Mental Health expected to be established in 1996.

In addition, the department intends to continue its very active research program attempting to consolidate various sites as well as co-ordinate its activities better. Initiatives in the area of distance education are underway, and a secretariat to co-ordinate psychopharmacological studies is under consideration. The department is also continuing to review its undergraduate and postgraduate coursework curriculum to utilise more modern methods of teaching including multi-media and interactive computer learning.

In summary, the department looks with confidence to a widening horizon in which it will continue its contribution to psychiatry as an academic discipline in this city, as well as nationally and internationally.

CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

Sports Medicine Problems in General Practice

With the Olympic Games in Atlanta this year and then in Sydney in the year 2000 the popularity of competitive sports in schools and clubs is bound to rise, and with it, the number of sports-related injuries.

The practical management of patients with sports injuries and musculoskeletal problems was the topic of the first course offered for 1996 in the School of Medicine Continuing Education Program. Dr Karim Khan, a sports medicine expert with a background in general practice, directed the course in collaboration with Drs Teng Liaw and Bill Leadston of the University Department of Public Health and Community Medicine General Practice Unit. Participants enjoyed the combination of an intensive lecture program followed by a practical 'hands-on' workshop.

All courses in the continuing education program have a strong practical emphasis with 'hands-on' workshops, visits to hospital clinical departments, small group sessions and case studies. Details of the full 1996 program are on the back cover of this issue of Chiron.

If you would like more information, please telephone Tamara Humphries or Robin Orams on (03) 9344 5888.

CME ENQUIRIES
(+61 3) 9344 5888
NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING 1996

The Annual General Meeting of the University of Melbourne Medical Society (UMMS) will be held at 6.30 pm on Tuesday 21 May 1996, in the Sunderland Theatre, ground floor of the medical building, The University of Melbourne, Parkville. The meeting will be preceded by the Dean's Lecture in which Professor David Kissane, Director of Palliative Medicine, St Vincent's Hospital, Caritas Christi Hospice, Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute and Mercy Hospice Care, will deliver a lecture entitiled Caring for the family in the setting of terminal illness.

Business
1. Minutes of 1995 Annual General Meeting
2. Chairperson's Report
4. Amendment of Constitution
   When the University of Melbourne Medical Society was originally formed, the constitution was drawn up with references to 'donations' in the belief that membership contributions to UMMS would be claimable as tax deductible donations to the University. In 1990 the Australian Taxation Office ruled that annual membership of UMMS could not be claimed as a tax deductible donation to the University. Accordingly, the following resolution to amend the constitution is proposed:
   That where the word 'donation' appears it is changed to 'membership fee' and where the word 'donors' appears it is changed to 'members'.

In 1995 UMMS changed its financial reporting from a report based on the UMMS membership year, of March to April, to a calendar year report, in line with the University accounting system. It is now proposed that the UMMS membership year be changed to a calendar year in line with the proposed membership year for the University Alumni Association.

The following resolution is proposed:
   That item 4.3 of the constitution be changed to read 'Membership fees are payable in January each year in respect of a year running from 1 January to 31 December of that year. A member who does not pay the due membership fee by the end of January of the following year is deemed to be unfinancial and is ineligible to vote at any meeting of the Society or its Committee.'

5. General Business

MINUTES OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING 1995

The annual general meeting of the University of Melbourne Medical Society (UMMS) was held at 6.30 pm on Tuesday 16 May 1995 in the Sunderland Lecture Theatre, Medical Building, The University of Melbourne. The meeting was preceded by the Dean's Lecture entitled What Maketh the Man? Genital anomalies provide clues for understanding embryology of sexual development. This was delivered by Professor John Hutson, Director of Paediatric Surgery, Royal Children's Hospital.

1. Minutes of the Annual General Meeting 1994
   The minutes of the 1994 Annual General Meeting, previously published in the 1995 issue of Chiron and circulated to UMMS Members, were adopted as a fair record of proceedings.

2. Chairperson's Report
   • The 1995 issue of Chiron was published in April and the Chairperson noted the generous sponsorship of $25 000 from the Medical Defence Association of Victoria (MDAV). This sponsorship is an important factor contributing to the excellence of the Journal and the Society is immensely grateful to the MDAV for their support. He congratulated Mrs Mackie on an outstanding issue. Although Mrs Mackie had been persuaded to stay on last year, she had indicated that it was now her intention to resign from the editorship of the Journal although she would be available to act as consultant to the editorial team. The Chairperson noted with sadness the death of Co-Editor Mr Peter Jones, shortly before publication of the current issue of Chiron. The Chairperson was pleased to confirm that Professor Emeritus Harold Attwood had agreed to take over as Editor of Chiron.

   • Membership of UMMS at 11 May 1995 was 2266, compared with 2209 at a similar time in 1994.

   • The Bachelor of Medical Science Prize for 1993 was awarded to Mr Andrew Lovett for his study entitled A survey of the health status of homeless young people in Victoria.

   • UMMS Elective Essay Prizes of $100 were awarded to Sonia Davidson, Glenn Guest and Chris Oh for essays describing their elective experiences. The essays, The Maldives—Paradise or Paradox by Sonia Davidson and Evolution in the Cradle of Mankind by Glenn Guest, were published in the 1995 issue of Chiron.

   • Medical Society activities in 1994 included the UMMS lecture and function, held on 22 November, at which Professor Sir Gustav Nossal delivered a brilliant and inspiring lecture entitled Medical Science and Human Goals — A Struggling Pilgrim's Progress. The lecture attracted a large crowd and a shortened version was published in the editorial of Chiron. UMMS members enjoyed drinks and light refreshments in the Pathology Museum prior to the lecture.

   • The 1994 Dean's Lecture Series was well attended and ended in the medical ethics seminar, A Better Death, convened by Professor Richard Smallwood. The seminar was very successful and attracted such a large audience that a second lecture theatre was used with an audio link to the Sunderland Theatre.

   • Reunions of medical graduates continue to flourish as can be seen by the reports in Chiron each year. A list of reunions currently being planned is also published in Chiron.

   • Members' attention was drawn to the remainder of the Dean's Lecture Series, in particular, the forthcoming ethics seminar Caring for the Severely Disabled or Dying Child.

   At the last meeting of the Executive Committee in August 1994, it was decided that UMMS change its financial reporting from a report based on the UMMS membership year, of March to April, to a calendar year report, in line with the University accounting system. It was noted that there was a budget balance of $35 222 at the end of 1994 and that this was a satisfactory result. A motion to accept the financial report was carried.

   Nominations for the election of six members of the Committee of UMMS closed on Tuesday 9 May 1995. The five elected members of the UMMS Committee were eligible and available for reappointment and under section 7.3 of the constitution were proposed for re-election. They were: Dr Lorraine Baker, Dr Thomas Kay, Dr Andrew Bothfield, Dr David Westmore and Mr Michael Wilson. A nomination for Mr James Guest to be elected to the Committee had been received. As there were no more nominations than places available, it was not necessary that an election be held and all nominated members were declared duly elected.

There being no further business the meeting closed at 6.40 pm.
This study was the first detailed examination of mammary gland development in the waved-2 mouse. The findings led to a greater understanding of lactation in mice, as well as the roles that hormones play in the initiation, maintenance and cessation of lactation.

The phenotype of a spontaneous mouse mutant, waved-2 mice, was identified in 1994 as being due to a point mutation in the gene which codes for the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGF-R). During the course of this research it was noticed that the waved-2 breeding colony had a high pup mortality rate. Investigations suggested that the newborn mice were dying of malnutrition secondary to impaired maternal milk production.

Mammary tissues were examined from proven poor lactating waved-2 pregnant and lactating mice with matched controls, at day 8, 14 and 18 of pregnancy and at day 1.5 of lactation. The histological features of the pregnant and lactating waved-2 mice differed to that observed in the waved-2 control mice, however there was no difference in PTHrP or EGF staining patterns. It was concluded that waved-2 mouse mammary glands undergo involution at day 14 blocking normal milk production. Preliminary radioimmunoassays show much lower circulating levels of prolactin in the lactating waved-2 mice than in normal mice. Prolactin is important in the initiation and maintenance of lactation but only to day 14 of pregnancy when placental lactogen is responsible for the maintenance of lactation.

This work has provided crucial ideas and hypotheses for future research on the pregnancy and lactation of waved-2 mice. The research has made significant advances in the elucidation of the effects of a defective EGF-R and the resultant poor lactation and failure to thrive. This could have important implications in other situations where humans, and other animals, have lactation problems, low litter numbers and a high mortality rate.

Simon Williams
for his study entitled
Aspects of folate metabolism in families affected by neural tube defects

An increase in maternal periconceptional folate intake has been shown to reduce the risk of neural tube defects such as spina bifida in offspring, and current evidence suggests that there is an underlying defect in the metabolism of folate in those mothers who have an affected pregnancy. So far, studies have investigated folate metabolism only in women who have had an affected infant. Folate metabolism in other family members, including affected children, has not been studied.

This project addressed this issue by studying the in vivo metabolism of folate in children with spina bifida, their parent(s) and sibling(s), compared with families with no history of a neural tube defect. Measures of folate metabolism included vitamin status (folate, cobalamin and pyridoxal), and homocysteine levels, before and after a methionine load. It was designed as a pilot study to assess the feasibility of conducting a larger, definitive study.

The results demonstrated that such a study was feasible, and identified a number of issues relating to the design and conduct of the study which should be addressed in any future study. While the pilot study was too small to detect differences in folate metabolism with confidence, the results suggested a defect in folate metabolism in the affected child which can be measured with baseline homocysteine levels, and which may have been active at the time of neurulation. Indirect evidence also suggested a defect in folate metabolism in the mother.

The importance of this pilot study, the first to examine folate metabolism in children affected with spina bifida, lies in the demonstration of the feasibility of conducting a full scale investigation of folate metabolism in affected children and their families. Such an investigation should now be undertaken.
1995 REUNIONS

Class of 1935 – 60 Years Reunion


MBBS 1933
Sixty-Two Years Reunion
Alcason House
19 September 1995

From Spot Turnbull – The sixty-second reunion of the 1933 Medical Graduates of the University of Melbourne was celebrated by a luncheon held at the Alcason House restaurant on Spring Street, Melbourne, on Tuesday 19 September 1995. There were six graduates present – Norman Cust, Lorna Lloyd-Green, John Hayward, Dorothy Sinclair (nee Gepp), Harry Sinn and Spot Turnbull.

Nine other graduates were unable to attend, owing to distance and/or family problems. So, there remain alive a total of fifteen of the fifty-eight medical students who graduated in 1933 – one of the smallest groups of finalists for some time.

We all hope to be present at the sixty-third anniversary to be held in 1996.

MBBS 1935
Sixty Years Reunion
Naval and Military Club
1 December 1995

From James Smibert – The largest gathering of MBBS 1935 graduates since 1990 came together for luncheon at the Naval and Military Club to celebrate their Diamond Jubilee. (See Chiron, Vol 1, No 4, 1988 pp27-29, for a memoir of the MBBS class of '35).

The luncheon was attended by: DJM Dunn, WR Gayton, AV Jackson, CP Juttner, AJ King, GR Kurrie, N Lewis, FE Plarre, RJ Riddell, RJ Salts, J Smibert, TH Steel, BI Tuft, HE Williams and NV Youngman. In absentia were: TRB Courtney, L Langmore, AD Matheson and CR Trood.

MBBS 1940
Fifty-Five Years Reunion
Melbourne Club
16 November 1995

From Norman Wettenhall – Since 1960 we have had a reunion every five years, so this was the eighth time we have come together. We have usually had a dinner at night, but on this occasion we had lunch with time for talk before and after and no hassle with travel after dark. There were thirty of us able to attend and twelve apologies out of a possible forty-nine whose addresses we had – and that included three with overseas addresses.

Those who attended were: John Bignell, Alastair Campbell, Basil Conlon, Peter Davis, Ken Davidson, Bob Elphick, John England, Don Fleming, Howard Hoban, Harry Jackson, Shiel Lusted (Barr), Graham McKenzie, John Mcmahon, Frank Moore, Les Moran, Lloyd Morgan, Norrene Nicholson (Findeisen), Roy Phillips, Albert Piper, Bill Rigg, Bill Rose, Edward Ryan, Gladys Simpson (Morris), Ted Spring, Elizabeth Turner, Murray Verso, Lesley Vincent (Waters), Norman Wettenhall, Jean White, Ian Wilson. Apologies were received from: Ken Brennan, Arthur Burton, John Cahill, Cornelius Christie, Noel de Garis, Ian Galbraith, Lindsay Irawin, Sam Meccles, Ken Morris, Fred Rose, Warwick Rosenthal, David Waterworth.

The reunion was held at the Melbourne Club where we lunched in the library and had a very pleasant meal. There were no special guests and no formal speeches, but conversation certainly did not flag. Naturally all were looking older, but the general standard of health appeared pretty good and there was plenty of good cheer.

It was especially pleasing to have four from Western Australia - Lesley Waters, Bob Elphick, Don Fleming and Lloyd Morgan.

At the end it was agreed to hold our next reunion in two years rather than wait for the next millennium!
Class of 1945 – 50 Years Reunion


MBBS June 1942
Fifty-Three Years Reunion
Royal South Yarra Lawn Tennis Club
3 June 1995

From John Tucker - The medical graduates of 1 June 1942 held a reunion dinner at the Royal South Yarra Lawn Tennis Club on 3 June 1995, to celebrate fifty-three years since graduation. Of course, we were accompanied by our wives, husbands etc. which we feel is essential for a proper reunion. I sent out sixty-one invitations and thirty-one members accepted which is not bad considering we are now scattered all over the world and some addresses could have been wrong. Forty-nine people attended. One hundred and ten graduated in our year.

We did not have a guest speaker but members were encouraged to speak. Colin Richards gave a very interesting report on The Garnett Passe and Rodney Williams Memorial Trust which has left nineteen million American dollars for the advancement of the specialty of Otorhinolaryngology and related fields [see Colin Richards' obituary p51]. Colin was the Chairman of the trust which involved a great deal of time and responsibility. We were all greatly saddened by Colin's sudden death. He was a very popular and highly respected member of our year.

Ruth (Farrer) and Ian Chenoweth, both graduates of our year, have attended every reunion we have held, travelling down from Mackay and latterly Mcleay Island, Queensland, to be with us, with which we are very flattered. Ian told us that at last he has retired (this we doubt) and they will settle at The Gap, Brisbane.

John Craig came all the way from Perth and gave us news of the members in Western Australia.

It was suggested that a luncheon gathering should be arranged for 1996. Alan Williams kindly offered to help me and true to his word wrote a letter to all members giving alternative dates and venues. I think practically everyone replied and then to our horror, Alan passed away. This marvellous person will be missed terribly by everyone who was lucky enough to know him but 'The Alan Williams Luncheon' will be held, probably on the first Sunday in June 1996.

The evening was attended by: Graham Brooke accompanied by Eve Esrath, Norman and Phyllis Chamberlain, Ruth and Ian Chenoweth, Ann and Ted Cordner, John Craig, Rae (Cochran) and Roy Davies, Betty and Lloyd Dixon, Patricia and Rob Fleming, John

MBBS March 1945
Fifty Years Reunion
Melbourne Cricket Club
24 February 1995

From Nate Myers - The class of 1945 held a dinner to celebrate the fiftieth anniversary of their graduation which took place in March 1945. The dinner was held in the Committee Room of the Melbourne Cricket Club, this delightful room being made available through the auspices of one of our number, Don Cordner. In all, seventy attended the dinner, comprising forty-three of the original graduates and twenty-seven who accompanied them (twenty-six wives and one daughter). Although the majority of those attending lived in metropolitan Melbourne, there were several from farther afield including country Victoria, Western Australia, New South Wales and Queensland. We had hoped that Mary Levinson (nee Bennett) who lives in the United Kingdom would be attending but unfortunately she was unable to be with us; in a similar vein John Farrar who also lives in the United Kingdom tendered an apology.

The evening was an extremely happy occasion - it was clear that this was so from the many favourable comments made at the time and subsequently by letter to Nate Myers who had organised the event. All previous reunions had been organised by Don Cordner so this was indeed a great responsibility.

Pre-dinner drinks were followed by the photograph which was taken in the Long Room. A seating plan was arranged for dinner but the meal progressed with considerable activity and movement around the room and from table to table, enabling friendships to be renewed and conversation to progress: all-in-all there was a tremendous spirit of camaraderie.
There were no formal speeches as such, but Des Hurley took the opportunity to pay a tribute to Peter Jones which was well received. It was almost as if Des was able to predict the future because little more than two weeks later Peter Jones died — our reunion was, in fact, the last social event he attended. An obituary on Peter is published in this issue of Chiron (see page 2).

The theme of the evening was one of happiness and it was generally agreed that we should meet again, probably towards the end of 1997.


**MBBS 1955**

**Forty Years Reunion**

The Melbourne Club

3 November 1995

From John O'Brien — Sixty-seven graduates met at The Melbourne Club for their forty year reunion.

Many came from interstate: Max Kirwin, Frank Webb, Max Whisson and Lesley Dougan from Western Australia. Max West from Yorkeys Knob, Cairns. Helene Nasser (Sherlock), George Freeman and David Gordon from Queensland. Rosemary Nicholls and John Hood from Sydney and Boyne Russell, John Brennan and Dane Sutton from Tasmania.

Female graduates were well represented. Helene Nasser came for the first time in forty years. Other graduates were Kath Thompson, Boyne Russell, Lesley Dougan, Barbara Stuart, Heather Bartrum, Mary Grogan, Phil Joshua, Ursula McKenna and Rosemary Nicholls. Helene Nasser told organisers John O'Brien and Graham Syme that she was petrified she wouldn't recognise anyone after forty years. However, later in the evening Helene was heard to say that she needn't have worried, nobody had changed, a little older perhaps but personalities don't change.

Such is the medical course, six years seemed to be such a short time after forty years graduation.

**MBBS 1965**

**Thirty Years Reunion**

Ripponlea

1 December 1995

From Peter Habersberger — On Friday 1 December 1995, seventy-eight graduates who had their degrees conferred on 18 December 1965, sat down for dinner thirty years on. Many looked the same, and many have changed appearance in one way or another over those thirty years. The graduates of 1965 are now scattered world-wide and throughout every state in Australia, and although none from overseas could join us on this occasion, graduates came from Western Australia, New South Wales and Queensland.

It was a beautiful balmy summer evening and drinks were served around the pool at Ripponlea, followed by dinner in the ballroom and entertainment by 'Pot Pourri'. Reflecting on the last thirty years, it was difficult to believe that so much time had passed. A review of the conference program for the 18 December 1965 showed that the graduation ceremony in Wilson Hall was presided over by the Chancellor, the Hon Sir Arthur Dean, and in attendance were the Visitor to the University, his Excellency the Governor of Victoria, Major General Sir Rohan Delacombe, and the Premier of the day, the Hon H E Bolte.

This was the fourth reunion that the graduation class of 1965 have held and each has an outstanding success. It was resolved that another reunion would be held in a further five years.
THINK AHEAD

When did you graduate? Is next year your fifth or fifty-fifth since graduation? Reunions are best planned well ahead of time. Your classmates who are living overseas or interstate will travel to Melbourne for reunions if they have enough advance notice. Venues also need to be booked well beforehand.

Please let the UMMS office know of your plans – we like to publish information about reunions in Chiron. We can obtain, on your behalf, a list of graduates from your year and sets of address labels from the Alumni Office. We can also advise you on alternatives you may wish to explore regarding venues and speakers.

Many reunion organisers produce a booklet containing details of graduate activities since graduation. A small curriculum vitae needs to be requested from each graduate early in the planning stages, and these, sometimes together with recent and old photographs, are compiled into a booklet. Those who attend the reunion take home something to remind them of the event, and those unable to attend enjoy reading about their old friends. We have a small stock of past reunion booklets at the UMMS office and would be very grateful to receive one from your reunion.

MBBS
Graduate Anniversaries
in 1997

5th Year Class of '92
10th Year Class of '87
15th Year Class of '82
20th Year Class of '77
25th Year Class of '72
30th Year Class of '67
35th Year Class of '62
40th Year Class of '57
45th Year Class of '52
50th Year Class of '47
55th Year Class of '42
60th Year Class of '37

1996 REUNIONS

15TH YEAR OF 1981
Dr Tony Sellars
bh: (03) 9459 7497

20TH YEAR OF 1976
16 November 1996
Sheraton Towers, Melbourne
Dr Tony Kostos
bh: (03) 9417 7337

25TH YEAR OF 1971
Dr Elizabeth Dax
bh: (03) 9280 2402

30TH YEAR OF 1966
8 & 9 November 1996
Regent Hotel and The Melbourne Club
Dr Stan O'Loughlin
(03) 9792 1791
Dr Robert Nave
(03) 9650 3115
Dr Viv Peterson
(03) 9435 1531
Dr Maria Breen (Vice)
(03) 9285 2342

40TH YEAR OF 1956
23 November 1996
The University of Melbourne
Professor Henry Burger
bh: (03) 9950 4397

55TH YEAR OF 1941
20 September 1996
University House, The University of Melbourne
Mr James Guest
ph/fax: (03) 9347 3852

UMMS Office
Ms Liz Brentnall
Alumni Officer
School of Medicine
The University of Melbourne
Parkville 3052
Telephone: (+61 3) 9344 5888
Facsimile: (+61 3) 9347 7084
E-mail: e.brentnall@medicine.unimelb.edu.au
KEITH BRADLEY
BCE 1934, MBBS 1941, FRACS, FRACR (Hon), FRACO (Hon)
1911-1995

Keith Bradley was a likeable person. We met when we were second year medical students and remained firm friends until his recent death. Dux of Melbourne High School in 1929, Keith had graduated in civil engineering before commencing medicine. He carried the precision of this earlier training into his medical career. He graduated MBBS (Melb) in 1941, the first year the medical course had been shortened as a result of the Second World War. On graduation he enlisted in the RAN and was appointed to the Royal Melbourne Hospital as a junior resident medical officer. He was house surgeon to Mr Henry Searby, and house physician to Dr F Bleis Lawton. As a result of the sinking of the HMAS Sydney in late 1941 and HMAS Perth in March 1942, naval call up was slow so he also worked for some months as assistant clinical pathologist (to Dr Hilda Gardener) before being called up for full-time naval service.

During this period he and I worked with Dr Julian Smith Snr on aspects of direct blood transfusion, and we carried out some one hundred transfusions with the Julian Smith pump.

Keith Bradley's sea service was in HMAS Shropshire; he was in the ship during the Battle of Leyte Gulf and other operations leading to the liberation of the Philippines. Demobilised in September 1946 he started training in obstetrics and gynaecology, but soon transferred to the Royal Women's Hospital. During this time, in England, he renewed acquaintance with Professor Frederic Wood Jones, then Professor of Human and Comparative Anatomy at the Royal College of Surgeons of England.

Keith had maintained a constant and increasing interest in anatomy and his chance came in 1966 when he was appointed to a second chair of anatomy at the University of Melbourne. He was popular with staff because he was generous with his knowledge and time, and he was popular with students because of his excellent teaching. He taught in the Wood Jones-Sunderland tradition; a clear exposition, excellent diagrams, and anecdotes to enliven his lectures. He made a large series of video recordings dealing with major neuroanatomical topics.

He taught not only medical and dental students, but a wide range of postgraduates and he was recognised for this work by being made an Honorary Fellow of the Royal Australasian College of Radiologists and the Royal Australian College of Ophthalmologists.

In the early days of CT scans he started teaching cross sectional anatomy and he wrote a number of articles on neurological and neuroanatomical topics. In collaboration with Geoffrey Kenny he did some interesting work on the pineal gland.

His opinion was often sought on medico-legal matters and I know from mutual friends in the legal profession how highly his reports were regarded and the manner in which he gave evidence in court. He was called as an expert witness on one of the most celebrated trials of recent times, that of Lindy Chamberlain.

A man with a great capacity for friendship, he could be relied on when one was in need. In addition to his support for his friends, he helped many people in a quiet and unobtrusive way, never seeking recognition.

His marriage to Iris was a long and happy one. They enjoyed travel, music and conversation and with his son Paul he developed a Poll Hereford stud.

Keith Bradley was a sound engineer, a skilled neurosurgeon, a doctor who never gave up on the patients under his care, but I think he was at his best and happiest as an anatomist. He loved the history of the subject, the eponymous nomenclature, the close contact with students and the research projects which exercised his enquiring mind.

He enjoyed life, lived it to the full and is a man to be remembered. James Guest

BERESFORD WILLIAM BUTTERY
MBBS 1965, FRACOG
1939-1995

It is difficult to accept that Beresford has died. One does not expect death to come to somebody who looked so youthful and had such enthusiasm for life. He has been an important part of our lives but he died suddenly in China on 6 August 1995 - a sad loss to many people. Beresford was a generous, loyal and true friend to many of us. He had a bright optimistic approach to life and an infectious, impish smile.

Beresford was born in 1939 - one of non-identical twin boys. It was appropriate that they were born at St George's Hospital, a hospital with which Beresford was to become closely associated, and a hospital he dearly loved.

Educated at Scotch College, Beresford graduated MBBS from Melbourne University in 1965. He later trained at the Alfred Hospital, the Royal Women's, the Austin and the Mercy Maternity Hospitals. He gained his MRCOG in 1971 and then set off for the United Kingdom. He was one of the first Obstetricians to take a serious interest in ultrasound and spent a year in Glasgow with Ian Donald. While in Scotland, Beresford gained his Edinburgh Surgical Fellowship. He returned to Australia in Obstetrics and Gynaecology practice and showed great vision in establishing a private obstetric ultrasound practice at a time when ultrasound was only beginning to be used in public hospitals.
Beresford gave much of his life contributing to medicine in the broadest sense. He was Honorary Secretary of the State Committee of the Royal College of Obstetrics for three years and Honorary Treasurer of the State Committee of the Royal Australian College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists for three years. During his later term, he was Treasurer of the organising committee of the large Asian and Oceanic Federation for Obstetrics and Gynaecology Congress in Melbourne in 1981. This meeting of 2000 delegates from twenty-one nations was the focus of Beresford’s life for three years but as with all his commitments, always carried out with good grace, he fulfilled his duties to the limit.

His second great professional commitment was to St George’s Hospital. Beresford was appointed Obstetrician from 1976 to 1993. Not only did he perform his duties with distinction but in typical Beresford fashion he gave tremendous support to every aspect of hospital life – medical and social. He regarded St George’s as his spiritual home and the hospital was proud to claim him as one of its own.

Beresford’s third and probably greatest professional commitment was to the Australasian Society for Ultrasound in Medicine (ASUM) throughout most of his professional life. He was a councillor from 1977 to 1988 and again from 1994 to 1995, and President in 1988. He made many vital contributions to ASUM, including the establishment of the Standards of Practice Committee which he then chaired for its first ten years. He ran innumerable annual scientific meetings. His selfless commitment to the organisation was epitomised by the fact that he took on the Honorary Secretarial position in 1994 when the Society desperately needed his expertise. He was appointed to the Council of the World Federation for Ultrasound in Medicine in 1994 and was attending his first meeting as a councillor in China at the time of his death.

Although not well recognised, Beresford had a strong academic record. He had a keen and inquiring mind. He published nineteen articles in refereed journals, several of which were milestone articles; in particular the obstetric management of the ovarian cyst in pregnancy and the first description of the myometrial contraction in pregnancy – ‘the lump of Buttery’. In 1993 he was the first to describe ultrasonic hysterography which only recently has been taken up seriously by other workers.

Outside medicine Beresford had wide-ranging interests. He had an excellent technical mind. He published twenty articles in refereed journals, several of which were milestone articles; in particular the obstetric management of the ovarian cyst in pregnancy and the first description of the myometrial contraction in pregnancy – ‘the lump of Buttery’. In 1993 he was the first to describe ultrasonic hysterography which only recently has been taken up seriously by other workers.

Beresford was generous both to his friends and in his support of charity. He was a founding member of the ECHO Foundation in 1978 – a fine organisation which funds and provides holidays for elderly citizens. He remained an active member and was a past vice-president. A proficient if somewhat foolhardy skier he believed the shortest route down the mountain was the best, and apparently showed great reluctance to upgrade his ski apparel. He enjoyed cooking and surprised even his friends when one year he quietly entered a plum pudding at the Royal Melbourne Show and received an a highly commended award.

Beresford was a keen traveller, and twelve months before his death he returned to Sweden for one day to surprise a friend for a fiftieth birthday celebration. This trip also demonstrated his extraordinary stoicism – as he boarded the plane he knew he had a bowel obstruction secondary to his diverticular disease. Three days later, having drunk and eaten little and travelled to Sweden and back, he returned to Melbourne and drove himself to hospital where his obstruction was treated!

Beresford’s company will be sadly missed by his many friends and there are many important organisations that will be the poorer for his passing. He will be particularly mourned by Barbara, Andrew, Michael, Robert and Marian.

Lachlan de Crespigny, Keith Layton & Peter Heath

---

ALASTAIR HERIOT CAMPBELL

MBBS 1940, MD 1961, FRACP

1917-1996

THE SPEED at which Alastair Campbell walked up the Banksia Street hill slowed over the years, but the sense of purpose in his stride remained to the end. Alastair and Wilma Campbell made their home within walking distance of the Repatriation General Hospital (Heidelberg), in which Campbell was to base his medical career. It was in the basement of this home that we clambered together – amongst the results of his years of ‘hoarding’ – in search of his first ‘machine’ a device made to his design in the hospital’s workshops from a gas meter and an anaesthetic balloon, providing the first objective measurement of respiratory function in Australia. Campbell was a pioneer of respiratory function testing in Australia, and the first specialist to have a full-time appointment in respiratory medicine in Victoria. Born into a family with Presbyterian traditions, Campbell inherited the protestant work ethic, but not the faith. Together with his wife, Alastair Campbell was an active socialist and for many years a member of the Australian Communist Party, with a continuing commitment to social justice for all.

Graduating MBBS from the University of Melbourne during the Second World War (1940), Campbell joined the RAAF in 1942. He had hoped for overseas service; instead he was placed in successive appointments within Australia which involved establishing new medical services. This early experience in medical administration convinced him of the efficiency of a nationalised system of health care in which the need for payment did not intrude between patient and doctor. His exposure to medical administration in the Services proved a model for his subsequent service with the Repatriation Commission. Campbell was born into a family with a tradition of tertiary education, which continues through his own children and grandchildren. His great-grandfather, Alexander Campbell, arrived in Melbourne in 1859, a university-educated Presbyterian minister who was instrumental in the formation of Geelong College, the Ecclesiastical Hall and Ormond College, and in the promotion of the Working Men’s College (later RMIT). Campbell’s father had obtained a Doctorate of Science from the University of Melbourne, and so it was with some determination that he commenced reading towards his MD whilst in the RAAF. Having successfully sat the first examination prior to discharge, he accepted a full-time position at the Greensvale Sanatorium for one year, during which time he passed his Membership, but failed the MD final examination. This he finally obtained some years later by thesis, although he recalled, with lingering regret, that it came after the death of his own father.

His interest in tuberculosis led him into the Repatriation General Hospital (Heidelberg) in 1947, as a full-time medical officer. Within fifteen months he was himself admitted as a patient to the Chest Division. Reflecting on his period of hospitalisation with tuberculosis, he recognised that it had provided him with an opportunity to expand his knowledge, for he spent this time reading Shakespeare, the great poets, some philosophy, and cultivating an interest in classical music – his single-minded devotion to medicine had been broken! During his prolonged convalescence, Campbell was offered a part-time research position in the Chest Division at the Repatriation General Hospital. This was unusual for two reasons: the Department did not employ part-time medical officers, and the Repatriation Commission had no commitment to research since its responsibilities, as defined in the respective Acts, were for the provision of treatment and compensation only. The research was to determine if there was a direct link between prolonged courses of a relatively new drug used in the treatment of tuberculosis (para-amino-salicylate, or PAS) and reports of muscle weakness and cardiac abnormalities in patients. This he demonstrated and, as a result, was awarded one of the early Wunderley Travelling Scholarships to study tuberculosis and other respiratory diseases in England and the United States. 

He returned with responsibility for the Chest Clinic at RGH (Caulfield), a full-time position created for him. It was there that he first developed his...
Atkinson Campbell had a commitment to, and a vision ‘machine’. His leadership within the field of respiratory medicine is well documented elsewhere. That he established a large research-based service within the Repatriation Department was extraordinary. Alastair Campbell had a position of considerable authority in the Repatriation system, being appointed as the Department’s first national Consultant (Chest Diseases), an appointment he held from 1964 until his retirement from full-time practice in 1979.

The Repatriation Department sent Campbell to Queensland as a specialist in chest diseases during the late 1950s. It was there that he developed his interest in social anthropology. He joined the Queensland Branch of the United Nations Association, aware of the plight of the Queensland Aboriginal population who, at that time, were denied both the status of citizenship and a good education. Together with other members of the Association, Campbell produced his first work on aboriginal culture during this period, highlighting the difficulties the Queensland Act placed on its indigenous population. Returning to Victoria in 1959, Campbell became a member of the Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines. After the granting of full citizenship to the aboriginal population, Campbell withdrew from the Committee but maintained his involvement in aboriginal affairs by way of the study of social anthropology. He subsequently published papers on tooth avulsion, elementary food production, traditional ‘pharmacy’ and the culture and prehistory of the aboriginal peoples of Victoria. After his retirement from full-time medicine, Campbell spent one day a week in the Victorian Museum, to which he had been appointed as an honorary associate in 1983. He also prepared the papers of John Bulmer, the first superintendent of the Lake Tyers Aboriginal Reserve, for publication by the Museum and, in 1987, published John Bulmer and the Aborigines, (Kibble Books). Alastair Campbell maintained his involvement in respiratory medicine as an honorary consultant in thoracic medicine at the Repatriation General Hospital until 1987. He then continued to attend the weekly thoracic clinical meetings when in town.

He was a devoted husband, father and grandfather. He nursed his wife through her final illness, pleased that after fifty years of marriage he was able to reciprocate for the care she had given him during his convalescence with tuberculosis. After her death, he completed a biography of his great-grandfather, which was launched at his home in December 1985. (Campbell 1875-1969, Spectrum Books, 1995) Alastair Campbell was a physician of high repute. He was also a gentleman, a political activist, and a scholar. In many fields he will be sadly missed.

Guynedd Hunter-Payne

James Eric Clarke, Ed
MBBS 1934, MD 1937, FRCP, FRACP 1911-1995

Eric As He Was Known best in medical circles, died in the Epworth Hospital surrounded by his six children in April 1995 shortly after suffering a severe stroke. He was a remarkable and godly man whose life touched many people in unforgettable ways; his family, friends, colleagues, students and patients. Intellectual and professional leadership, wisdom, integrity, humility, love, compassion, warmth, discipline, humour and a strong commitment to God were some of the attributes of this ‘gentle man’.

Eric was born in Kuling, a remote part of central China in 1911, the second of three surviving sons of missionary parents. Together with his older brother Murray, and at the age of eleven, he came to Melbourne for schooling. He became a boarder at Caulfield Grammar School and later at Scotch College, spending school holidays with cousins. In many ways they were harsh years. He used to tell about his first day at boarding school when, not having the requisite pens for class, he strapped a nib to a pencil with string. He was duly taken off to the Principal’s office and caned. Despite hardships and the distance from his parents he successfully negotiated these school years with determination and a great sense of purpose, his goal of studying Medicine always before him. He distinguished himself academically, gaining the necessary scholarships to see him through University and Ormond College.

He revelled in University life during the 1930s, achieving many distinctions through his course and coming equal top in final year surgery. He was a keen and talented tennis player for both Scotch College and Ormond College. At a recent Ormond College dinner he stood in front of team photographs and accurately recalled the scores of the finals matches between Ormond and Queens Colleges held sixty years ago. During his university years he was also actively involved in the Christian Union and gave his time generously to various Christian youth activities.

He completed his residency at Royal Melbourne Hospital and then went as Registrar to Fairfield Hospital. In the late 1930s he sailed to England to study for his FRCP, as the ship’s doctor on a Swedish cargo vessel. Evening news reports were anxiously awaited each day because of the event of the outbreak of war which would have made for the nearest German port! He did reach England safely and the war began during his time at Brompton Chest Hospital. There followed postings to the Middle East and New Guinea, and distinguished service mainly in 2nd/7th AGH. Most of his many decorations remained unclaimed because of his lack of interest in seeking recognition for the things he did. His involvement with the Army continued through the post-war years and he finally attained the rank of Colonel.

On return from the war Eric was appointed Honorary Physician at the Alfred Hospital where he remained until 1971. This position was without salary but gave him great experience. Eric also became a visiting medical officer to the Repatriation Department (1948-76) which did provide some remuneration, but, in general, consulting physicians were relatively poorly paid. Eric also had a private practice in Collins Street.

While in London Eric had worked at the Brompton Hospital and he maintained a special interest in tuberculosis and other lung diseases. He made many contributions to the National Thoracic Society of Australia and was President from 1970-72.

Eric had clinical expertise, teaching and administrative abilities so that, not surprisingly, his opinion on clinical problems was often sought and his ward rounds well attended. He became Clinical Dean of the Medical School from 1961-64. Eric was a councillor of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians for many years, Vice-President from 1961-68 and had the difficult task of being Chief Censor for ten years.

A measure of the regard held for Eric was that, when Richard Lovell was appointed to the first Chair of Medicine in the University of Melbourne at the Royal Melbourne Hospital, Eric was asked to take leave from the Alfred Hospital to help Richard Lovell establish himself and his unit in Melbourne. Eric did this in his usual quiet way and it was much appreciated.

In 1940 Eric married the love of his life, Beth Kinross. Following the war he and Beth set up their home in Kew creating a remarkable family environment in which to raise their six children. Despite demanding work and voluntary commitments Eric found ways in which to be involved ‘hands-on’ in loving and caring for the family.

It was he who woke everyone with a hot drink each morning and then cooked a two course breakfast of porridge and eggs. In keeping with his disciplined approach to life, he summoned all to the table with the gong at 7.30 am, and then left for work at 7.50 am. His commitment to family was such that in the evening he arrived home at exactly 6.30 pm for tea. He was so reliable in this that dinner could be served in absolute confidence that he would be there by the time the meals reached the table, even if he would be gone again by 7 pm.

He was very proud of his children and followed their academic and sporting progress keenly. In school holidays he would set up circuit training programs, and encourage tennis, football, netball and even swimming coaching at 5.30 am. Weekends began after Saturday
morning Outpatients at the Alfred Hospital and were special for family, with gardening and football and the beloved Hawks. Sunday school (of which he was superintendent) and church filled Sunday mornings, with gardening and football and the beloved Hawks. Sunday school afternoon teas cooked and presented by the children. Sunday afternoons were for hospitality. He proudly oversaw the time of the week when children were allowed to venture into his study. He often took them with him, giving them some knowledge of the nature and context of his work and an important identification with those places. All the children carry an enduring and significant memory of singing with the Alfred Hospital Nurses Choir each Christmas Eve. Sunday evenings were very special. That was the one time of the week when children were allowed to venture into his studio. Piles of paper, x-rays, journals and books were moved aside, the fire lit, and following hair washes all round he would read stories.

Eric's wife Beth died after a short illness in 1974—a terrible sadness in his life. In the years that followed he assumed the role which Beth had occupied in relation to the children. He provided a home base as they established themselves as adults. He continued the practice of providing Sunday lunch, and would serve up elaborate meals for a large number of people. He proudly tried new dishes attributing them to staff at the Alfred who passed on to him their 'prescriptions'.

Eric married Helen Evans in 1980 and subsequently cared for her through a long illness. She died in 1994. He was a remarkable father (and grandfather to his fifteen grandchildren) continuing to be an enormous source of affirmation, encouragement and strength as he became more frail over recent years.

He will be missed by all of us who were his family, friends, colleagues, patients and students. Marjorie Quinn & IIA

David Francis Cossar
MBBS 1946, DLO, FRCS, FRACS
1922-1995

DAVID COSSAR, who died on 26 February 1995, was a fellow undergraduate and postgraduate student with me at this University and a dear and valued friend for many years. David came from a long-established pharmaceutical business and his mother urged him to study medicine as there were more than enough pharmacists in the family. We graduated at the end of the Second World War, in 1946, having done the usual six year course in a little over five years—the course being condensed because of the war. There was some time for extracurricular activities, but not much! David, having played football for his school, won a University football blue. Later in life he developed the hallmark of a keen footballer—a crook knee!

In 1948 David and I became fellow residents at the then (now Royal) Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital. Gaining his DLO, David then served as a demonstrator in Anatomy.

We met again in London, when he was a resident at the world renowned Gray's Inn Hospital for diseases of the ear, nose and throat. I was at the Institute of Ophthalmology and our paths crossed frequently through functions organised by his hospital and the then Empire Medical Advisory Board for overseas postgraduates. David went to the Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford, gained his FRCS and, in 1954, returned to Australia and obtained the FRACS.

He began private practice with the well known surgeon George Swinburne and became Honorary Otolaryngologist to the Royal Melbourne, the Royal Women's and Box Hill Hospitals. Despite a busy consulting practice he had an exchange involvement in teaching his specialty with colleagues in Singapore. He made close friends with several Singaporeans— not all medical— and there were regular visits between Victoria and Singapore.

David had many friends and outside interests. From a small bush block in West Gippsland he developed a thousand acre property with dairy and later beef cattle. I have fond memories of some of the honey he produced from his beehives and tastings at a wine and food society. He was also a keen bird watcher.

Above all these interests was his joy in working with wood. Much of his leisure time and energy went to being a woodcraftsman and he produced many magnificent pieces for himself, his children and his grandchildren.

Dave had a keen sense of humour and laughed with people not at them. A good story teller, I well remember when we were residents, his account of his previous night's reading of a well known book on the comparative anatomy of the larynx with a detailed rendering of the strange noises emitted by different animals under various circumstances.

David is survived by his wife Joan (nee Towns) two married daughters and grandchildren. Joan, a fellow graduate with us was a demonstrator in Histology for over thirty years.

David will be mourned by many and will be specially remembered at the proposed fifty years reunion of the 1946 graduates in 1996.

Geoffrey Serpell

Brian Sangster Faragher
MBBS 1952, FRACP, FRCPA
1927-1995

BRIAN FARAGHER'S graduation, delayed by a year on account of a suspicious radiological shadow, was followed by happy years as an RMO at the Bairnsdale and the Royal Children's Hospital and afterwards with Registrar appointments at the Royal Children's Hospital and the Alfred Hospital. He earned membership of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians in 1969. Having spent two years in the Alfred's Clinical Pathology Department Brian was appointed Assistant Clinical Pathologist there in 1961. In 1964 he was appointed Deputy Director of the Alfred's newly created Haematology Department and held this position until 1989 when he retired at the age of sixty-two years.

It was my great fortune to have Brian as my close colleague for twenty-four years from 1961. No-one could have asked for a more amiable, competent, wise and devoted co-worker than Brian. Our compatibility made our long time together professionally productive, but each was able to follow his particular bent without reproach or disagreement. He developed a great skill in morphological haematology and a devotion for teaching laboratory haematology. Over the years his easy manner, his knowledge and his teaching ability gained for him the greatest respect of his medical colleagues in Australia and the world.

My own views about his personal qualities have been echoed in the letters of condolence sent to his wife by both medical and scientific colleagues. Expressions used in some of them give an idea of the very high regard in which he was held... honesty and forthrightness; an intelligent and meticulous scientist; totally supportive and caring of co-workers; sound advice on haematological and medico-political issues; cheerful member of Melbourne's haematological community; greatly loved by medical and scientific colleagues alike.

On his retirement from the Alfred Hospital in 1989 he was awarded a medallion by the Victorian Branch of the Australian Institute of Medical Laboratory Scientists in recognition of his great contribution to the education of medical scientists through his teaching of haematology.

In addition to these activities, he played significant roles in the Australasian and international societies of haematology and of blood transfusion in both administrative and scientific capacities; as visiting consultant in laboratory haematology at Fairfield Infectious Diseases Hospital; and as a Clinical Assistant in the Department of Medicine, Monash University. His expertise was also used for the improvement in and the assessment of haematology laboratory standards in Australia and in developing countries.

He had always wanted to take early retirement and when this became opportune in 1989 he was able to fulfill his wish to spend more time with his wife, Dr Pam Dickenson, whom he had met at the Royal Children's Hospital, married in 1955 and who later presented him with a son and three daughters.
YRSA ELIZABETH FITTS (NÉE OSBORNE)
MBBS 1936
1913-1995

YRSA FITTS GREW UP in the third of the five houses of Professors' Row in the University of Melbourne. The Baillieu Library now occupies the site. Her father, WA Osborne came at the age of thirty to the Chair of Physiology and Histology which he held from 1903 to 1938. His wife Ethel, completed her MSc after their arrival, and gave birth to two children in their first house which was in the west wing of the Quadrangle. Yrsa was the third child. She was named after a queen in the Norse sagas, which were read and enjoyed by her linguist father, Charis, the third daughter, was born just after Ethel finished her medical degree in 1926. Yrsa was a member of a family where high achievement was the norm. There was the expectation that whatever her brothers and sisters, father and mother could do, so too could she. Her father threw his young daughter into the Yarra attached to a rope, and it was a case of sink or swim. She developed into a fine swimmer and athlete, and was a good shot.

By the age of fifteen, she had qualified for entrance to university, but her failure to pass Latin, a prerequisite for Medicine, kept her at home for another year. She was later to spend many years on the council of the College. She excelled in her studies and in sport. First class honours peppered her university record and, in her third year, she was one of the 'Apostles' – the top twelve students in her father's Honours Physiology class. This group would meet with Professor Osborne on Wednesday afternoon to discuss current physiological problems over afternoon tea! In her final year she won the EH Embley Memorial Medal in Anaesthetics and the Douglas Stephens Prize for diseases of children. As well, she was awarded the JT Ryan Scholarship for Surgery by the Royal Melbourne Hospital.

She loved skiing, and became the first Australian women's downhill champion in 1932. During this event she was going so fast she jumped a corner rather than rounding it. One severe winter, when Mount Hotham was inaccessible except by foot, she trudged in nineteen miles with her week's supplies on her back. She gained a University Blue in hockey, and represented Australia in hockey and skiing. A contemporary, Douglas Stephens, described her as '... very popular, handsome ... pretty'. She inherited her father's wit and humour, but was less acerbic. Her speaking voice reflected her Anglo-Irish origins.

In 1938 Yrsa sailed to England earning her passage as ship's surgeon aboard a freighter – not only was she the doctor, she was the only woman on board. Her equestrian skills, honed on the cattle musters she came from all walks of life. Dry fly fishing was a hobby that she and Clive loved, and they spent many holidays with friends at Waterfall Farm, Khancoban, at Bilbenluke and on the Howqua.

Yrsa had enjoyed smoking cigarettes for most of her life and died in August 1995 at the age of eighty-two from a smoking-related disease.

Vanessa Griffith (née Fitts)

FRANK MENZIES CAMERON FORSTER
MBBS 1948, FRACOG, FRACOG
1923-1995

FRANK FORSTER was an outstanding obstetrician and gynaecologist who made significant contributions in several areas of his specialty. His clinical and research contributions were numerous and their influence profound. Frank was one of the 'golden three', including Pat Mapstone and Glyn White, who made their mark on obstetrics, obstetrical anaesthesia and neonatal paediatrics in Melbourne.

Frank Forster was born in Sydney. His father, Cameron McDougall Forster, was a medical practitioner and Medical Superintendent of a paediatric hospital. His mother was Jean Catherine Officer, formerly of Melbourne. Frank's early education was at Ashfield Grammar School, NSW, but in 1934 the family returned to Melbourne. Frank won a scholarship to Melbourne Grammar, where, intending to follow his uncle Keith into the diplomatic service, he concentrated on the classics. However, uncles Keith and Hugh – later Brigadier Hugh Officer – persuaded him to make the wise choice of medicine.

Without knowledge of chemistry or physics Frank entered the Medical School of the University of Melbourne. His undergraduate career was interrupted when, in second year, he developed paralysis from a spinal tumour which proved to be benign. Frank left the medical course and, as it was war-time, began to work at CSIRO. It was Pansy Wright who went to see him there and induced him to complete his medical studies. First on crutches he regained his ability to walk and graduated MBBS in 1948 with honours in both surgery and obstetrics and gynaecology.

After two years of general surgery at the Royal Melbourne Hospital, Frank began work at the Royal Women's Hospital Melbourne where he was RMO, Assistant Pathologist and Registrar in Obstetrics and Gynaecology. During a term in London he worked at the Soho Hospital for Women, and in 1953, gained his membership of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Returning to Melbourne the following year he became Second and then First Assistant to Professor Lance Townsend at the Royal Women's Hospital. Leaving his university post he established a private practice and, in 1959, became Honourary Obstetrician to Outpatients, a position he held at the Royal Women's Hospital until 1965.

In 1956 he became the Consultant Obstetrician and Gynaecologist to Fairfield Hospital and made regular visits there until 1984. At Fairfield he spent much time coping with difficult obstetrical problems.
in women with a variety of severe infections. During the 1960s he became a world expert on liver disease in pregnancy and managed more women with such problems than anyone else in Australia. His work gained the respect of the staff and the confidence of the women.

Frank was an honest, intelligent and forthright man and emphatic in his views. He was neither a choreographer of power nor a seeker of such. He was compassionate to others and was not critical of his fellow practitioners. Meticulous in his care of women in all aspects of obstetrics and gynaecology Frank enjoyed the complete confidence and affection of his patients. Not surprisingly, he delivered the children of a generation of young medical practitioners in Melbourne.

Frank respected the abilities and achievements of women; they felt safe in his care for they knew he always put their welfare first. He regarded midwives as professional colleagues who played a vital role in the management of labour.

Frank was unique in running his own antenatal and postnatal classes. 'All babies must be bathed, clothed and put in the pram and put outside by 9am. No matter what the weather!' Frank's enduring passion was medical history. He was President of the Section of Medical History (AMA Victorian Branch) 1966-68 and 1980-82, President of the Medico-Legal Society of Victoria 1979-80 and the first Tracy Memorial Orator at the Royal Women's Hospital in 1964.

Frank made substantial contributions as a medical historian and was known and respected in the obstetrical and gynaecological societies of the United Kingdom, Europe and America. Frank made obstetrical and gynaecological history live for those fortunate enough to listen to him tell of what he had gleaned from the original texts of previous masters. No one else in Australia could speak with such authority on many of these facets of history.

From his student days Frank built up a fine collection of books and pamphlets which reflected social and cultural changes in birth control and obstetrics. Frank, who received the President's Medal in 1990 for the many ways in which he had served the Royal Australian College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, donated his books and pamphlets to the library there, where it forms the only specialist reference library of its type in the southern hemisphere. Sadly, Frank was climbing the stairs to this library for its naming — the Frank Forster Library — when he died.

It is singularly fitting that this library, in the college for which Frank did so much in so many roles, should be named after him. However it is not only you where will find his monument, but in the hearts of his many patients, colleagues and friends.

Frank Forster was a family man, dedicated to his wife Prue, his children and grandchildren. Prue especially, was supportive of all his efforts despite the interruptions to family life inevitable in a busy obstetrical practice.

G J Bishop & HA

GEORGE EDWARD GARRATT
MBBS 1950
1917-1995

George Garratt was a resident at Trinity College and, after graduation, became a general practitioner in Armadale. A quiet, cultured man he was also an unusually kind doctor and is known, on occasions, to have personally delivered lunch to some of his older patients.

George was also a very generous man and presented a number of works of art to the Graduate Union.

Quite a few years ago George and Norman Long came to many of the meetings of the Section of Medical History AMA (Victorian Branch). George, like many others, was a great admirer of Professor Ken Russell. In 1977 George commissioned Dora McRae to paint a portrait of Ken and presented this to the Section. The portrait now hangs in the Medical History Reading Room of the Brownless Medical Library. George insisted on his gift being anonymous, but I wish to record this now as another example of his generosity to the University of Melbourne.

George never married, but is fondly remembered by a brother, a nephew, many friends and grateful patients.

HA

JEAN GITTINS
1908-1995

A MAJOR REASON for the success and well-being of many of the departments of the Melbourne University Medical School has been the ability and devotion of their administrative and secretarial staff. One of the most prominent and best known members of this important group was Jean Gittins who died on 11th August 1995, aged 87.

Born in Hong Kong, the eighth of ten children of the prominent businessman and philanthropist, Sir Robert Ho Tung, Jean married a British engineer, had two children and seemed assured of a happy life in Hong Kong until the outbreak of the Pacific War in late 1941. Both she and her husband were interned. He died in captivity and on her release in late 1945 Jean immigrated to Australia to begin a new life with her children, Elizabeth and John, who had spent the war years in Melbourne.

Soon after her arrival she obtained a temporary position as assistant to Sir Peter MacCallum's secretary in the Department of Pathology. A few weeks later Sir Peter told her that he was to marry his secretary and invited Jean to succeed her in the department. She accepted and remained in the department for the next twenty-four years as secretary to Peter MacCallum (1945-1950), Edgar King (1951-1956) and George Christie from 1967 until her retirement in 1968. By her own account she was at first diffident and anxious about her new duties. However she rapidly gained confidence and became a major force in all aspects of the affairs of the department.

She established a strict, almost dictatorial, rule over the office staff, demanding the highest standards in all their work. She had a highly developed sense of propriety and woe betide any member of the academic staff who attempted to have his work typed before its due turn. In her prime she was an almost frightening figure to newly appointed junior staff. However her formidable facade concealed a genuine desire for their welfare. If properly approached she would do all in her power to help them, especially with her highly developed skills in scientific writing, spelling, punctuation and proofreading. There was however one overriding priority — nothing could be done if it might diminish the welfare or reputation of either the department or the professor.

She was a painstaking and meticulous administrator who thought it her duty to be fully familiar with all departmental activities. She used her talents to great effect when Edgar King decided to prepare a departmental history. She collected and collated detailed records of all who had worked in the department since its foundation. Not content with this, she proofread the entire work and oversaw its publication by Melbourne University Press in 1962. Her contribution was a major reason for the success of the Melbourne School of Pathology which became recognised as a model of its type.

After her retirement in 1968 Jean needed a new outlet for her energy and literary talents. She found these in writing and became the successful author of five books — four are accounts of different aspects of her life in Hong Kong and Melbourne and the fifth the story of the Chinese on the Australian goldfields. As if this were not sufficient, she published many papers and book chapters and was a major force in all aspects of the affairs of the department.

All who had the pleasure of working with Jean Gittins know how much she contributed to the success of the Pathology Department. Whilst some may smile about her idiosyncrasies her very many colleagues remember her with respect and affection and mourn the passing of an old friend.

John Hurley

ROBERT FREDERICK FITZGERALD HARBISON
MBBS 1950, FRACGP, FRCPG
1920-1995

MANY DOCTORS take an active part in the life of their community. Such a one was Robert Harbison, who died on 30 March 1995. Rob Harbison's parents were both surgeons [see Chiron, 1988, p56; obituary of JM Hickford] and many of their forebears had also been
in the medical profession so that it seemed foreordained for him to enter medical studies at the University of Melbourne in 1938. In that same year, Rob had enlisted in the Royal Australian Naval Volunteer Reserve for part time duty. Realising that if he passed his first year examinations he would be deferred from service until he had qualified, he deliberately failed two of his subjects and enlisted for full-time duty. Sent to Britain he attended the Royal Navy’s first wartime radar course. He topped that course and joined the British frigate HMS Alisma as a radar officer; he later held a similar posting in the Australian destroyer HMAS Warramunga.

On his return to Melbourne he re-entered the medical course and graduated at the age of thirty. After residency at the Alfred Hospital, he went to Corryong as a General Practitioner because he foresees the range of experience that might come to him there when construction started on the Snowy Mountains Hydro-Electric Scheme. Rob stayed in Corryong until 1967 and during that time he and a friend, Jock Berry, head of the Victorian Ambulance Service, got the Corryong Council to improve the airstrip so that an air ambulance could use it. From this small beginning, these two men developed a Victoria wide air ambulance service.

In 1967 he left Corryong and became a Postgraduate Fellow of the Victorian Branch of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners; later he was appointed Chairman and then Director of the Family Medical Program of that college. In 1977, he was honoured by the Rose Hunt Award of the Royal College of General Practitioners (London) 'for service to general practice in medicine'. From 1978-1989, Rob returned to general practice in Mt Macedon where he again became heavily involved in community affairs. After the bushfires in 1983, Rob joined the local council which he served for eleven years. He was Shire President in 1989-90. It was his initiative which led to the Macedon Ranges Cultural Heritage and Landscape Study and the development of the aged people's hostel in Gisborne, where he was chairman of The Gisborne and District Community Health Centre. A keen member of the RSL he also lobbied, successfully, to re-establish the Mt Macedon memorial cross.

In all his endeavours, Robert Harbison was strongly supported by his wife, Chubby, and their four children.

Compiled from a number of sources by HA

DAVID PATRICK HJORTH MBBS 1974, FRACS (Ortho) 1952-1995

Educated at St Patrick's College, Ballarat, David commenced his medical course at the University of Melbourne in 1968. As a student he had a reputation for meticulous note taking and subsequent compilation of each subject into notebooks. The prized facts were referred to as ‘the juice’ and were in great demand with his student friends less oriented to study.

This approach to study was lifelong. The benefits were passed on in his teaching of medical students and orthopaedic registrars.

His introduction to the practice of medicine came in 1975 with his internship at Preston and Northcote Community Hospital where he had his first taste of orthopaedic surgery in Brendan Dooley’s unit. As a student he had vowed to become a surgeon – the branch of surgery was now decided. Four resident years were spent gaining general medical experience and realising his goal to become an orthopaedic surgeon.

In 1984 he commenced the orthopaedic training program in Melbourne with four years spent gaining knowledge and expertise in the surgery of ‘bones and joints’ at the Austin, Alfred, St Vincent’s and Royal Children’s Hospitals. Then, as is customary in this specialty, he went to England to gain further experience in life and orthopaedic surgery, especially spinal surgery.

On his return to Melbourne, David’s expertise was clearly in demand. He held consultant appointments in orthopaedic surgery at the Austin Hospital and at Preston and Northcote Community Hospital. As a consultant, his enthusiasm was infectious and his capacity for hard work exemplary. In private practice and hospital practice, he delighted in treating patients, sometimes anguishing over decisions of treatment, but relishing his ability to help and care for people. The business side of private practice was of no interest to him, nor the material trappings of life. Caring for people, in particular his patients, was foremost.

As a full-time Orthopaedic Surgeon at the Austin Hospital his talent for teaching became manifest.

Academically, David was logical and had an excellent knowledge of orthopaedics which he readily shared with students and peers alike. The only time David might appear somewhat intolerant was when his colleagues failed to allow their woolly thinking to be unravelled.

David was generous in giving his time for his patients, their relatives and his students. Frequently however, he was not very conscious of real time, a minor irritation to both colleagues and friends. There is no doubt that this was part of his true gentle and kind nature. His giving was quite remarkable and was accepted by all, with little given in return. He was so aware of others’ sensitivity, he undoubtedly buried his own for his friend’s sake.

Although he obviously loved and was devoted to his work, including teaching, this did not entirely consume his life. David was a deep thinker who read profusely on many subjects – he had an extensive library. He had a dry sense of humour and a ‘laid-back’ attitude to gambling which involved him in various forays into ownership of thoroughbred racehorses – not without some success.

His talents as a caring friend, teacher and surgeon were recognised by the large gathering at his requiem mass and funeral.

John O’Brien

CHI HUNG MOK MBBS 1958, MAdmin(Monash), FRACMA, DCH(Glasgow), ACHE 1930-1994

CHI HUNG MOK, 'Mokie', died on 28 December 1994 after a long illness borne with great dignity. For much of his illness he had been cared for by old colleagues and friends at St Vincent’s, his former clinical school where he had been Deputy Director of Medical Services from 1983 to 1992.

Chi Hung was born in Canton, China, in 1930 – a time of political unrest. His father was a pharmacist. In 1938 the Japanese invaded China and overran Canton. The Mok family fled, first to Macau and then to Hong Kong. Chi Hung’s little padded jacket bore much of the family’s money sewn into the lining. Having settled in Hong Kong the family sent Chi Hung back to Canton to be educated at a Presbyterian boarding school and then to Lingnam University for pre-med studies.

Towards the end of 1952 the Communists reached Canton and began to purge the ‘bourgeoisie’. Chi Hung and a cousin were brought before the cadres and asked why they could afford to wear shoes. That evening, both very wisely took the train back to Hong Kong and did not return.

Despite a preference to study Engineering at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Chi Hung, like any good Chinese son of that era, obeyed his father’s wishes that he study Medicine at the University of Melbourne. Enrolment was easy; he and two friends just went along to the Registrar and signed on. Studying and communicating in English were much more difficult, but many of his classmates generously shared their notes and tutorials. Clinical years at St Vincent’s were most enjoyable and he graduated in 1958.

After residencies at the Royal Hobart Hospital and the Royal Children’s Hospital, Chi Hung returned to Tasmania, where as Registrar at the Launceston General Hospital, he met and married a nurse, Joan Stewart. At first he wanted to specialise in Paediatrics and
held registrar posts in that specialty at the Royal Children's Hospital and the Austin Hospital in Melbourne. After eighteen months in Scotland he gained his diploma in Child Health and returned to the Queen Victoria Hospital as a Clinical Teaching Fellow in the recently established Monash University Department of Paediatrics.

Chi Hung then decided to change course and pursue a career in Medical Administration, gaining the Master of Administration from Monash University and, later, his Fellowship of the Royal Australian College of Medical Administrators. Assistant Medical Superintendent at the Austin Hospital from 1972-1983, Chi Hung then returned to his old medical school, St Vincent's, as Deputy Director of Medical Services.

Chi Hung was never an impersonal administrator. The arrangement of rosters was a tedious task and often done mechanically. Chi Hung, with personal knowledge of his staff and their needs was always fair, but meticulous in making sure that those about to sit examinations were not overburdened.

Following his retirement from St Vincent's in 1992, he worked happily at the Broadmeadows Community Health Centre for fifteen months until the ravages of chemotherapy forced him to take sick leave.

Chi Hung was a founding and highly respected member of the Chinese Medical Association, in which he held a number of offices. He pursued interests in classical Chinese history, art and literature and exercised his recognised skill as a calligrapher. Like most he had his foibles. He could not resist buying the 'specials' in supermarkets and was reluctant to accept the fact that he might be wrong. Not surprisingly, these made him all the more likeable.

His family was the centre of Chi Hung's life. Proud of his parents and grateful for their care, he delighted in his wife and children. Musically gifted, he played both Chinese and Western wind instruments and he and his family loved singing. Towards the end of a very moving thanksgiving service in a crowded Deepdene Uniting Church his children, David, Jennifer (MBBS, BMedSc 1991), Duncan and Catherine and his daughter-in-law Emily, rose and faced the congregation. David said 'Recently we have not been able to sing, but we can sing now: They sang unaccompanied, beautifully and joyfully. There could be no greater thanksgiving.'

HA with thanks to Joan Mok

J ROSS MORRIS
MBBS 1940
1917-1995

UMMS RECORDS WITH SADNESS the end of the life of 'old Doc Morris' of Greenberg's wartime cartoon (see Chiron 1995, Vol 3 No 3 365). Ross Morris not only served his country with courage, he and his wife Gladys (née Simpson, MBBS 1940) also served the town and district of Wentworth from 1947 until the unkindly onset of Parkinson's disease forced his retirement in 1970. By that time he had offered himself to the medical profession as a guinea-pig and had undergone pioneering surgery and levodopa treatment. During their working lives, Ross and Gladys, already busy with their practice, the hospital and bringing up four children, also devoted themselves to civic affairs - Ross served on Wentworth Council for twelve years and was Mayor during 1955 and 1956. The RSLand, of course, cricket were two of his special interests. The contribution made by Ross and Gladys Morris was honoured in 1979 by the placing of a plaque on the new wing of the Wentworth Hospital. Ross Morris died in Melbourne, in loving care and the Austin Hospital in Melbourne. After eighteen months in Scotland he became head of the ENT unit and, following his retirement in 1985, consultant otorhinolaryngologist.

Colin did much work in postgraduate education and, for many years, was an examiner for the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons. A founding member of the Australian Society of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery he went on to become Treasurer (1952-1959) and President (1965-1967) of that Society. A member of many professional boards and committees, Colin joined the council of the Medical Defence Association of Victoria in 1969 and served that important body as treasurer for fifteen years (1977-1992).

Fond of music and enjoying golf, Colin was also a happy family man with five children and eight grandchildren. He was devoted to his wife Joan, who died in 1994 after a protracted illness.

This quiet, unassuming man was largely responsible for ensuring that the Garnett Passe and Rodney Williams Memorial Foundation' came entirely to Australia rather than being divided between this country and the United States. The Foundation holds funds which go into seven figures: the Trust deed specifies that the fund is 'to be dedicated in perpetuity exclusively for charitable, scientific and educational purposes including the advancement in Australia and other countries of the specialty of Otorhinolaryngology and the related medical, surgical and paramedical fields.'

This Foundation was created by Barbara Williams, a South African, to honour her two husbands, Garnett Passe and Rodney Williams. Garnett Passe was a dental graduate from the University of Melbourne who became a successful ENT surgeon in London where he specialised in the surgery of otosclerosis. Rodney Williams was a New York stockbroker.

Garnett Passe died in 1952, and Barbara, from the early 1960s, wished to leave the major part of her estate to perpetuate his memory. Negotiations were protracted. Colin Richards became involved in direct negotiations with Barbara in 1968, the year she married Rodney Williams. Peter Freeman joined Colin in negotiations in 1976. Barbara died in 1991 and it was through her will, which had been challenged and changed on a number of occasions, that the Foundation was eventually established. Colin had been a major player in the negotiations that ensured the Foundation came to Australia.

Colin Richards died suddenly while speaking on the telephone, discussing with a colleague and friend the awarding of grants from the Foundation.


JOHN FRANCIS RUTTER
MBBS 1940, DPH (Syd), MRACGP, VRD
1911-1995

JOHN FRANCIS RUTTER died after a long, varied and useful life helping people. John died in Caritas Christi Hospice to which he had been a visiting doctor between 1976-1986. At his funeral service a succinct curriculum vitae was handed out and the only speaker was his wife Margaret; she spoke movingly about John as a very caring man.

John or 'Jack' Rutter was born in Melbourne the son of John Hemphill Rutter, MBBS 1907, and Maud Rutter (née Dodgson) MBBS 1907, and grandson of Joseph Rutter, MD London 1862. Like his father he was to become a well-loved general practitioner.

Jack Rutter graduated from the University of Melbourne in 1940 with the Stirling Prize in Surgery. As an undergraduate he came under the spell of the charismatic 'Freddy' Wood Jones, Professor of Anatomy, of whom he often spoke late in his own life.

After residency posts at the Alfred and Women's Hospitals he became, in 1941, a Surgeon Lieutenant in the Royal Australian Naval Reserve and served in an armed merchant cruiser and two destroyers. On discharge, in 1946, he was a Surgeon Lieutenant Commander and
was later awarded a VRD. In the early part of the war (12 December 1941) he married Margaret Wilson, a daughter of Dr Arthur Wilson.

Discharged from the services he spent brief periods at the Women's Hospital and as an assistant in general practice in Prahran, with Dr Mathew Patrick before he went to become the sole medical practitioner for the Nagambie-Avenel district. He and his wife served the community right well from 1947 to 1963 and were affectionately remembered; indeed a notice from the Nagambie Hospital appeared in The Age on his death thirty years later.

Six years (1964-1970) were spent with the Commonwealth Health Department carrying out medical examinations for invalid pensions, workers' compensation, overseas travel or entry to the public service with added quarantine duties and the surveillance of nursing homes for good measure. During these years Jack also managed to squeeze in holidays to Cocos Keeling, Manus and Norfolk Islands and visits to New Guinea with the Royal Australian Navy.

In 1968, when in his fifties, he gained, by examination, the Diploma in Public Health from the University of Sydney and Membership of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. A year later he was on editorial boards and an examiner for the RACGP.

In 1970, Jack joined the Victorian State Health Department and became Medical Superintendent of the Prison Services. With Dr W Keane and the help of the ombudsman, Mr Dillon, a hospital was established at Pentridge and a closed ward at St Vincent's.


As a man, John Rutter was tall, quietly spoken, gentle in manner and smoked a pipe in a meditative, sometimes forgetful manner. He had a beloved dog. Although he had gone sailing with Wood Jones and had served in the navy he never took up sailing as a hobby. Nevertheless these experiences would seem to have had some effect as his daughter recalled that he often sang sea shanties while driving his car. He will certainly live on in the memories of his family, his friends, his colleagues and the many, many people he looked after as a caring doctor.

He is survived by his wife Margaret, a son John, a daughter Elizabeth, a granddaughter, three grandsons, all of whom are in their twenties, plus an extended family of nieces, nephews and in-laws.

Margaret Rutter & HA

PETER STONE
MBBS 1957, FRACGP
1930-1995

I FIRST MET Peter Stone in the early 1950s. We were both in our preclinical years and were working with the then Victorian Civil Ambulance Service. Even then he had an impressive character, with a broad range of interests, and a whimsical sense of humour.

Peter did many things during his medical course. Keen on sport, he was an enthusiastic rugby player, and had a great interest in Jazz. His involvement with the Army Reserve led to much interest and activity with security during the Olympic Games in 1956.

Following a resident year at Benalla and a short time in general practice in that town, he bought a solo practice in Orbost in Eastern Victoria, where he spent the next eight years. He developed a particular skill in dealing with injuries from local sawmills in the area and his expertise in the care of hand injuries was well known.

In 1967 he returned to Melbourne to a group practice in Essendon and two important things occurred. He came to know Dr Kent-Hughes, whom I have no doubt influenced him in his subsequent Royal Australian College of General Practitioners days. He also met John McEmroe, who became his partner in a two-man practice in Hawthorn from 1970 for the rest of his working life. Peter was fortunate that John was similarly dedicated to the RACGP, for without John's help in the practice, Peter would not have been able to achieve what he did in his College activities. Peter was aware of this and appreciative.

His type of practice necessarily changed when he returned to Melbourne. He became very interested in medical education and of course carried on through his activities in the RACGP. He was also interested in counselling, psychological medicine and hypnosis. Through his interest in medical education in general practice, he became involved in the maintenance of standards within general practice, and this became a major feature of his work within the College over the years. He remained, however, the family doctor to many East Gippsland families who made the journey to Hawthorn for visits until the day of his death.

On numerous College committees, Peter had the ability to sort out the useful from the useless and under his chairmanship meetings went well. He was a great ambassador for the RACGP amongst other learned colleges in the medical world.

President of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners from 1972-1974, Peter was Medical Director of the Victorian Medical Post-Graduates Foundation at the time of his death. Peter published many articles and teaching materials about medical education, counselling, psychological medicine and hypnosis.

Arthur J Day

DIANA MAY SUTHERLAND
MBBS 1953
1930-1995

DIANA SUTHERLAND DIED on 28 December 1995, following an illness of three-and-a-half years. In her typical, indomitable manner she ignored the knowledge of her fatal disease and continued working until a few weeks before she died.

The eldest of four children, Diana spent her early years in Bendigo where her father was a bank manager. Schooled at Girton and probably influenced by her uncle, Dr Charles Sutherland, an early allergist, she enrolled in Medicine at the University of Melbourne in 1948. At that time a university education was still something of a privilege for women.

And so it was that we were among 237 hopeful medical students who set off by special train together with numbers of dental, engineering and science students to Mildura.

At that time, the University of Melbourne, the only university in the State was strained to the limit by the sudden increase in the student population. Ex-service students had grasped the opportunity for tertiary education, while there was no restriction on the entry of qualified school leavers. At that time, fees charged were the main barrier to university entrance and many parents made considerable financial sacrifices to enable their children to get a tertiary education. A branch of the University had been established at Mildura to cope with the additional numbers [see Chiron 1989, p61-63 for Bill Lawrence's article]. Close friendships were often formed at the Mildura Branch and it was there that our lasting relationship with Diana began.

After a year at Mildura we returned to the main campus where Diana became a resident at Janet Clarke Hall. At graduation, Diana topped her year. She became a JRMO at the Royal Melbourne Hospital and then a locum in the Brisbane practice of Lady Cilento. She then went to London and worked at St Mary's Hospital where she had some training in the treatment of allergy. In London she met and married her husband Dr Brian Wilbur-Ham (MBBS 1949) an ophthalmologist.

On returning to Melbourne three children were born and in the custom of that time, while the children were young, Diana virtually retired from practice.

In the early 1970s Diana took a refresher course and joined the staff of the newly formed Family Medicine Programme. This programme did much to help women medical graduates return to practice and Diana both helped them to get positions in General Practice and gave counsel to those subjected to the unaccustomed stresses. It was Diana who arranged the courses in fitness that one can keep fit in the women's family responsibilities - scheduled from 10 am to 3 pm and never during school holidays. She also realised that when marital breakdown occurred the women were often considerably financially disadvantaged. The changes she sought were not just confined to women - she wanted to make retraining as attractive as possible to all.

Diana's apparent sweet, soft appearance belied a steel will and determination to achieve her aims. She was a matriarch to her family with a store of practical hints and advice. She always carried a large
handbag from which she would extract miniature bottles which she had refilled with Scotch. 'Here have an Irish Coffee' she would say as we shared coffee. This large bag was also known to contain biscuits, cough drops and headache tablets for colleagues.

She and her husband Brian had a great sense of humour and could make an instant pithy comment about someone or something; at times she could be quite disarming, leaving one speechless. She stuck to her principles when she saw these were right and could be quite unforgiving to those who disagreed with her.

Diana belonged to many organisations and often represented groups on committees where she took an active part in debate and often held executive positions. She was Honorary Secretary to UMMS Women's Society. In 1984 she joined the AMA Victorian Branch Council representing the VMWS. She never refused appointments to Branch committees and was an enthusiastic and valuable Branch representative to outside organisations such as the Victorian Council of Professions and the Federal President's Advisory Committee on Women. She was State Vice President (1987-88) and Honorary Secretary (1988-89) of the AMA. In fact, the State Presidency seemed within her grasp, but her work as a member of the Commonwealth Administrative Appeals Tribunal, to which she was appointed in 1985, and her husband's fatal illness, ended this ambition.

At her memorial service Brian Forrest, Deputy President of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal, told how Diana was a great fan of Leunig's cartoons and often used these in her presentations at conferences and seminars. 'She had a genuine concern for the human face of the Tribunal and the way it responded to the hopes and fears of persons who came to the Tribunal, whether a war widow seeking a pension or a worker seeking compensation for an injury.'

Diana leaves two sons and a daughter, three grandchildren and her brother and sister.

Compiled from notes by Heather Peden & Malcolm McKenzie

ALAN LL EWELYN WILLIAMS
MBBS 1942, MD 1959, FRCPA
1919-1996

ALAN WILLIAMS was a man of honour, integrity and humanity – one of the most considerate and civic-minded people I have known. He had the gift of inspiring loyalty and enthusiasm in others; he was a great man and his greatness lay in his humility.

Educated at Box Hill High School and Wesley College, he excelled at sport and was involved in all scholastic and social activities. While studying medicine at the University of Melbourne he gained a blue for boxing. He graduated in 1942 and after a two year Residency at Prince Henry's Hospital, he served as a Captain in the Australian Army Medical Corps. While working at Heidelberg Repatriation Hospital he met Major John Perry and Sergeant Betty Wilson. After the Second World War, these three became the complementary triumvirate responsible for the extraordinary post-War development of pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne.

In 1948 John Perry took over as pathologist from Reginald Webster. Alan was appointed to the newly created position of Assistant Pathologist in 1950 while Betty Wilson took charge of haematology and pathology was developing Alan performed most of the postmortem pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne. In 1950 he was appointed to the newly created position of Assistant Pathologist responsible for the extraordinary post-War development of pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne.

In 1948 John Perry took over as pathologist from Reginald Webster. Alan was appointed to the newly created position of Assistant Pathologist in 1950 while Betty Wilson took charge of haematology and pathology was developing Alan performed most of the postmortem pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne.

In 1948 John Perry took over as pathologist from Reginald Webster. Alan was appointed to the newly created position of Assistant Pathologist in 1950 while Betty Wilson took charge of haematology and pathology was developing Alan performed most of the postmortem pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne.

In 1948 John Perry took over as pathologist from Reginald Webster. Alan was appointed to the newly created position of Assistant Pathologist in 1950 while Betty Wilson took charge of haematology and pathology was developing Alan performed most of the postmortem pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne.

In 1948 John Perry took over as pathologist from Reginald Webster. Alan was appointed to the newly created position of Assistant Pathologist in 1950 while Betty Wilson took charge of haematology and pathology was developing Alan performed most of the postmortem pathology in the Children's Hospital in Melbourne.
In 1984 on his retirement a Festschrift was given in his honour. Papers covering anatomical pathology, bacteriology, biochemistry, cytogenetics, laboratory haematology, immunology, muscle pathology and virology were evidence of the respect and honour in which he was held. Freed from the responsibility of running a large and complex laboratory, he turned his full energies to his involvement with SIDS and the general welfare of children. He was a passionate advocate for the establishment of play therapy in the wards and fought a long battle for the liberalisation of visiting hours for parents and relatives.

Even when Director his outside interests and involvements were extraordinary – the Paediatric Society of Victoria, Australian Rotary Health Research Fund, Children’s Welfare Association, Children’s Bureau of Australia, and the Sudden Infant Death Research Foundation to name a few. In all of these he served at times as Chairman and was an active, involved, sensible and practical adviser. In 1956 he was the first secretary of the newly formed Victorian Branch of the College of Pathologists of Australasia and for many years was an important member of the Consultative Council on Maternal and Infant Morbidity and Mortality and gained the extension of the Committee’s brief to include children up to age fourteen.

Alan was the instigator of the College of Pathologists country meetings – an annual get-together of city and country pathologists and their families, in which many friendships were made that might otherwise never have blossomed.

Family always came first with Alan, and his wife Dorothy and children Megan, Michael, Peter and Simon were the central focus of his life. The tragic loss of Peter, who after recovering from a fifteen month coma in 1952, died whilst climbing Cape Schanck in 1968, may have given him the sensitivity and insight that characterised his pioneering involvement helping and counselling parents of SIDS infants.

In retirement he enjoyed more than ten years with his wife Dorothy at their beloved Fairhaven and became fully involved in local activities. His great joy was his grandchildren. At the thanksgiving service on 11 January 1996, one could marvel at the continuity of life and ideas in the eager faces of his ten grandchildren. Life does continue.

Alan Williams lived life to the full, because he lived for others – his family, his hospital, his profession and his friends. All owe him much.

Peter Campbell

UMMS records with regret the passing of
Florence Marjorie Belz (Hughes), MBBS 1922
Richard James Bottcher, MBBS 1942
Peter Frederick Russell Brown, MBBS 1928
Lloyd Walis Bryant, MBBS 1941
Edward Pruen Cordner, MBBS 1942
William Frederick Crick, MBBS 1936
Arthur Ewins Dickmann, MBBS 1924
Margaret Mary Dixon (Lancaster), MBBS 1941
Ralph Joseph Farnbach, MBBS 1928
Arthur Russell Hughes, MBBS 1937
John Malcolm Piercey, MBBS 1945
Clive Francis Henry Pyman, MBBS 1947
David Seward, MBBS 1936
Mary Margaret Westcott, MBBS 1974
Freda Alyson Wraight, MBBS 1954
One print of a pair of stereo skiagrams of a fetus with the vascular system injected, created by AG Fryett in the University of Melbourne Anatomy Department in about 1903. Röntgen discovered x-rays in 1895. The print demonstrated skills in injection procedures, radiography and photography. Fryett died in 1931 from 'carcinoma of the axilla and lung; epithelioma of hands; X-Ray burns of fingers and hands during the war'.

Those Naughty, Naughty Röntgen Rays

Centenary Reflections

by Professor Emeritus WSC Hare, AO

The 1995 UMMS Lecture was delivered on 14 November 1995 by Professor Emeritus WSC Hare, in celebration of the centenary of the discovery of x-rays by Professor WC Röntgen in Germany on 8 November 1895. This is an edited version of Bill Hare’s Lecture.

Figure 1. Röntgen’s first human radiograph, said to be of his wife but unconfirmed.

Fear that privacy might be invaded was the initial outcry of the community to the news of Röntgen’s discovery of x-rays.

I’m full of Daze, Shock and Amaze
For nowadays I hear they’ll Gaze
Tho’ Cloak and Gown – and even Stays!
These naughty, naughty Röntgen rays commented a London editorial, whilst in New Jersey, Assemblyman Reed caused amusement when he introduced a Bill in the House prohibiting the use of x-rays in opera glasses. But for some, it was all good news, particularly the temperance cause which claimed ‘by this means, drunkards and cigarette smokers can be shown the steady deterioration of their systems, which follows the practice. And seeing is believing.’ A religious publication recorded

...this discovery corroborates, so far as any material experiment can Paul’s doctrine of the spiritual body as now existing in man. It proves, as far as any experiment can prove, that a truer body resides within us and awaits the moment of its unclothing, which we call death, to set it free.

All this aside, there is no doubt that on 8 November 1895, Professor Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen of Würzburg, Germany, opened a whole new world for scientists and changed the course of medical history to the benefit of mankind. There are fascinating aspects to the discovery itself, the man who made it, and the scientific environment of the times.

Legend has it that Röntgen accidentally discovered x-rays when he noticed some platinum-cyanide crystals fluorescing on a bench whilst passing a current through an evacuated cathode ray tube, a common item of its unclothing, which we call death, to set it free.

Figure 1. Röntgen’s first human radiograph, said to be of his wife but unconfirmed.

developed after another experiment, the plate revealed the outline of the coins. In 1901, some six years after Röntgen’s discovery, Goodspeed came across this plate and published it claiming it to be the first industrial radiograph recorded, but he claimed no honour as he stated that the manner in which the images were produced had completely escaped him.

Prior to 1893, the cathode rays could only be studied within the confines of the evacuated tube, and controversy continued as to their nature; the German school favouring a non-particulate wave form whilst the British, correctly, considered them particulate, a point proven by JJ Thomson in 1899 when he measured the mass of the electron. In 1893 Philip Lenard in Heidelberg, later to become a detractor of Röntgen’s work, developed a tube with a thin aluminium window allowing the cathode rays (electrons) to pass a short distance in free air, and he made photographic images and saw crystals placed nearby fluorescing. In 1895, Röntgen was aware of this work and his entry to the field might well have been to solve some unanswered questions in his mind. This may have been why he enclosed the cathode ray tube within a black cardboard box. On seeing the crystals glow some distance away from the apparatus, he knew
immediately that the cathode rays, with their very limited range in air, could not be responsible, and that he was dealing with some new form of invisible light.

Röntgen was so stimulated by his finding that he fervently set about confirming his observation and learning more of the nature of this new type of radiation. He moved his bed to the laboratory from the floor above and became very much a recluse, telling no one of his discovery and causing concern to his wife as to his state of mind.

On 28 December 1895, he delivered his paper entitled 'Eine neue Art von Strahlen' (A New Form of Rays) to the Würzburg Physical-Medical Society in which he described some seventeen elegant experiments confirming his discovery and describing many of the fundamental characteristics of x-rays as we know them today. His paper was hand written and only ten pages long, and the fifty days of intensive research had extended across the Christmas season – not the most productive time for most research workers!

The paper was published immediately and on 23 January 1896, Röntgen delivered his one and only formal lecture to an eminent gathering of German scientists demonstrating his experimental findings including the first radiograph of a human hand (Figures 1 & 2). Surprisingly, Röntgen made only two further contributions to the literature on the subject, the final in 1897 in which he described further experiments clarifying the nature of the new radiation. After almost fifty years of cathode ray research, we see Röntgen's meteoric arrival on the scene; making the crucial observation and then describing most of the characteristics of these hitherto unrecognised rays, which he designated x-rays.

Röntgen was born in the small village of Lennep in Germany. As a very young boy his family moved to the Netherlands where he remained with him throughout his lifetime. In his last year at the Technikon School, he was unjustifiably accused of drawing a caricature of the headmaster on the blackboard. Refusing to name the real villain, he was expelled and refused entry to the University Entrance Examination or Abitur. Fortunately, a family friend confided that the Polytechnic in Zurich, Switzerland, would admit him and he proceeded there to obtain a diploma in mechanical engineering. Undecided on his future, he was influenced by Professor August Kundt to try Physics, becoming his assistant. Röntgen developed a profound love of the Swiss countryside which remained with him throughout his lifetime. The Swiss have reciprocated by claiming, whenever the opportunity arises, a goodly share of the honour associated with Röntgen's discovery!

He followed Kundt, as his assistant, to several Chairs in Germany before obtaining his own appointment as Professor of Physics at Würzburg University in 1888 and Director of the Institute of Physical Sciences.

Röntgen was a meticulous experimenter and an enthusiast, whose hair was said to stand up from his forehead as though permanently electrified. At the same time he was shy, quietly spoken, rather austere, and critical of much of the entrepreneurial promotion of x-rays in the aftermath of his announcement. He continued to receive accolades and was the first recipient of the Nobel Prize in 1901. He demonstrated his discovery to the Kaiser, but refused the invitation to join the nobility and be known as 'von Röntgen'. He did however, at the Kaiser's request, pose for a sculpture which was placed on the Potzdam Bridge. He refused to take out patents on any aspect of his discovery and found the thought of obtaining financial advantages abhorrent. Köllicker, the anatomist, whose hand was x-rayed during Röntgen's inaugural lecture, promoted 'Röntgen Rays' as appropriate for the new radiation, but Röntgen himself was opposed to it, preferring his own designation of 'x-rays'. In recent years, the unit of radiation dose was changed from Röntgen to Gray, perhaps providing further comfort, posthumously, for Röntgen who rejected any form of personal aggrandisement.

He suffered considerable deprivation during the First World War when, in keeping with his character, he melted down his medals for the good of the Fatherland, just as he had donated his Nobel Prize money to the University for scientific purposes. Röntgen moved to Munich in 1900 and continued to experiment, but in other fields, right up until his death in 1923 from carcinoma of the bowel, probably not related to his work with x-rays.
Lenard was successful in 1905. Years later, with the coming of the Third Reich, Lenard continued this criticism in his role as a scientific adviser. In his register of significant German physicists, it is of interest that neither Röntgen, nor Einstein were included, although, no doubt, for different reasons. Sadly the Potzdnam bridge sculpture of Röntgen was melted down at this time, supposedly to support the war effort.

Because the necessary apparatus was freely available in physics laboratories, it is understandable that within weeks of Röntgen's discovery, radiographs were produced in most developed countries. Such was the volume of publication, that the first journal devoted to clinical radiography was published in London in May 1896. About the same time, x-rays were used in Naples to examine soldiers injured in the Ethiopian War, and studios, often owned by photographers, sprang up offering x-ray photographs for clients. Even the Tsar and Tsarina had framed x-ray photographs of their hands. Many extravagant claims were made. In the USA a quack named Dr Falk distributed what he claimed to be an x-ray photograph of the human brain at a time when all others had failed because of the density of the surrounding skull bones. When revealed, the truth was that Falk had x-rayed some cats' intestines which he had placed in an appropriately shaped radiolucent container (Figure 3). For a time, apparatus was sold almost as a toy and watching one's moving parts with a fluoroscope was available to the public virtually as a parlour game. All this ended with the realisation that x-rays were injurious leading particularly to skin cancer – there were many x-ray martyrs amongst the early practitioners.

The news of Röntgen's discovery reached Australia on the 31 January 1896. Professor Orme Masson of the Chemistry Department into his laboratory who became the first person x-rayed by Lyle. Since that time the University of Melbourne has been the leading academic insitution in the country in the promotion of radiology. The first lecturer in radiology was appointed in 1913, the Diplomats were established in 1936 and the first Chair and Department of Radiology in Australia was established in 1965. The subject has been actively promoted to the present day.

Over the years Lenard continually criticised Röntgen claiming, incorrectly, that Röntgen used his modified tube and, again incorrectly, that x-rays were merely a higher frequency form of cathode ray. Lenard had been actively promoted for the first Nobel Prize in 1901 and both names went forward from the Committee but the Board of the Academy, recognising Nobel's wish for a singular appointment, selected Röntgen.

The Pre-Twentieth Century Journals in the Brownless Medical Library

Dorothea Rowse
Life Sciences Librarian

The pre-twentieth century journals are one of the treasures of the Brownless Medical Library. The collection has been formed in a number of ways - the determined policies of early academics, the rather ill-defined collections created by the activities of Anne Harrison and the Central Medical Library Organisation, and the dispersal of old collections formed at the Australian Medical Association and the Parliamentary Library. The journals are housed on the second floor of the library, one of the last such collections in Australia not consigned to storage. There are over three hundred titles of which seventy-five are in German and twenty-nine in French.

A number of extremely early periodical titles start off the collection. The change from the rather anecdotal and quaint quality of some of the eighteenth century texts to a more scientific and analytic approach in the nineteenth century is striking. A periodical publication, appearing at irregular intervals, Medical Communications was published by the Society for Promoting Medical Knowledge and included detailed, illustrated case histories. We have Volume One of 1784. Medical Essays and Observations, published by a society in Edinburgh, was probably not conceived as a periodical in modern terms but we have five volumes which appeared over a number of years from 1747. These contain a wealth of case histories from doctors in Scottish centres ranging in size from Edinburgh to the little town of Cupar in Fife. The presentation of medical facts is old-fashioned and the bizarre was always of most interest.

A later title which considered itself to be 'the leading Medical Journal in Europe' (1821) was The Monthly Gazette of Health, edited by Richard Reece. It aimed its coverage at the family physician and lay readers and had a mission to eliminate quackery. A feature of which the editor was particularly proud was a series of biographical studies of well known physicians designed to inspire and instruct 'young practitioners'. The quality of the articles is variable. The same could not be said of the contents of The Medical and
Field Journal containing the earliest information on subjects of medicine, surgery, pharmacy, chemistry and natural history. It was edited by T Bradley and A F M Willich and started to appear in March/July 1799. Its philosophy has a very modern ring to it.

The first and preliminary consideration was that of rendering it a respectable vehicle for those discoveries, improvements, and medical cases, which either required it to be speedily laid before the Public or, which, not being sufficient importance to be published separately, might without such an opportunity be consigned to oblivion.

It also sought to publicise 'hints and improvements which are constantly rising from the presses of Europe and America; Articles from such people as Charles and John Bell were included. Dr Bradley wrote on cow-pox, while Matthew Baillie's retirement was cause for comment.

A further twenty-nine journals which commenced before 1850 are to be found in the collection. The oldest is the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal (Constable) for which we have a run from 1805 to 1855 - a wonderful resource for medical practice in Britain at the time. The Medico-Chirurgical Transactions (Longman) also provides an excellent survey of medicine in Victorian Britain, with a collection spanning the period 1813 to 1866.

The Guy's Hospital Reports for 1836 to 1968 contain an extraordinary collection of case reports, the earliest ones in a measured and somewhat pompous literary style, spanning a period of over a century, and contributing a superb overview of changing medical and surgical conditions in London. Two American titles contribute excellent surveys of developments in medical science on that continent - American Journal of Insanity (Bennett, Backus and Howley) 1844/5 to 1920/1 and American Journal of the Medical Sciences published in Philadelphia (Carey, Lea and Carey) 1827 to 1968.

In 1893 Professor Allen, then Chairman of the Medical School Library Committee, compiled 'with assistance of the librarians', a Tabular list of Medical Periodicals in Melbourne Libraries. This appeared as a separate publication and also as an article in the Australian Medical Journal, 15 February 1893, pp 97-112. He noted that some duplication of subscriptions was occurring in the Melbourne area and that by correspondence and mutual agreement, it might be possible to obtain, with little or no additional outlay, a nearer approach to a completely representative set.

It's a dream that still eludes us!

At that time, the libraries taking medical journals included the Public Library, the Medical Society of Victoria and the Library of the Medical School. The Parliamentary Library also took some relevant titles but these were not included in the survey. Over the years, the periodical collections in the Public Library, now the State Library, the Medical Society of Victoria and the Parliamentary Library have migrated to what is now the Brownless Medical Library. A quick check of the forty-two titles on the first two pages of the survey shows that we now have all but three of the titles, in runs which match fairly closely to those listed. In 1893, only fourteen of the titles were credited to the Medical School Library. In some ways Professor Allen's wish has been fulfilled.

The pre-twentieth century journals collection is used steadily. For the earliest titles, no photocopying is permitted and they are housed in closed storage. They may only be used a volume at a time under supervision. For the later titles, i.e. those closer to the turn of the century, photocopying is permitted if the volume is robust enough to cope. Conservation is the greatest problem. Many of the volumes need to be rebound to give them greater protection, while the whole question of paper acidity in publications of that period is beyond managing. The air-conditioned storage, and the lack of central heating found in overseas libraries, do seem to have slowed down the deterioration reported from North America and Europe.

From time to time, donations provide volumes to fill gaps in the collection and such gifts are most gratefully received.

Further information about this collection can be obtained from the writer, at the Brownless Medical Library, telephone (03) 9344 5717.
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THE INQUIRER, NO. III.

"Is there any certainty in medical science?"

The uncertainty and inutility of physic is the favourite cant among men who are alive and hearty, among incurable patients, and among no small part of the fraternity, who rejoice in having such a convenient means of escape in their frequent approach to the halter. Is a medical practitioner "quo facto a quack?" or (what is seldom the case) will it be discovered, after inquiry, that profeshional men are really better than they call themselves? Let us examine the twofold grounds of impeachment brought against the most honourable faculty. We are unable to guard the body against the accession of disease, and to devise proper means of cure for all those which do exist. The prevention of diseases, it must be recollected, is generally left to each individual, as far as his own person is concerned; he may be taught, by the same experience which teaches all physicians, what is likely to hurt us or to benefit him: in spite, however, of his knowledge and of his self-love, he chooses often to run the hazard of future contingencies, rather than sacrifice a momentary gratification; it is not, therefore, his domestic medicine that is in fault, but the accidental bent of his passions. He eats an hearty dinner, feasts on certain fruits, and drinks particular wines, because the whole flatters his palate, although he has never eaten so much, nor tasted such fruit and wine, without suffering afterwards: "Que nocere sequar, fugiam quo profere credam!" he is as sure of the consequences of those sensual gratifications, as he must be of the scalding property of boiling water poured upon his hand or his foot. Hence the adage so true in itself, when the faculties are not disordered and impaired by irregular habits or by disease, that every man must be his own physician. Disease, however, is brought on by inexperience, thoughtlessness and by various uncontrollable circumstances; the mind becomes agitated and disturbed, the patient thinks himself worse than he really is, and he wants somebody whose appearance he conceives to be the precursor of health and comfort. The physician becomes acquainted with the most prominent fennations of his patient; he feels his pulse and his skin, looks at his tongue, and examines the expression of his countenance. Not a word is said respecting those circumstances which exclusively belong to this particular patient, by which he is distingushed from all other men, in

Page 425 from 'The Inquirer' section of the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal, 1 January 1805.

THE INQUIRER, NO. III.

"Is there any certainty in medical science?"
IT ALL BEGAN with a visit to a bookshop nearly fifty years ago. On the shelves I found a book by Eric Hosking, pioneer and legend among wildlife photographers. The Art of Bird Photography was a tiny book by modern standards, printed on wartime paper that nowadays would be more likely to find use with the packaging industry, but it would be both inspiration and bible to me for many years to come.

I had already tried my hand at photographing birds with the Zeiss equivalent of a Box Brownie. The resultant specks in a vast landscape indicated that there was much to learn.

These were the days of black and white film and cameras with cloth bellows, polished wooden bodies and brass focusing knobs. They took pictures on 5 x 4 inch glass plates and focusing was onto a ground glass screen, made brighter by shutting out the surrounding light with a dark cloth over the photographer’s head. The fastest shutter speed was about 1/25 second and, even for a big bird, the maximum distance to obtain an acceptable picture was about six feet. I had holders for eight plates and, once they were used, it was time to pack up and go home.

It all sounds very primitive today, but it was a method which could produce wonderful results and out of it grew a passion for birds of prey and owls which was to prove lifelong.

Then came medical school, resident jobs, the army, the move from England to Australia, raising a family and running a practice. I had little time for birds but they were never entirely forgotten. There was a memorable trip to the Victorian Sunset Country with Norman Wettenhall, and in the beautiful surroundings of East Gippsland only a blind man could fail to be aware of the wildlife.

By the 1970s I was starting to photograph again and decided to turn my love of birds of prey into a book. Much had changed in twenty-five years. There were precision 35 mm cameras, superb long lenses and fine grain colour film. Nevertheless, there remained the problem that many birds of prey nest at a great height and, that to portray them, I needed to be up there too.

It was then that I met Jack Cupper — one time soldier, rabbit trapper, fisherman, truck operator, land developer and farmer — a man without formal qualifications but with huge drive, imagination and belief in himself.

Late in life he too had become interested in birds of prey, and, lying at night in bed, had conjured up the design for a telescopic aluminium tower, capable of reaching to all but the highest of nests and able to be transported on top of a vehicle. He built four of them for himself and one for me, and with it, I was able to put myself in the tree-tops with the birds. It was an uneasy experience at first, sitting on top of twenty metres of tapering aluminium, held up only by a series of thin ropes: not a place to sit out a gale, but otherwise, a remarkably stable platform.

Loaded on top of my four-wheel-drive, the tower makes a strange sight and has been mistaken variously for an oil drilling rig and...
a portable lighthouse. Portable it certainly is: it came with me across much of eastern Australia and into such remote places as the Simpson Desert and the Strzelecki Track. It was the key to success, and after many rolls of film and even more tanks of petrol, *Eagles, Hawks and Falcons of Australia* was the result.

Perhaps I should have stopped there but wildlife photography is an addictive condition and by now, I was a chronic sufferer. Owls had to be the next project.

Owls have always fascinated me but to find all nine Australian species seemed an impossible task. They are abroad in the darkness, they are secretive, many are rare and over half of them live in big forest.

I could not have managed it without help but I had many able allies, notable among them being John Young, a remarkable Queensland naturalist who has been passionate about owls since childhood. Not only is he an expert at finding owls and their nests but he also climbs like a monkey and has the almost unique ability to construct hides in the tree tops using only hessian, nails and poles which are cut on-site. This was a priceless asset for many of the nests were far from roads and in steep country where it would have been impossible to take the aluminium tower.

The ascent to the hide is made by flexible steel rope ladder and I shall not forget my first attempt to climb one. As I set foot on the ladder, my legs shot forwards, my body tipped back and I found myself gyrating uncontrollably to and fro, parallel to the ground. Further progress seemed impossible.

Fortunately, there is a technique which can be acquired but it was a daunting sight to look up that ladder before the very first twenty-five metre climb. With John's help, I managed it and this was the start of many fascinating sessions in the dark, sometimes staying all night so as to be there for action which might take place only at dusk and dawn.

Not every owl accepted having its privacy invaded and the descent of the ladder could be a hair-raising experience. Rufous Owls are particularly aggressive and would sail out of the darkness to rake me repeatedly with their talons as I came down. Eric Hosking lost an eye to an owl in the night and helmet and goggles are essential.

Fortunately, I survived the onslaughts and was able to complete *Birds of the Night* covering all the owls and other nocturnal birds of Australia.

I would have had no hope of completing either of these projects without a loving wife and understanding medical partners, and fortunately, I have both. They have been nothing but supportive of my often sudden
disappearances and I would never have got past the first chapter without them.

Now the addiction has me working on two projects at once: Australian Kingfishers and a selection of Owls around the world. Only time will tell if I can succeed.

Publications

EAGLES, HAWKS AND FALCONS OF AUSTRALIA
by David Hollands
Thomas Nelson, Melbourne 1984
Currently out of print

BIRDS OF THE NIGHT
Owls, Frogmouths and Nightjars of Australia
by David Hollands
Reed Books, Sydney 1991
Now available through Tower Books, 9/19 Rodborough Road, French's Forest, NSW 2086, through booksellers rrp $25, or through David Hollands, Box 125, Orbost, Vic, 3888.

NOT JUST DOCTORS

It's not an unusual step to go from medicine to some form of the performing arts. Dr George Miller, film director and Dr Johnathan Miller, actor, writer and director are just a couple to have done it a lot better than I!

In my case it was more a hobby that got out of hand. Having performed in a couple of University revues that ended up being reasonably successful, we fooled ourselves into thinking we could write a new one and tour it. Most people say you should think things through before you commit but naivety proved quite a strength. In most instances over the next few years we had no idea how foolish our decisions were. We made them work out of a survival instinct rather than by grand design.

In the midst of my fifth year the ABC asked us to do a television series of our comedy. Now those two commitments didn't go together and wouldn't have except for Dr Roger Melick who was Dean of the Royal Melbourne Hospital Clinical School at the time. Having already encouraged me to tour the original show he canvassed the support of the relevant professors and fashioned my fifth year around the television commitments. If not for that I would not have taken it further. Most medical subjects require more than a few essays to be handed in and so without the support of the Faculty and hospitals it would have ground to a halt there.

In fact the senior medical staff and clinical school staff went out of their way to give me support over the following years.

And so it went, squeezing in a few television shows here and there until I'd finished my internship. At that point I decided to take another break and it's one that hasn't stopped. We've bounced from a breakfast radio show to four series of The Late Show to a couple of series of Frontline. In the meantime we've put out three albums, a video clip, three books and six videos, using the maxim of volume over quality! In addition I've experienced all the best that Australian TV has to offer: I've been a judge on Red Faces, hosted Countdown, drunk too much at the Logies, met Don Lane... truly things to be proud of.

Along the way I've wondered what those who gave me so much support have thought of our various shows and endeavours. No doubt at times they've regretted they didn't put a stop to it when they had the chance... but no-one's let on.

Outside work I've kept up some of the traditions of the medical profession. Flyfishing is now my major pastime. One of the nice things about flyfishing is that if you're injured, trout streams have the highest concentration of medical staff outside of a hospital. There is only one drawback: flyfishing is based on insect cycles, and insects are named using Latin terminology. Somehow Latin seems to follow me. I've made a pact with fishing buddies that we're only allowed to describe insects by colour and the terms 'big' or 'small'!

The biggest shock of being out of the profession is running into old student friends who are now consultants, but as my body continues its rapid aging process that is becoming quite a blessing. In the not too distant future my body will take over from my mind in making my friends laugh. I guess that's the thing I like most about comedy: as your body gets older your mind gets younger (technically more immature). It's rather like being an adolescent with plastic hips.
THE FIGURE SKATING JUDGE
Elizabeth Ryan, MBBS 1989

IT'S CHRISTMAS EVE 1995 and I've just returned to Australia after a brief visit to Israel as the Australian judge for the international figure skating competition Skate Israel. The long trip from Metulla, a small border town, situated in the mountainous far north of Israel, to Melbourne, has taken forty-two hours. Although we all enjoyed the competition, the skaters, our team leader and myself are relieved that our long journey home is concluding. We part, congratulating and thanking each other for all the hard work at a rather successful event, with good wishes for future competitions at home and abroad.

Thoughts of meetings with strangers on my trip run through my head. People are always surprised and interested to meet Australian ice skaters travelling to international events. I guess it is rather unusual. Most Australians play tennis or swim. Few Australians ice skate, even fewer competitively figure skate and the number of Australian international judges with qualifications in singles, pairs and ice dancing, as myself, can be counted on one hand.

Although I love it, judging figure skating is not a straightforward job. It's not as simple as deciding who is first over the line or which skater is the best dressed, has done the most jumps or is the most entertaining (which is often how the audience 'judge' it). There are many factors and rules involved in any judging decision. The difficulty and quality of the performance must be evaluated carefully. A judge must give each competitor marks, such that all the competitors are ranked from first to last place on the judge's protocol. Some events can have up to thirty skaters, each of whom must be 'ranked', requiring a lot of concentration. The places, rather than actual marks, from all of the judges are then clerked, looking for a majority of places, to determine the result.

People often ask how I became a figure skating judge. Initially, I started as a figure skating skater myself. I can still recall learning 'tricks' early on, such as three turns, bunny hops and cherry flips, and recounting to my school friends, with my fingers pinched together, about my ability to balance on a blade 'this thin'. Ice skating was something I loved to do, far more than any of the other hobbies that I tried.

As a teenager I started figure skating tuition and training in earnest. Despite my commitment to do well at school, my involvement in a youth concert band and a casual job, I fitted in five to six training sessions of two to four hours per week at the rink. This was not possible at university making it hard to be competitive, so I retired from skating during my third year of medicine having collected a few medals from state competitions and having passed my silver tests in figures, free skating and ice dancing.

I was introduced to judging around the age of fifteen while I was still competing. With my plans to attend university and my average ability as a skater, I was realistic and had no aspirations to make a living from skating by coaching or becoming a professional skater with my name in 'big lights'. Alternatively, I found the task of judging interesting and challenging. I started judging at local club competitions and worked my way up by trial judging higher levels. I judged my first national championship in 1985 and am now a national referee and senior judge for singles, pairs, ice dancing and precision. Hence, I am usually on twelve or more events during an eight day national championships – a fun way to spend a holiday! Since 1985, the only national championships I have missed was the 1989 championships, held in Perth, during my final year of medicine. The time commitment, expense of the trip and an airline strike made it impractical to attend.

In 1993 I was nominated to the international judging panels and attended my first junior world championships as the Australian Team Leader in Colorado Springs. This opened a new phase of my involvement in ice skating and gave me the honour and privilege of representing my country overseas. To date I have been sent to judge competitions in Germany, Switzerland and Israel. Also, medicine has enabled me to be accredited as the (honorary) Australian Team Doctor for world championships, which I am not yet qualified to judge, so I can be a part of the team at these prestigious and exciting events.

In addition, I have taken on a position on the Council of the Victorian Ice Skating Association to assist in the management of the sport and training of junior judges. This is an extra time burden on top of my physician advanced training and other interests, such as ballroom dancing, but I enjoy it and want to help the sport. Fortunately the Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre has been supportive and understanding by allowing me to slot in my annual leave at times of competitions for my second 'career'. Something for which I am truly grateful.

Although I still enjoy going for a 'bit of a skate', being rink side, judging is where I quite happily find myself nowadays when I am not working at the hospital or studying. I hope always to be a fair and competent judge to do justice to the hard work of the skaters whom I adjudicate, be they tiny tots in Bendigo or world champions in an Olympic arena. Who knows, one day I may be rink side, with a front row seat, judging an Olympics, witness to a performance worthy of a perfect 6.0! Now that would be something!
MY INTEREST IN LANGUAGES started early with French at Box Hill High School. I found an affinity for that cultivated language with its elisions, nasal vowels and compounded syllables which challenge the Anglo-Saxon ear and tongue. My interest flourished when I moved to Melbourne High School where language teaching was second only to that of the private convent schools. The Latin teacher was German, Johann Rucker, known as the "pontifical". French teaching in year twelve was in the hands of an exchange professor from Toulon. The German teacher was an Australian who had worked in an exchange post in Germany, and spoke fluent German. He had a limp, which in retrospect was probably the result of an arthrodesed tuberculous knee, but which with schoolboy insensitivity earned him the nickname 'Hopalong'. At his instigation, students would occasionally visit the Lutheran Church in East Melbourne, where the pastor - a kindly man with a sonorous voice, who was later interned when a radio transmitter was found concealed in the vestry - gave the service in German once a month.

In 1939 I enrolled at the University of Melbourne and graduated MBBS in February 1944, the course having been truncated to five years by the wartime demands for medical manpower. My use of languages in the years following graduation was restricted to the occasional need for French and German in consultations with migrants. My fluency was maintained by reading novels: Clochermere, Le Pont sur la Rivière Lea and translations into German of Hemingway and Graham Greene.

After moving to Geelong I began studying Italian which proved valuable in my medical practice. Many Italian patients, particularly older people, many of whom were denied basic education during the war, had difficulty in mastering English, a language totally foreign to them. A knowledge of language, even one's own, permits greater communication between doctor and patient and nothing is more important in medical practice than that. A common language has also allowed me to forge friendships with overseas graduates and students which are often maintained by return visits.

The year 1972 was a turning point in my progress from RAAF medical officer, rural GP and provincial city physician, with an appointment to the Austin Hospital. The Austin appointment brought me back to Melbourne, which enabled me to enrol in the University of Melbourne Arts Faculty for a combined honours degree in Germanic Studies and Italian. In that year the late 'Dinny' O'Hearn was sub-dean of Arts which had eighteen medical graduates enrolled. With this enrolment and the Austin appointment I became a student and a staff member of my university of graduation. After completing half of Italian Ill in the combined honours course, I transferred to pure honours in Germanic Studies. My special interest was the Middle Ages and my MA thesis was a study of the King Håkon translations of epic poems from French to Old Norse. These translations were carried out between 1210 and 1260 by Anglo-Norman monks at a monastery outside Trondheim, Håkon's royal residence. One must never overlook the part played by the twelfth century epic poem - referred to as romans courtous or chansons de geste. The first was the Chanson de Roland, written by an Anglo-Norman monk and the later poems of Chrétien de Troyes - Érec, Cligés, Le chevalier de la Charette (Lancelot), Le chevalier au Lion (Yvain) and Le conte du graal (Percival), were all based on Arthurian legends. Written in the last decade of the twelfth century, they represented a new wave of classical literature. They took the place of religious tracts and the lives of the saints, written in Latin, and pre-dated by one hundred years the first 'Italian' literature - that of Dante (1265-1316) and Petrarca (1304-1374). They found their way into the Middle High German literature of the early sixteenth century and later Middle English adaptations. Apart from frequent use of Italian and Greek (German and French are rarely required), an interest in linguistics has had other benefits for my medical practice. First, it is intellectual exercise, an escape from the day-to-day involvement with people and their problems. Of secondary value has been translation, more particularly from German but also from French. I translated a pheno- logical study of the skull of the exhumed Beethoven, published in Vienna soon after his death in 1827. During my honours year in German (1984) Harold Actwood displayed Robert Koch's monumental article Die Aetiologie der Tuberkulose in the Medical History Museum to mark the one hundredth anniversary of its publication. I took this article as the basis of an essay on German stylistics. Koch was a civil servant who wrote logically and with great clarity. His observations were recorded with obsessional accuracy. He used litter mates as controls in an age when statistical method was hardly known and eighty years before it was commonplace in German scientific writing. His experiments with rabbits and guinea pigs would not have passed the ethics committees of today but this was an age when cruelty to humans was equally widespread. More recently, my translation of Michael Földi's handbook Das Lymphödem was completed and published by the Lymphoedema Society of Victoria and my occasional translations from French, on behalf of Australian Red Cross, of medical reports have justified ten years time and effort.

Study of a foreign language can intrigue and fascinate, but it is much more than a set of words. Language instruction at the University of Melbourne is literature orientated, and is used as a means to that end. It enables the student to read and understand what Dante and Petrarca, Machiavelli, Tasso and Ariosto have to tell us. To read in the original the plays of Goethe, Schiller, and Pirandello; the poetry of Heine; the philosophy of Kant, Marx and Freud; novels by Thomas Mann, Heinrich Böll and Günther Grass - that is the pleasurable reward which awaits the language student.

Why is Old Norse taught and studied so widely in Western society? The ninth international saga conference was held last year in Akureyri, Iceland's second city, situated on a fjord close to the Arctic Circle. There were three hundred registrants from almost every European country, North and South America, Australia, Japan, and of course Iceland. All had read the ancient texts and many spoke fluent Icelandic. Old Norse has been taught in Australia since 1942, when it was introduced to Melbourne by Professor August Loddewyck. After his retirement in 1947 Ian Maxwell continued the teaching in
the English Department and from 1981 it has been taught in the Department of Germanic Studies by John Stanley Martin. Old Norse has been studied in departments of English in the United Kingdom and North America and in departments of Nordic language and literature in many European universities.

Old Norse, also called Old Icelandic, is known to linguists as the stem north germanic language and to historians as the language of the vikings. Viking invasions commenced in northern France during the ninth century. For two hundred years young Norwegian warriors harried England, Scotland, Ireland, and ultimately Iceland as they penetrated westward robbing, burning, pillaging, and ultimately, settling. Swedish vikings sailed down the great rivers of Russia to reach the Byzantine cities. Danish vikings harried East Anglia, the coastal towns of the English Channel and southern Ireland. In all these areas vestiges of the vikings language have remained as place names or have influenced the regional language. Normandy was the most durable settlement, but the viking influence remained in Scotland and Ireland for 150 years. In the battle of Clontarf, Brian Boru (926-1014) defeated the Danish invaders and Macbeth (d. 1058) defeated the Norwegians under Thorfin, earl of Orkney and Caithness, who earlier had defeated Duncan.

The movement of vikings was not a migration. Young men sailed the longboats, and those who married often chose Celtic brides. The children of these unions, educated by the mother in her own tongue, may never have spoken Old Norse. The exception was Iceland, where the viking language was preserved with little change for one thousand years. The Normans who invaded England in 1066 spoke 'valkska' – the French spoken in Normandy which varied only by inflection and spelling from Parisian French. Anglo-Norman remained the language of the English court for three hundred years.

As Gunnlaug said (Gunnlaugs saga, chap. 7):

Einar vâa tunga á Ænglandi sem ni Nôrrega ok i Danmôrkê. En vâa skipstusk tungur i Ænglandi, er Vilhjalmr bastarðr vann Ængland: gekk þaðan afi Ænglandi valkska, er hann vâðan ættarð. (At that time the same tongue was spoken in England as in Norway and Denmark, but the idiom changed as soon as William the Bastard conquered England. From then on French was spoken, for he was of that race.)

The impact of the viking language was greatest in the North of Britain, as seen in many place names, family names and in the use of Norse loan words in relation to farming, housing and seafaring. For example, the Lancashire town Kirby Lonsdale – the kirk by Lon's dale – lies in the valley of the river Lon. Yorkshire is divided into three dales (fall ok dalir). Common Norse words in English are booth, loft, thresh, thatch, geld, scathe (unscathed), hew, cleave/cloven; the adjectives grim, glad and little; and nautical terms such as thwart, aloft, shipshape, boatswain, deal (planking) and bilow. Phrases such as 'a pig in a poke (sack) and Scots terms like bairn, byre, neet (ox), canny and spier reflect 150 years of Norse occupation. From the Anglo-Saxon south, terms have survived which are similar, even identical to other germanic languages: words denoting parts of the body, and many relating to farming and habitation. In my medical student days we were enjoined by Sir Alan Newton to use the monosyllabic Anglo-Saxon or Old Norse words in medical communication. 'Wind,' he would say, 'not flatulence, stays not corsets.'

We study Old Norse because of its significant contribution to our language and we study its literature because it gives us an insight into four centuries of human existence covering a wide geographical and cultural area. Is that not a good enough reason?

I am currently studying Swedish: it seemed illogical to read and understand the ancient nordic tongue without exploring a related modern language. Eight million people speak Swedish and over a million migrated to the United States, where Swedish is now widely taught. So far, I have never needed to use it, or modern Icelandic, in medical practice.

For the last fifty-six years, the University of Melbourne has played a major part in my life giving me the opportunity to satisfy consuming interests in both internal medicine and European language and literature.

---

**Epilepsy – I CAN LIVE WITH THAT!**

Edited by Sue Goss

Epilepsy Foundation of Victoria 1995

Sbk pp 112, illustrated

rrp $10

This slim book is immediately attractive and arresting. Attractive, because of the lovely cover depicting seashells which are also used in black and white to introduce each essay. Arresting, because of the title Epilepsy – I can live with that!

The book contains twenty essays by ordinary people who have epilepsy. Each tells a different story of their experiences, some of which are quite startling. Here are a few of the titles:

- The day I jumped off the roof
- I can cope with me
- Experiences in and out of court
- I saw eternity
- Am I going crazy?

On page 44 there are three statements by children, who recount, simply, some terrifying experiences of seeing a parent having a seizure – one of which summarises the situation: 'I still love my mum even though she frightens me when she has fits'.

I thought I knew a great deal about epilepsy. This book has shown me just how ignorant I have been. I had never realised how numerous and varied the seizures could be. I had no idea how ill-understood the first seizure could be. I had never realised how the after-effects of a seizure can be much longer and upsetting than I had ever imagined. I did know of the difficulties changing medication. These are often mentioned.

All tales are told with the determination to live as abundantly as possible. Humour and courage are the major ingredients of these tales. Libby Minifie, who has Jacksonian epilepsy and can watch the effect of her attack on others, entitles her story A sense of humour – and what a sense of humour!

Libby graphically describes the misunderstanding and lack of compassion which may accompany an attack in public. Dressed in window cleaning clothes Libby felt an attack coming on and, sitting down, propped herself against a fence.

Soon my left side was away, at its most furious jumping. I looked up and saw a male crotch stepping over me. How interesting, I thought, I guess he thinks I'm drunk.

An informative introduction and a useful glossary enhance this slim book.

I hope this book will be read by many people from all walks of life for it gives much information about a poorly understood group of disorders. It is also a good read spiced with honesty, humour and courage.

I was delighted to learn that these tales have already been translated into several languages for the disorders are not confined to any group.

At $10 it is too cheap. You could not get a better bargain. Write to: Epilepsy Foundation of Victoria, 818 Burke Road, Camberwell, Vic, 3124.
An Apple a Day
Common Consultations
by Andrew Pattison
Sbk pp 241, index
rrp $17.95

It's a tricky business writing medical reference books and/or dictionaries for the
general public. Either the language is too
technical, thus failing to engage the reader,
or they contain so much detail that they
tempt the dangerous practice of self-
diagnosis. The recent publication however,
An Apple a Day, is refreshingly different, in
that it manages to achieve a balance of
clarity, interest and useful information.

The author, Dr Andrew Pattison, a
general practitioner for approximately
eighteen years, is well known for his medical
segments on radio, and more recently, for his
weekly medical column in The Age
newspaper. This publication follows his earlier,
highly successful children's series the Doctor
Toby Books. An Apple a Day is a collection of
eighty-one essays written for adult readers
which aim to enhance understanding of
common medical problems. They also serve
to highlight the importance of the doctor-
patient relationship.

The essays are grouped in three sections,
titled 'Symptoms', 'Common Medical
Problems' and 'General Health Issues'. A broad
range of medical problems is thus covered in
easy-to-read language which keeps the
use of technical medical terminology to a
minimum. The essays are short, generally
two to four pages in length, and each one
covers a separate medical problem. Each
essay begins with an explanation of the
purpose of that particular function of the
body, and then gives a brief description of
common problems that sometimes occur.
Any tests to assist diagnosis are explained.
This is followed by a brief discussion of
possible treatments. The emphasis through-
out is to give the reader knowledge of how
the body works, and what can be done to
repair any problems that arise. For instance
on 'Cholesterol' we are told:

Cholesterol is a white, waxy substance that
is produced by animals and is present in
foods like brains, egg yolks and meats like
kidney and pate. Most people take in about
one gram of cholesterol every day. The
body also makes its own cholesterol; it
forms an important part of cell structure and
is necessary for the production of various
hormones. (p195)

The tone in every essay is conversational
and positive, and combines with the
information given to stress the message that
we can do a lot to preserve a healthy life. But
in every case, Dr Pattison clearly and firmly
indicates when a person needs to seek
medical advice. The voice is clear in the
segment on 'Fever' for example:

A fever that occurs with abdominal pain,
urinary symptoms, breathing difficulties,
neck pain or sensitivity to light, all require
urgent medical assessment. (p15)

Each essay concludes with a box section
headed 'Health Tip', which gives concise,
sensible advice. For example, the essay on
'Sexually Transmitted Diseases' gives the
following health tip:

If you choose to have sex, make sure it is
safe sex. Even the remotest possibility of
getting an STD is a very good reason to use
condoms.

The feature that gives greatest interest to
this work, and distinguishes it from many
others, is the inclusion of a brief case study
that precedes most segments. Most people
are fascinated by the diagnostic process —
how a doctor decides on what is wrong with
a patient, when often there are not many
symptoms to go on. These case studies
certainly raise the reader's awareness of the
importance of the doctor having a full
medical history. They highlight the need for
the doctor not only to ask the right questions,
but also to observe the patient for other,
seemingly incidental clues, which may be
vital to a correct diagnosis. Simultaneously,
the patient's responsibility in this process is
also revealed. The patient must disclose all
symptoms fully and frankly to the doctor.

Dr Pattison writes from the premise that
if people understand how their bodies work,
and what their bodies need to function well,
they will be encouraged to pursue a healthy
diet and a healthy lifestyle. This book
conveys just enough information to promote
such understanding. However, it stops short
of giving too much detail which may tempt
some to 'play doctor'. As such, An Apple a
Day merits addition to the family library.

Mariana Kakos

*Mariana Kakos is a BA and DipEd graduate of
the University of Melbourne, a VCE English
teacher at Balwyn High School, a mother and
a medical consumer.

In the Company of Strangers
Former patients of Australian
tuberculosis sanatoria share their
experiences and insights
by Janet M Brown
Pbk pp 251, illustrated, index
Available for $18 plus $5 postage and
handling from Janet M Brown, PO Box 448,
Werribee Mail Delivery Centre, Werribee,
Vic 3030

This is a compilation of the experiences of
patients with tuberculosis who were treated
in sanatoria in Victoria over the years
1930-1963. The stories are told simply and
graphically and give an insight into experi-
ences that were rarely talked about,
previously unsolicited and, nowadays, most
people would find difficult to believe.

In the late 1930s and early 40s there were
a variety of surgical attempts to close the
cavities in the lung — air introduced into the
pleural cavity or into the peritoneum with
elusive and curiously the people say little of any
problems. (p195)
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THE GREATEST JOY OF ALL

The Story of Dr. Cyril Checchi

Paul McLoughlin

Bob Meredith, Willaura, 1995
Pbk pp 130 rrp $20

This unusual autobiography comprises selected recollections of a respected country doctor whose words have been adapted by Paul McLoughlin from transcribed and edited tape-recordings made when Dr Checchi was in his nineties.

The first chapter deals mainly with the doctor's army career in the Great War of 1914-18, first in the RAMC, serving at Cape Helles, and then in the AAMC, serving at Passchendaele. His distaste for rigid military discipline, his frustrations with the British establishment and his arraignment for defying orders on medical grounds are similar to what other spirited Australians have experienced. Dr Checchi's remarkable memory has given us another vivid account of an MO's experiences in that war.

Although Cyril Checchi's memory is generally very sound, he has occasional lapses with detail, e.g. the Oronites in which Checchi travelled with twenty members of 'Kitchener's Hundred' left Melbourne on 15 April 1915 (not January 1915) and their military training in England started in June (not April) 1915. (See Chiron 1991, pp 57-63).

The first chapter deals mainly with the doctor's army career in the Great War of 1914-18, first in the RAMC, serving at Cape Helles, and then in the AAMC, serving at Passchendaele. His distaste for rigid military discipline, his frustrations with the British establishment and his arraignment for defying orders on medical grounds are similar to what other spirited Australians have experienced. Dr Checchi's remarkable memory has given us another vivid account of an MO's experiences in that war.

Although Cyril Checchi's memory is generally very sound, he has occasional lapses with detail, e.g. the Oronites in which Checchi travelled with twenty members of 'Kitchener's Hundred' left Melbourne on 15 April 1915 (not January 1915) and their military training in England started in June (not April) 1915. (See Chiron 1991, pp 57-63).

In the remaining fourteen chapters the 'Doc' describes his activities and experiences in the town of Willaura and tells many anecdotes of medical and civil life there during the course of sixty-seven years. His memories of Willaura are indeed 'a reflection on the times when community values were greater than those of the individual'.

As a solo medical practitioner in a somewhat remote country town Dr Checchi had to overcome many difficulties and was required to act at times in various capacities - dentist, veterinarian, pharmacist, coroner. His 'greatest joy of all' was the first cry of a baby after a delivery. Tamie Fraser was one of his 2000 obstetrical patients. His activities included the establishment of the Bush Nursing Hospital, commissioner of the Water Trust and president of the local football club. Largely through Checchi's efforts, reticulated water and sewerage were brought to Willaura. For his community services Cyril Checchi was twice honoured by the Queen.

Not all of Dr Checchi's recollections have been transcribed from his tape-recordings and there may be others that he did not record, such as the following story, as told to the reviewer, of how his surgical ambitions were thwarted by Anatomy Professor Berry:

After the 1914-18 war, Cyril Checchi took up a position in the University of Melbourne's Anatomy School under Professor Berry. In due course he sat for the first part MS, but Berry failed all the candidates in Anatomy except one, namely Leslie ('TeaCake') Hurley. Berry told Checchi that Hurley's results were so outstanding that no other candidate was worth passing. Checchi, having done well in Physiology and presumably in Anatomy, vigorously disputed Berry's decision, but to no avail and he left the University in high dudgeon.

The editors have performed a difficult task exceptionally well, only one medical term is misspelt, viz. verecella for varicella, and no typographical errors have been spotted. This interesting autobiography of a well-loved physician should appeal to the general reader as well as to the historian.

John C Trinca

James Cook and the Conquest of Scurvy

by Francis E Cuppage

Hbk pp 163, illustrated, bibliography rrp $76.95

There is no shortage of authors attempting a reappraisal of James Cook. I have just read a biography (by Richard Hough) published in 1994, and now this book on Cook and his role in the conquest of scurvy.

The author is Professor of Pathology at the University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City. He links enthusiasm for nautical medical history with reading the Hornblower novels by C S Forester and the Bolitho series by Alexander Kent, and he built a model of the Endeavour before embarking on this book.

Cook's voyages and the history of scurvy are extremely well documented and this volume, while not producing any new material, does add a new dimension.

Dr Cuppage has visited sites in New Zealand, Australia and Honolulu associated with Cook's voyages and the book contains a number of black and white photographs taken by the author. He records the anchorage in Queen Charlotte Sound, the landing at Botany Bay, the site in Queensland (now Cooktown) where Endeavour was beached for repairs, and finally the place where Cook was murdered at Kealakekua Bay. There are also photographs of various plants growing in New Zealand and Australia which were used by Cook to prevent scurvy. It is unfortunate that these original photographs do not add to our knowledge of Cook or of scurvy. In particular the plant photographs lack any useful detail, comparing unfavourably with illustrations reproduced from Banks' Florilegium and contemporary sketches.

The book is well researched and has an extensive bibliography. In the preface the author pays tribute to a number of New Zealanders and Australians who assisted him with subject matter or provided hospitality. There is no doubt that Dr Cuppage enjoyed writing this book - his enthusiasm shines through.

The tables and appendices bring together useful and interesting information which is less readily available elsewhere. Indigenous plants used as presumed antiscorbutics are listed together with their present day Vitamin C analyses. The ascorbic acid content of supposed antiscorbutics supplied by the Admiralty is listed and it should be noted that there was no antiscorbutic activity in malt wort which was recommended by Cook after his First Voyage.

Cook certainly avoided scurvy during his three great voyages. He started off with carefully selected, fit men. He kept his ship and men dry and clean and the latter as comfortable as possible. The level of victualling was generous and as he had an unshakeable belief that health at sea was linked with fresh provisions and fresh water, he never let pass an opportunity of replenishing supplies. Importantly, he also saw that the fresh fruits, vegetables and grasses that were collected were presented in a reasonably palatable form and were consumed by his crew.

Cook had been supplied with a wide range of alleged antiscorbutics but they were used haphazardly and often in combination so that the effectiveness of these suggested remedies, unknown at the start of the First Voyage, remained so at the end of the Second Voyage. While one might agree with Cuppage that Cook finally broke the back of scurvy he did not clarify for the Admiralty which of the supposed antiscorbutics they should be issuing to ships.

Cuppage is incorrect when he states: many famous scientists began their career as ship's surgeon including Charles Darwin... Darwin was invited to join HMS Beagle as a naturalist and companion to Captain Fitzroy. Robert MacCormick was surgeon and his assistant was Benjamin Bynoe.

This is a brief, well researched account of James Cook and his times, and of the hazards, and some of the solutions, relating to the health of sailors.

Published in America, this book is expensive for Australian buyers.

James Guest
THE WESTERN MEDICAL TRADITION
500 BC TO AD 1800
by Lawrence I Conrad, Michael Neve, Vivian Nutton, Roger Porter, Andrew Wear
Cambridge University Press 1995
Pbk pp 556, illustrated, bibliography, index
rrp $44

The scope of this journal does not permit a full review of this important book, but it should be brought to the attention of readers who are encouraged to buy it and benefit from it.

This attractive book is another interesting, scholarly work from the Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine in London. The decision to stop this survey at 1800 is understandable as the changes since then could well justify another book of similar size or larger.

The seven chapters each have a chronological table which links medical and scientific writers with contemporary events and there is both a general bibliography and a bibliography for each chapter. This is a book to read with enjoyment, to study and to use as a source of reference.

This book deals with the western medical tradition and deliberately excludes 'the medical theories of ancient Egypt and Babylonia, and likewise of India, China, America and the other civilisations with whom the Europeans later came into contact' because of their scant effect on 'the main European tradition of medicine, [and not as] a judgement on their efficacy, rationality, or historical importance'. Neither does this book 'confine the Western medical tradition to a series of 'great names' or as the title might suggest, to a tradition of medical ideas alone'.

The chapters follow a well trod path - the Greek world, Roman medicine, late antiquity and the early middle ages, the Arab-Islamic medicine, medieval western Europe, modern Europe 1500-1700 and the eighteenth century. The concluding chapter by Michael Neve provides an excellent summary of the philosophy behind the book.

The breadth of vision is sometimes startling, e.g. Vivian Nutton in a small section on 'Christianity and medicine' in chapter three has the following sentence:

Bishop Caesarius of Arles (c. AD 470-542), St Bernard of Clairvaux (1090-1153), the monastic reformer, and Mary Baker Eddy (1821-1910), the founder of Christian Science, are but three who set the medicine of faith and prayer above the corrupt worldly material of the body.

The whole trend of this book is away from hero worship, but there is a succinct biography of William Harvey included in Andrew Weir's excellent review of the background to his work which emphasises how much Harvey depended on Aristotle and of course on his own observations. 'For Harvey nature was much more trustworthy than writers'...

In Roy Porter's chapter on the eighteenth century, I, as a pathologist, was delighted that both Morgagni and Matthew Baillie were mentioned. My delight turned to dismay when I realised that the details for Matthew Baillie's works were quoted from the gospel of secondary sources. That the sources were reputable is not sufficient excuse when the author and his research workers are sited in an institution where primary sources are readily available. I quote and then comment:

In 1793, Matthew Baillie (1763-1823) published his Morbid Anatomy (1793). Illustrated with superb copper-plates by William Clift (1775-1849), it depicted, amongst other things, the emphysema of Samuel Johnson's lungs - Baillie's work was more of a textbook than Morgagni's...

The first part of this statement is almost a direct quote from Garrison and Morton's citation 2281 for the 1793 book by Baillie. Unfortunately the citation is wrong - very wrong. Neither Baillie's 1793 first edition or his 1797 second edition were illustrated. Moreover Baillie was not an engraver, but did the drawings from which the three engravers produced the illustrations for Baillie's atlas published to illustrate and complement his unillustrated texts. The atlas was published in ten fascicules between 1799 and 1802 and entitled:

A SERIES OF ENGRAVINGS accompanied with EXPLANATIONS which are intended to illustrate THE MORBID ANATOMY of some of the most important parts of the HUMAN BODY.

On the preceding page of the book being reviewed, as Fig.54, one of the engravings is reproduced. The caption 'Here kidney stones and polycystic kidney disease are displayed' was never written by Baillie for no stones are illustrated. Fig.54 is Fascicule VI Plate VII which Baillie describes as: 'the formation of hydatids in the kidney'.

Figs 3 & 4 of the engraving are smaller hydatids and not stones. Baillie uses 'hydatids' to mean fluid filled cysts. Earlier Baillie illustrated and correctly interpreted the parasitic hydatid in the liver.

The statement about Samuel Johnson's lungs dates back to 1849 and the illustration (Fasc. 2 PL 6 Fig 1) was used in Singer's A Short History of Medicine and by Major in Classic Descriptions of Disease. Baillie never used the term 'emphysema', but 'air cells of the lungs enlarged'. Few modern pathologists would accept Baillie's illustration as emphysema and the idea that the specimen came from Dr Johnson is not based on facts - see Lancet 1985: 11: 1411-1413.

Flaws in this area of the book do not detract from the solid value of the book as a whole.

The last chapter, Conclusion by Michael Neve, is a gem for it summarises the book and the philosophy behind it. Several quotes are given to encourage you to read this book.

Even something as apparently simple and fatal as the Black Death has a complex social history (p483)

In this historical work, removed from the heroic ideas and images of other medical histories, public health is the issue; public health conceived as a relationship between human beings and their social environment, rather than a relationship between human beings and their doctors. (p485) and prophetically

Cholera and tuberculosis - and even bubonic plague - are as likely to reappear, perhaps even in strengthened forms in the late twentieth century, as to disappear. (p489)

It is possible that with the recent rise in incidence of drug resistant strains of the tubercle bacillus in New York and elsewhere that we are already seeing the beginning of this.

This book is highly recommended. HAA

KEY CENTRE FOR WOMEN'S HEALTH MONOGRAPH SERIES
Shifting latitudes, changing attitudes: immigrant women's health experiences, attitudes, knowledge and beliefs
by Martha Macintyre and Lorraine Dennerstein
Pbk pp 106, bibliography
Key Centre for Women's Health, 1995
$15 each (includes postage) from Key Centre for Women's Health Publications. The University of Melbourne, 211 Grattan Street, Carlton, 3052.

These two small volumes are the first in a monograph series from the Key Centre for Women's Health. Both are beautifully presented and both tackle subjects of major importance in women's health: immigrant women's health experiences and women and ageing.

Shifting latitudes, changing attitudes describes the findings of a community based research project involving interviews with approximately twenty women from each of seven non-English speaking backgrounds: Lebanese, Vietnamese, Chinese Vietnamese, Salvadoran, Chilean, Russian and Ukrainian. The study also encompassed focus group discussions with groups from each community involving interpreters, ethnic health workers, women with experiences of working in health care services in both their country of origin and in Australia and women who had experienced hospital care overseas and in Australia.

Focusing on the specific health beliefs, expectations and practices of the groups studied, the authors consider their effects on women's experiences of different life phases and evaluate the relevance of present health services delivery for women from these backgrounds. As the authors themselves acknowledge, the findings of the study cannot be considered to represent the views...
of all women from these backgrounds, the samples from each group being small. Rather, the aim of the publication is to present an exploration of the range and diversity of views expressed by the women interviewed. With this in mind, *Shifting latitudes, changing attitudes* makes a fascinating introduction to the women's views on issues ranging from menstruation, abortion, pregnancy, birth, infertility, contraception and menopause to their preference for sex of doctor and issues of access and communication in using health services in Australia.

Some women, particularly those from non-Christian backgrounds (Lebanese and Vietnamese) reported that their use of hospital services was often made more difficult by a lack of sensitivity for their religious commitments, particularly in relation to dietary observances and dress codes — the latter being a particular reference to the scant nature of hospital gowns. Preference for female doctors was not uncommon, with all the Lebanese women interviewed and close to half of the Salvadorean and Chinese Vietnamese women preferring a female doctor. The other groups were less likely to state a preference for female doctors perhaps reflecting a combination of preference above all for competence over gender, as well as the women's pragmatism given that few are likely to be given a choice.

Insensitivity to traditional birth and confinement practices concerning the need for maternal rest and recuperation after birth was an area of concern for various of the groups of women interviewed, particularly for Vietnamese, Chinese Vietnamese and Lebanese women. Current hospital practices emphasising early maternal ambulation and mobility after birth and active care of the infant by the mother were seen as unsympathetic to the mothers' needs for rest and recovery — needs which would have been met by female relatives in the women's countries of origin.

The study uncovered a range of possible variations in the way different life phases are construed among the ethnic groups studied, as well as many common themes. Experiences of symptoms surrounding menstruation appeared to vary between, as well as within, the groups. Vietnamese, Chinese and Salvadorean women seemed to experience fewer psychological and/or physical changes which they associated with menstruation compared to women from Lebanon or the former USSR. Positive views of the menopause were more common in the women from Vietnam and Lebanon where for some women this time was viewed as heralding 'a new level of physical, spiritual and emotional maturity'. Vietnamese women also quite commonly expressed the view that if women had many interests, they would not be bothered by the menopause. Further studies of larger and representative samples are needed to determine whether there are indeed culturally determined differences in these and other health experiences among immigrant women.

Although women were asked in the interviews about their knowledge, use of and preferences regarding interpreter services, there is no discussion of this part of the analysis in this volume. This is a shame as women's views on this topic would have added some useful background to the, albeit important, observation that health workers need to be encouraged to use interpreting services more often and to be trained in ways of assessing need.

In summary, this book makes a useful contribution to the small, but growing literature on the diversity of immigrant women's health beliefs and experiences. It is to be hoped that numbered among those who read it will be the health professionals who care for immigrant women in Australian hospitals. A companion volume: *Immigrant women's health project. Hospital based research report* by Rafaela Lopez and Lella Fazzalori and published by the Mercy Hospital for Women (1995) documents the issues and challenges to be faced at the hospital level in relation to policies and practices in order to achieve more culturally sensitive health care for women.

The focus of *The unfolding chrysalis* is quite different. Rather than describing research undertaken, this volume offers to analyse current research on women and ageing and to provide a set of priorities for future research. As an introduction to some important issues that need to be considered — for example, that the views of older women themselves need to be a major focus of research; that the diversity of women's experiences of ageing needs to be taken into account; that ageing needs to be seen as another stage of development in the human life cycle and not simply as the decline of youth; that future research on ageing needs to explore more fully the interrelationship between social context and health and well-being — *The unfolding chrysalis serves a useful purpose.*

On the level of literature review, as a critical guide to the findings of current research in the field, it is ultimately less than satisfactory however. There is more here about a range of different commentators' views on the research on women and ageing than there is about the research itself. Clearly in a field where there are many gaps and an unsatisfactory coverage of the important issues, there needs to be a strong focus on what is missing, but more attention could productively have been paid to providing an overview of current research knowledge.

Some examples from the section on health might serve to illustrate my point. It is noted that women experience a higher degree of chronic disease and report more doctor visits than men (p12), yet there are no further revelations about what sorts of chronic diseases women experience as they age. Such research has been done and ought reasonably to have been documented.

Frequently there are interesting statements made, such as: 'While women do have a longer life expectancy than men their health status has a greater negative impact on daily life' (p12), followed by no reference to the research study or studies from which it presumably arose. For readers wanting to go beyond this review and follow up particular studies, this lack of attention to detailed referencing is quite frustrating.

The coverage of topics as they relate to women and ageing is wide ranging, including sexuality, socioeconomic issues, physical and mental health, lesbian older women, cultural and ethnic issues, older women as carers, concerns for rural women, housing and transport. This breadth means that as an issues paper, *The unfolding chrysalis* raises many important aspects of older women's lives which need to be on the research agenda.

*The unfolding chrysalis* is thus a provocative, but somewhat incomplete, critical overview of the research on women and ageing.

*Rhonda Small, Research Fellow, Centre for the Study of Mothers' and Children's Health, La Trobe University.*

**THE 1995 SPECULUM**

Eds Jason Chuen and Susan Sheddah

*This Speculum* is unique for it is the first edition to be distributed to students at the Melbourne and the Monash Medical Schools — a representation of '25% of Australia's future doctors'. The front cover is also unusual — black with mainly orange lettering and a seated, deified Hippocrates holding open a book, the pages of which show an old University of Melbourne insignia and the letters 'MELB UNI MSS'. In white there are the insignia of the three sponsors — Victorian Medical Insurance Agency, Bongiorno & Associates and the Medical Defence Association of Victoria.

As usual there is a wide range of articles giving varied points of view on different topics. Jason Chuen and Tony Chan have bravely reported an interview with the formidable Marie Tehan. Their conclusion is excellent, but I won't steal their thunder!

Dr Andrea Bendrups writes quietly and succinctly about the problems women still face in achieving specialist qualifications and subsequent recognition. She ends the article with her belief that such problems will disappear 'as emphasis shifts to the achievement of excellence and job satisfaction, regardless of gender'.

Two articles, 'Matters of the Heart' and 'Bagel Breakfasts and Bodybags' by Jacqueline Brown and Chris Oh, give vivid summaries of elective periods in the Cardiac Service at the Massachusetts General Hospital and in a MedStar (Shock, Trauma, Acute Resuscitation) Unit in 'North West DC away from the prestigious Georgetown and George Washington University Medical Centres'. Days started early — 4.30 am — and
periods of surgery could extend for ten hours with emergencies at any time. Both were impressed by the American system and the readiness with which each was accepted as impressed by the American system and the placed here. Jacqueline's descriptions of much greater than would have been contem- articles as there are invidious comparisons made between methods in the US and here.

However the American system is essentially apportioning of responsibility easier. Dr Daniel Silver writes on Castlemaine's medical services, David Topchian on what an intern does and Alex Pitman, a former editor of Speculum writes an acerbic article on the resident. *Specula*'s are largely an original guide to medical terms which include 'Rectum - Damn nearly killed him' and a very novel one - 'Impotent - Distinguished, well known'.

Ilona Di Bella has written a well-researched article 'To Speculate on Specula' in which she doubts that the original editor of The Speculum meant 'Speculum' to mean anything other than a vaginal speculum. It is true that amongst the originators there was one student who 'strongly objected because he could not lay a journal of that name on his sister's table. However, his scruples were overcome."

The original idea was taken from Terence, a Roman playwright's *The Brothers*, and the translation of the relevant poem is given as 'In a word I tell you to look into the lives of all men as into a glass (mirror) and thence to take example.' The original motto, *Si Speculum Placet, Inspice* is a play on these words.

I suppose it is possible that, with the inclusion of the Monash students in the distribution of this number, a new journal may arise to represent the '25% of Australia's future doctors' and a new title have to be found. If so, I hope that those given the task of creating a new title take as much care as did those in 1884.

This *Speculum* has the usual goodly mix and to all concerned, congratulations. *HA*


**Models for Remnant**

**A New Dissection - Sixty Years On**

Ohne of the finest spoofs in *Speculum* is 'The Anatomy Lesson (Remnant)' which appeared in 1935 and was based on *The Anatomy Lesson of Dr Tulp*, 1632, by Rembrandt.

The Rembrandt has often been used as a template for other groups - even the Labor government with Bob Hawke as Dr Tulp redrawn by Horner - few have been accomplished with the wit and accuracy of that in *Speculum*.

I have been fascinated by it for many years and have been guilty of misinterpreting the caption. I believed, quite wrongly, that the cadaver was Peter Parsons. In the caption only eight names are given, but there are nine faces. The cadaver was never named.

Two of the students depicted in 1935 are still alive - Bill Refshauge, and Peter Parsons. Thereby hang these tales.

Peter Parsons corrected the naming in the caption (Figures 1 & 2) and told me that 'It was singularly appropriate that the cadaver of *The Speculum* meant 'Speculum' to mean much revered Frederic Wood Jones, a postgraduate course which makes the people - a 'sobering moment in the middle of a week of indulgence'. On the following page there is a photograph of a group of stalwarts caught with their pants down and handkerchiefs tied on their heads. The caption is masterly - 'Friends made at an AMSA Convention are friends for life - and boy are you going to regret it!'

In sharp contrast, Kylie Mason writes of her personal experience in living with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia and the importance of the self-help group CanTeen - the Australian Teenage Cancer Patients' Society. This group was created by teenagers with cancer and guided by teenagers living with cancer. The aims are simple - supporting, developing and empowering teenagers living with cancer and their teenage brothers and sisters. Kylie is now in her second year as the Victorian President. Everyone can benefit from this article.

Dr Daniel Silver writes on Castlemaine's medical services, David Topchian on what an intern does and Alex Pitman, a former editor of Speculum writes an acerbic article on the resident. *Specula*'s are largely an original guide to medical terms which include 'Rectum - Damn nearly killed him' and a very novel one - 'Impotent - Distinguished, well known'.

Ilona Di Bella has written a well-researched article 'To Speculate on Specula' in which she doubts that the original editor of The Speculum meant 'Speculum' to mean anything other than a vaginal speculum. It is true that amongst the originators there was one student who 'strongly objected because he could not lay a journal of that name on his sister's table. However, his scruples were overcome.'

The original idea was taken from Terence, a Roman playwright’s *The Brothers*, and the translation of the relevant poem is given as 'In a word I tell you to look into the lives of all men as into a glass (mirror) and thence to take example.' The original motto, *Si Speculum Placet, Inspice* is a play on these words. I suppose it is possible that, with the inclusion of the Monash students in the distribution of this number, a new journal may arise to represent the '25% of Australia’s future doctors' and a new title have to be found. If so, I hope that those given the task of creating a new title take as much care as did those in 1884.

This *Speculum* has the usual goodly mix and to all concerned, congratulations. *HA*.

1. Frederic Wood Jones. 2. William Darby Reithauge.
5. John Fletcher Connell. 6. Alan Dove Jackson.

Among the celebrated anatomists in the picture may be seen: Piccolominus Pete Parsons (of Pisa), The Cadaver, a condemned and censored criminal, Vincentias Argina Brito (of Bologna), Johannes Ambrose Parry (of Paris), William His Knight (of Folkestone, Kent), Falloppius Fitz-Jackson (of Amsterdam), Raphaid Reithauge (of Rotterdam), Eustachius Johannes Connell (of Copenhagen). The whole production staged by Master Nicholas Wood-Pulp (of no fixed abode), who may be seen wearing his old-school hat and saying, “Of course, this is all wrong!”

Several absent faces may be noticed in the picture, including those of Andrew Snape, Vesalius and Jack Hunter. Professor Osborne, when shown the picture, remarked, “It is entirely without physiological significance. It is a mere Remnant, and depicts nothing but a lot of anatomist ruff-necks.”

The significance of the sword was that he could not keep hold of a razor while shaving. John died from his disease in October 1940. John Merry was Assistant Clinical Tuberculosis officer with the Central TB Bureau. A volunteer medical officer at the Olympic Village in 1956 he worked as a School’s Medical Officer from 1956 to 1972. It is likely that he is dead although I have not been able to find a Victorian death certificate to 1980. John Parry, educated at Xavier College and a devout catholic, was older than most medical students, having completed a Diploma in Architecture in 1929. In 1927, as an architectural student he won a bronze medallion from the Royal Victorian Institute of Architects for a measured drawing of the Swanston Street stone facade of the National Gallery and Public Library. The drawing was so good that the examiners asked that it be kept, framed and put on display as a model for future contemporaries. John was a draftsman which explains the very careful setting out of the faces which, in position and attitude, are so like the Rembrandt. John had red hair receding from his forehead, and a red moustache. The bishop in the same position in the Rembrandt has red hair, moustache and beard!

Peter Parsons remembers that John, who drove an A model Ford coupe when most students could not afford a car, was a delight to be with and very popular. He had been President of the Students’ Representative Council, played the piano well, sang, wrote plays and contributed articles, drawings and cartoons to the Speculum, Melbourne University Magazine, the Age, the Argus, Australian Home Beautiful and Table Talk. John was one of the scribes who did some of the calligraphy for the Honours Roll of the Fallen in the Shrine. In 1938, he became a medical officer of the Mental Hygiene Department and a house surgeon to Dr Hugh Ryan at St Vincent’s. Hugh Ryan remembers when John, while at St Vincent’s, developed the first sign of progressive muscular atrophy – his hand could not keep hold of a razor while shaving. John died from his disease in October 1940 at the age of thirty-four. A year earlier he married a nurse, Eileen McGrath, who cared for him in their home.

Many death notices and tributes appeared in newspapers and journals in Melbourne and Sydney, but no obituary appears in the MJA. A fine tribute to John is in his former school’s journal, The Xaverian, p(100-102, 1941) by Basil Bulter Murphy. John Parry was a man of remarkable versatility and many accomplishments – architect, doctor, musician, literateur, actor, raconteur connaisseur. Grand company, he could always be counted on to set the table in a roar.

Of the eight students who were the models for Remnant in 1935 only two are alive sixty years later. One of the students, a pharmacist, left the medical course. Like so
served in the Second World War; unlike many young men of that time six students dispatches and one got a Military Cross on the battlefield. One served in both world wars. One became a Major General and was knighted.

John Russell Parry, the real Remnant, was a greatly talented man who died of a dreadful disease at the age of thirty-four. One relative said to me 'John died young and achieved little'. His widow, Eileen, does not agree with this and neither do I. I never had the privilege of meeting him, but, in talking to those who did, everyone has become incandescent as they vividly recount an impression or a story about John Parry. Such vivid memories quickly recalled fifty-five years after John died are surely great achievements. The Anatomy of Remnant is one monument to his memory.

*With thanks to John Parry's widow - now Eileen MacIntyre - for much willing assistance.

THE MUSEUM is now open from 9am-5pm weekdays without seeking entry at the Information Desk in the Brownless Medical Library. An audit of the collection had shown that a small number of items had been stolen from the Savory and Moore Pharmacy. A security system has now been installed to cover the pharmacy area and its efficacy demonstrated. Simple methods to reduce the level of UV light on precious documents have also been installed. Lisl Bladin, our archivist and cataloguer, has made major contributions to these improvements and, using material from the AMA collection, has mounted a number of small exhibitions. A goodly number of students can now be found in the museum. The VD Story curated by Dr Di Tibbits has been the major exhibition during the year and is probably the most socially relevant exhibition to be mounted in our museum.

Changes in social attitudes, general knowledge about the sexually transmitted disease, attitudes during the war and the range of treatments from the chemically and physically ineffective to the antibiotic curative era have all been graphically displayed. This exhibition has not had the attention it deserved, but this is due to the sequestered position of the museum. It did get an excellent write-up in the Newsletter of the Prostitutes Collective of Victoria.

Guided tours by the Curator can be arranged and, in recent years, these start in January as part of the Siemens' Summer School, when groups of school children are shown round. The museum is always open on Discovery Day with the Curator in attendance.

Late in the year, a request for a tour of the Pharmacy from the Speaker of Victoria's Legislative Assembly, Mr John Delzoppo, puzzled the curator. The Speaker and Mr George Cox, MLC for Nunawading came and the puzzlement quickly disappeared. John Delzoppo, a pharmacist by profession, quickly showed his ability to interpret many of the Latin names on the drawers in the pharmacy. Mr Cox has a special interest in cabinet making and, some years ago had been given a set of heavily painted drawers similar to those in a pharmacy. Mr Cox carefully removed the paint not only to show fine wood underneath but to reveal gold labels which John Delzoppo immediately recognised as old familiar pharmaceutical labels. George Cox brought with him photographs of his loving restoration of the set of drawers and they are every bit as handsome as those in the Savory and Moore Pharmacy. As the Curator is also interested in wood carving, following the tour of the pharmacy, we crossed to admire the beauties of the 1880 tall display cases (Chiron 1994, p71) accompanied by a bemused photographer and Christina Buckeridge, media liaison officer for the University.

The social events were well organised, always of interest and included several dramatic presentations of the vile treatment of the convicts on Norfolk Island. Many of us took part in 'A Night as a Convict' during which we all dressed in convict 'overalls', some were subjected to simulated floggings and order kept at table by harsh voiced soldiers. As the evening went on and the food and drink were good and plentiful all became convivial with dancing and singing. James Smibert, somehow or other changed his convict tunic for one of an officer, and, thus resplendent, danced and sang the night away.

Dr Warwick Anderson, Lecturer in the History of Medicine at the University of Melbourne, told me that the number attending the conference on Norfolk Island was greater than the usual attendance at an annual meeting of the American Association of the History of Medicine. The Australian Society for the History of Medicine was formed in 1986, after which the Medical History Unit acted as secretariat for several years. The Society proved its maturity on Norfolk Island. The success of the conference was due to the far-sighted preparation done by the Brisbane group headed by Dr John Thearle and Professor John Pearn. John Pearn took over from Dr Geoff Kenny as our new President. Dr Geoff Kenny had done an enormous amount of work for the conference and, in Melbourne with the help of the Medical History Society of Victoria, stage-managed the voting for the incorporation of the Society before the conference began. Geoff presided over the conference as only Geoff can - with great knowledge, an infinity of good manners and a gently persuasive, good humour.
MDAV was founded in 1895 by Victorian doctors to provide professional indemnity for medical practitioners in Victoria.

For over 100 years we have protected the interests of doctors and medical students and given assistance and advice in matters relating to medical practice.

FOR some years now, MDAV has provided professional indemnity, free of charge, to students of the University of Melbourne. Indemnity extends to cover electives throughout Australia and overseas, except USA and Canada, however in many cases MDAV can negotiate cover in those two countries, given sufficient notice of a student's intentions.

Become a student member of MDAV (at no cost) and along with the benefits of membership you will also receive

Defence Update, MDAV's quarterly newsletter.

Contact Therese Carroll for application details

The Medical Defence Association of Victoria, the largest and oldest Victorian medical indemnity organisation for over 100 years.
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CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

These continuing professional education courses are designed for medical practitioners. They may also be of interest to those working in associated health science professions. Enquiries should be directed to: Continuing Education and External Relations, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic, 3052.

Sports Medicine Problems in General Practice
Friday & Saturday 1-2 March, The Royal Melbourne Hospital & Essendon & District Memorial Hospital
Directors: Drs K Khan & T Liaw

Psychiatry for Non-Psychiatrists: Crises and Difficult Management Problems in General Practice
Friday & Saturday 22-23 March, Austin & Repatriation Medical Centre, Austin Campus
Directors: Associate Professor F Judd & Professor G Burrows

ENT Problems and Procedures in General Practice
Friday & Saturday 10-11 May, Royal Victorian Eye & Ear Hospital
Directors: Associate Professor B Pyman, Dr A Cass & Dr W Leadston

Refresher Course and Update in Ophthalmology
Friday & Saturday 17-18 May, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital
Director: Professor H Maclean

Radiography for General Practitioners
Wednesday to Friday 29-31 May, Essendon & District Memorial Hospital
Director: Professor Emeritus WSC Hare

Paediatrics for General Practitioners
Tuesday to Thursday 14-16 June (Clinical Program), Friday & Saturday 14-15 June (Lecture Program), Royal Children's Hospital
Directors: Professor P Phelan & Dr H Van Doorn

Keeping Up To Date With Medical Information
Saturday, 22 June or 31 August, Brownless Medical Library, The University of Melbourne
Directors: Ms D Rowse & Ms H Newton

Red Scaly Rashes
Friday & Saturday 19-20 July, Skin & Cancer Foundation of Victoria, Carlton
Director: Professor R Marks

Update on Obstetrics and Gynaecology for General Practitioners
Friday & Saturday 26-27 July, Royal Women's Hospital
Directors: Associate Professor D Young & Professor R Pepperell

The Design and Conduct of Clinical Trials
Thursday & Friday 22-23 August, The Royal Melbourne Hospital
Directors: Drs R Basser & M Green

Update in Internal Medicine for General Practitioners
Friday & Saturday 11-12 October, Western Hospital, Footscray
Director: Professor N Yeomans

DEAN'S LECTURE SERIES

The Dean's Lecture Series is designed to illustrate current research and topics of interest in the fields of Medicine, Dentistry and the Health Sciences. Lectures are held on Tuesdays at 5.30 pm in the Sunderland Lecture Theatre, ground floor of the medical building, the University of Melbourne. Students, graduates and members of the public are welcome. The lectures are free. For further information contact Continuing Education and External Relations, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, 3052.

Development and Disability of the Auditory Nervous System: Electrophysiologic Evidence
5 March - Professor Barbara Cone-Wesson, The Beth MacLaren Smallwood Professor of Audiology and Speech Science

62nd Beattie Smith Lecture
Psychiatry: an Impossible Profession?
19 March - Associate Professor Sidney Bloch, Associate Professor and Reader, Department of Psychiatry

The State of the World's Children: How Can Mortality be Reduced?
2 April - Professor Frank Shann, Director of Critical Care Medicine, Royal Children's Hospital

Malaria: Pathology and Prospect for Vaccine
23 April - Professor Graham Brown, Director of Infectious Diseases and International Health, The Royal Melbourne Hospital and the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute

War-Related Post Traumatic Stress Disorder: Cause and Cure
7 May - Professor Philip Morris, Director, National Centre for War-Related Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre

Caring for the Family in the Setting of Terminal Illness
21 May - Professor David Kissane, Director of Palliative Medicine, St Vincent's Hospital/Caritas Christi Hospice/Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute/Mercy Hospice Care
This will be followed at 6.30 pm by the 1996 Annual General Meeting of the University of Melbourne Medical Society

Radiation: Risks and Benefits
4 June - Professor Lester Peters, Director of Radiation Oncology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute

The Kidney: New Perspectives on Development
18 June - Professor Daine Alcorn, Professor of Anatomy

Women's Health: Women's Business?
2 July - Professor Lorraine Dennerstein, Director, Key Centre for Women's Health in Society

DEAN'S LECTURE SERIES SEMINAR
THE NEW GENETICS — FOR GOOD OR ILL?
Convener – Professor Richard Smallwood
Friday 26 July 1996 – 2.00 pm to 5.00 pm